Environmental Policy and International Trade when Governments and Producers Act Strategically

Alistar Ulph

JEEM, 1996, 30, pp. 265-281

Introduction

- In the absence of trade policy, governments may relax their environmental policies to give their domestic producers an advantage
- Competitive markets, not transboundary pollution ⇒ No incentive to distort the Environmental Policies
- Reasons for setting too lax environmental policies may be small:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Welfare Cost
- Compete using prices

Environmental Policy and International Trade when Governments and Producers Act Strategically Description of the Model

Model

- Both Producers and Governments act strategically.
- Single Industry, 2 Producers (located in different countries) and a homogeneous good.

- They sell their good in a Third Market (No local Consumers)
- Total Revenue: R(x, y) = x(A x y)
- Total Cost Function: $C(x, \phi) = \phi \frac{x^2}{4}$
- If the Producer does not act strategically: $\phi^{\min} C(x, \phi) + \frac{1}{\phi}$
 - $\phi = \frac{2}{x}$ and unrestricted total cost function K(x) = x [Efficient choice of R&D]

Environmental Policy and International Trade when Governments and Producers Act Strategically Description of the Model

Model

- Emission of the Pollutant: $e \equiv x a$
- Abatement costs: $\frac{a^2}{2}$
- Total damage costs: $\frac{de^2}{2}$
- Two instruments: emission standard, e, and emission tax, t.
- Assume that both governments enact the same instrument
- Government's welfare: $W = R(x, y) - [C(x, \phi) + \frac{1}{\phi}] - \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{de^2}{2}$
- Notation (other country):
 - y levels of production, ψ R&D
 - ε emission standard and τ emission tax $\rightarrow \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle \langle \overline{z} \rangle \langle \overline{z} \rangle \langle \overline{z} \rangle$

Environmental Policy and International Trade when Governments and Producers Act Strategically Description of the Model

Move Structure

- When both governments and producers **act strategically** (three-stage game, SPNE):
- Two governments set their (e, ε) or (t, τ) [Simultaneously]
- 2 Two producers choose their levels of R&D (ϕ, ψ) [Simultaneously]
- **③** Two producers choose (x, y) non-cooperatively
 - Only Governments act strategically (one stage game):
 - Two producers choose (x, y) and (ϕ, ψ)
 - Only Producers act strategically : Governments ignore the impact of their environmental policy
 - When neither act strategically (Two Stage Game):
 - First Best equilibrium (governments and producers act non-cooperatively)

- First Stage: Government chooses standard
- Second Stage: Producers choose output level and use the efficient choice of R&D $\phi = \frac{2}{x}$

•
$$\max_{x}(A - x - y)x - x - 0.5(x - e)^2$$

- Reaction Function x = (A 1 + e y)/3
- output level at the 2nd stage:

•
$$x = \frac{(2A-2+3e-\varepsilon)}{8}$$

• $y = \frac{(2A-2+3\varepsilon-e)}{8}$
• note that: $\frac{\partial x}{\partial e} = \frac{3}{8} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial x}{\partial \varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{8} < 0$

• Government take as given ε and y

•
$$\max_{e}(A - x - y)x - x - 0.5(x - e)^2 - 0.5de^2$$

• F.O.C
$$\{(A - 1 - y + e - 3x)\}\frac{\partial x}{\partial e} - x - e - de = 0$$

• $x - e = de$ or $e = \frac{x}{(1+d)}$

• Symmetric equilibrium: $e = \varepsilon$

•
$$x^* = \frac{(A-1)(1+d)}{(3+4d)}$$

• $e^* = \frac{(A-1)}{(3+4d)}$

Environmental Policy and International Trade when Governments and Producers Act Strategically First Best Equilibrium (Taxes)

• Producers:
$$\max_{x,a}(A-x-y)x-x-t(x-a)-0.5a^2$$

• Reaction Function
$$x = (A - 1 - t - y)/2$$
 and $a = t$
• standards $\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{3}$ and taxes $\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{2}$

output level at the 2nd stage:

•
$$x = \frac{(A-1+\tau-2t)}{3}$$

• $y = \frac{(A-1+t-2\tau)}{3}$
• note that: $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = -\frac{2}{3} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{3} > 0$

• Governments $\max_{t} (A - x - y)x - x - 0.5t^2 - 0.5d(x - t)^2$

• F.O.C
$$\{(A-1-y-2x-d(x-t))\}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}-t+d(x-t)=0$$

and $t=\frac{dx}{1+d}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

• The second stage game set out above continue to apply, but in the first stage governments recognize that the output of the rival firm depends on the policy instrument

• F.O.C
$$\{(A-1-y+e-3x)\}\frac{\partial x}{\partial e} - x\frac{\partial y}{\partial e} + x - e - de = 0$$

•
$$e(1+d) = x - x \frac{\partial y}{\partial e}$$
 or $e = \frac{9x}{8(1+d)}$

- Comparison: $e = \frac{9x}{8(1+d)} > e = \frac{x}{(1+d)}$
- Higher outcome and higher emissions when government acts strategically.

• F.O.C

$$\{(A-1-y-2x-d(x-t))\}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} - x\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - t + d(x-t) = 0$$
• $t(1+d) - dx = \frac{x\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}}{\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} - 1}$ or $t = \frac{(d-0.2)x}{(1+d)}$

- Comparison: $t = \frac{(d-0.2)x}{(1+d)} < t = \frac{dx}{(1+d)}$
- Higher outcome and higher emissions when government acts strategically.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- If governments act strategically this always increases the incentives for producers to overinvest in R& D;
- If producers act strategically, this always reduces, but does not reverse, the incentive for governments to relax their environmental policies.
- When both governments and producers act strategically, distortions to both environmental policy and R& D are larger when governments use emission taxes than when they use emission standards.
- Welfare is lower when both governments and producers act strategically than when only one party acts strategically