

Q1: Show that $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a-b & b-a \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is a subspace of M_{22} ($M_2(\mathbb{R})$). Then find a basis of W and $\dim(W)$.

S1: For all $A, B \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 - b_1 & b_1 - a_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - b_2 & b_2 - a_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

So

- 1- $0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0-0 & 0-0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W$ and W is not empty.
 - 2- $A+B = \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2) & (b_1 - a_1) + (b_2 - a_2) \\ c_1 + c_2 & d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) - (b_1 + b_2) & (b_1 + b_2) - (a_1 + a_2) \\ c_1 + c_2 & d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix} \in W.$
 - 3- $kA = \begin{bmatrix} k(a_1 - b_1) & k(b_1 - a_1) \\ kc_1 & kd_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ka_1 - kb_1 & kb_1 - ka_1 \\ kc_1 & kd_1 \end{bmatrix} \in W.$
- 1, 2 and 3 imply that W is a subspace of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

$$\text{Now, } \begin{bmatrix} a-b & b-a \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -b & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$= a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. So $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ a span set for W . To check linear independence:

$$a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a-b & b-a \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So $a-b=0$, $b-a=0$, $c=0$ and $d=0$. Hence, $a=b$, $c=0$ and $d=0$ which is infinitely many solutions. So the set is linearly dependent. So there is a vector which is linear combination of the others. Clearly that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so we can eliminate one of them to get a new set that spans W . Suppose we eliminate the second one. Thus, we get the set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ which is linearly independent. Indeed, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are linearly independent since neither is a scalar multiple of the other. Also, we can't get $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ from a linear combination of the vectors, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ since the entries that lie in first row are zeros whereas they are not in $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence, $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis of W and $\dim(W)=3$.

Other way to prove that S is a basis is to convert each matrix to a row vector. So $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ will be $[1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0]$, $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ will be $[-1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ will be $[0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ will be $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$. Putting them as columns in a matrix and reducing them to REF as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{1R_{12}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \xrightarrow[\begin{matrix} (-1)R_1 \\ R_{23} \end{matrix}]{\begin{matrix} (-1)R_1 \\ R_{23} \end{matrix}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_{34}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we find that the leading ones lie in the columns 1,2 and 4. So the vectors $[1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0]$, $[0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$ and $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$ form a basis of the column space and hence the set S forms a basis of W .

Q2: Find the values of m (if possible) such that the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 3x_3 &= 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 - mx_3 &= m \end{aligned}$$

has: (i) unique solution. (ii) infinitely many solutions. (iii) no solutions.

S2:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -m & m \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\begin{matrix} -2R_{12} \\ -1R_{13} \end{matrix}]{\begin{matrix} -2R_{12} \\ -1R_{13} \end{matrix}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -m+1 & m-1 \end{array} \right]$$

(i) $m \in \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$ (ii) $m=1$. (iii) no values.

Q3: Let $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the linear transformation defined by $T(x,y)=(x+y, 2x+2y, 3x+3y)$. Find bases of $\ker(T)$ and $R(T)$ ($R(T)=\text{IM}(T)$). Also, find $\text{nullity}(T)$ and $\text{rank}(T)$.

S3: $\ker(T)=\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid T(x,y)=(0,0,0)\} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x+y=0, 2x+2y=0, 3x+3y=0\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{matrix} -2R_{12} \\ -3R_{13} \end{matrix}]{\begin{matrix} -2R_{12} \\ -3R_{13} \end{matrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & y = t \in \mathbb{R} \\ & x = -y = -t \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \ker(T)=\{(-t,t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{t(-1,1) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and hence $\{(-1,1)\}$ is a basis of $\ker(T)$.

$\text{nullity}(T)=\dim(\ker(T))=1$.

$R(T)=\{(x+y, 2x+2y, 3x+3y) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{(x, 2x, 3x) + (y, 2y, 3y) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{x(1,2,3) + y(1,2,3) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. So $\{(1,2,3)\}$ generates $R(T)$ and hence a basis since it is not zero. Thus, $\text{rank}(T)=\dim(R(T))=1$.

Other way to find the basis:

The images of any basis of \mathbb{R}^2 will generate $R(T)$. Take the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . So

$T(1,0)=(1,2,3)=T(0,1)$. Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-3R_{13}]{-2R_{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $\{(1,2,3)\}$ is a basis of $R(T)$.

Observe that: $\text{rank}(T)+\text{nullity}(T)=1+1=2=\text{dim}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Q4: Show that $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is diagonalizable and find a matrix P that diagonalizes A .

S4:

$$0 = |\lambda I - A| = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda + 1 & -1 & +1 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 1)\lambda$$

So the eigenvalues are 0, 1, -1. Since they are different, A is diagonalizable. Now, for the eigenvalue $\lambda=0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-(-1)R_{23}]{(-1)R_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(-1)R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $x=-z=-t$ and $y=0$. Hence, $\{(-1,0,1)\}$ is a basis of E_0 . Now, for the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(-1)R_{31}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(-1)R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $x=0$ and $y=z=t$. Hence, $\{(0,1,1)\}$ is a basis of E_1 . Now, for the eigenvalue $\lambda=-1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-(-1)R_{13}]{(-2)R_{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-(-1)R_{23}]{(-1)R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{(-\frac{1}{2}R_2)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(1)R_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $x=t$ and $y=z=0$. Hence, $\{(1,0,0)\}$ is a basis of E_{-1} . Therefore,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q5: Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the transformation defined by:

$$T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2).$$

Show that T is a linear transformation.

S5: For all real numbers x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 and k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T((x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)) &= T(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2) \\ &= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, (x_1 + y_1) + (x_2 + y_2)) \\ &= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)) \\ &= (x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2) + (y_1, y_2, y_1 + y_2) \\ &= T(x_1, x_2) + T(y_1, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} T(k(x_1, x_2)) &= T((kx_1, kx_2)) = (kx_1, kx_2, kx_1 + kx_2) \\ &= (kx_1, kx_2, k(x_1 + x_2)) = k(x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2) \\ &= kT(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Q6: - Show that the following matrix is **not** diagonalizable.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

S6:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = |\lambda I - A| &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & \lambda & -2 \\ -3 & 3 & \lambda - 5 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6) + (-2\lambda + 10 - 6) + (-6 + 3\lambda) \\ &= (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) + (\lambda - 2) \\ &= (\lambda - 2)((\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 3) + 1) \\ &= (\lambda - 2)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4) = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 2)^2 = (\lambda - 2)^3 \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = 2 \end{aligned}$$

If A is diagonalizable, then there exists an invertible matrix P such that $D = P^{-1}AP$ which is equivalent to $A = PDP^{-1}$. But $D = 2I$, So $A = P(2I)P^{-1} = 2PIP^{-1} = 2PP^{-1} = 2I$, a contradiction with the hypothesis, hence A is not diagonalizable.

Another solution: the algebraic multiplicity for $\lambda = 2$ is 3. We need to find the geometric multiplicity $= \dim(E_2)$. But $\dim(E_2) = \text{nullity}(2I - A)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2-1 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -3 & 3 & 2-5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -3 & 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow[\begin{matrix} 2R_{12} \\ 3R_{13} \end{matrix}]{\rightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{nullity}(2I - A) = 3 - 1 = 2 \neq 3$$

So the geometric multiplicity is not equal to the algebraic multiplicity, hence A is not diagonalizable.