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#### Abstract

An operator T on a Hilbert space is hyponormal if $\mathrm{T} * \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{TT} *$ is positive. In this work we consider hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space with a logarithmic weight. Under a smoothness assumption we give a necessary condition when the symbol is of the form $f+\bar{g}$ with $f, g$ analytic on the unit disk. We also find a sufficient condition when $f$ is a monomial and $g$ a polynomial.
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## 1 Introduction

A bounded operator $T$, on a Hilbert space, is said to be hyponormal, if $T^{*} T-T T^{*}$ is positive. Let $U$ denote the unit disk in the complex plane. We consider the Hilbert space of analytic functions on $U$ such that $\int_{U}|f(z)|^{2} d \mu(z)<\infty$, where $d \mu(z)=\frac{2}{\pi}|\log | z| | d A(z)$, and $d A(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on the unit disk. When $f$ is analytic on $U$, we have $f=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ and $\int_{U}|f(z)|^{2} d \mu(z)=\sum \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}$. Denote by $L_{a, w}^{2}$ such a Hilbert space. Its orthonormal basis is given by $\left\{(n+1) z^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$. The Toeplitz operator is defined by $T_{f}(k)=P(f k)$, where $f$, is a bounded and measurable function on the disk, $k$ is in $L_{a, w}^{2}$, and $P$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(U, d \mu)$ on $L_{a, w}^{2}$. Hankel operators are defined by $H_{f}(k)=(I-P)(f k)$, for $f$, and $k$ as before. Basic material on unweighted Bergman spaces ( $d \mu=d A$ ) can be found in [2,3,10]. Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators on unweighted

[^0]Bergman spaces was first considered in $[1,9]$. The Hardy space and hyponormality on the Hardy space can be found respectively in [6] and [4,5]. Assuming that $f^{\prime}$ is in the Hardy space $H^{2}$, we give a necessary condition for the hyponormality of $T_{f+\bar{g}}$, where, $f$ and $g$ are bounded analytic on $U$. We also give sufficient conditions, when $f$ is a monomial, and $g$ a polynomial. We start by stating some basic properties of Toeplitz operators, the proofs of which are straightforward.

## 2 General properties of Toeplitz operators

We assume $f, g$ are in $L^{\infty}(U, d \mu)$. Then we have

1. $T_{f+g}=T_{f}+T_{g}$.
2. $T_{f}^{*}=T_{\bar{f}}$.
3. $T_{\bar{f}} T_{g}=T_{\bar{f} g}$ if $f$ or $g$ analytic on $U$.

The use of these properties leads to a description of hyponormality, in more than one form. Douglas lemma is used to get one of these forms [7].

Proposition 1 Let $f, g$ be bounded and analytic on $U$. The following are equivalent
(i) $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ is hyponormal.
(ii) $T_{\bar{g}} T_{g}-T_{g} T_{\bar{g}} \leq T_{\bar{f}} T_{f}-T_{f} T_{\bar{f}}$.
(iii) $H_{\bar{g}}^{*} H_{\bar{g}} \leq H_{f}^{*} H_{\bar{f}}$.
(iv) $\|(I-P)(\bar{g} k)\| \leq\|(I-P)(\bar{f} k)\|$ for any $k$ in $L_{\alpha, w}^{2}$.
(v) $\|\bar{g} k\|^{2}-\|P(\bar{g} k)\|^{2} \leq\|\bar{f} k\|^{2}-\|P(\bar{f} k)\|^{2}$ for any $k$ in $L_{\alpha, w}^{2}$.
(vi) $H_{\bar{g}}=K H_{\bar{f}}$ where $K$ is of norm less than or equal to one.

The following lemma will be needed in the sequel.
Lemma 1 For s and t integers we have:

$$
P\left(\bar{z}^{t} z^{s}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
z^{s-t} \frac{(s-t+1)^{2}}{(s+1)^{2}}, & \text { if } s \geq t \\
0, & \text { if } s<t
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## 3 The necessary condition

We now prove a computational lemma.
Lemma 2 Let $f=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ be bounded and analytic on $U$. The matrix of $H_{\bar{f}}^{*} H_{\bar{f}}$ in the orthonormal basis $\left\{(n+1) z^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is given by:

$$
\zeta_{i, j}=\sum_{m \geq j-i, m \geq 0} a_{m+i-j} \overline{a_{m}} \frac{(i+1)(j+1)}{(i+m+1)^{2}}-\sum_{i-j \leq m \leq i, m \geq 0} a_{m} \overline{a_{m+j-i}} \frac{(i-m+1)^{2}}{(i+1)(j+1)} .
$$

Proof Since $\bar{f} f(j+1) z^{j}=\sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty}(j+1) a_{n} \overline{a_{m}} \overline{z^{m}} z^{n+j}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bar{f} f(j+1) z^{j}\right) & =\sum_{m \geq 0, p \geq m, p \geq j}^{\infty}(j+1) \overline{a_{m}} a_{p-j} \frac{(p-m+1)^{2}}{(p+1)^{2}} z^{p-m} \\
& =\sum_{m+n \geq j, m \geq 0, n \geq 0} \overline{a_{m}} a_{m+n-j} \frac{(j+1)(n+1)^{2}}{(m+n+1)^{2}} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
<P\left(\bar{f} f(j+1) z^{j}\right),(i+1) z^{i}>=\sum_{m+i \geq j, m \geq 0} a_{m+i-j} \overline{a_{m}} \frac{(j+1)(i+1)}{(i+m+1)^{2}} .
$$

Similarly we show

$$
<T_{f} T_{\bar{f}}(j+1) z^{j},(i+1) z^{i}>=\sum_{i-j \leq m \leq i, m \geq 0} a_{m} \overline{a_{m+j-i}} \frac{(i-m+1)^{2}}{(i+1)(j+1)}
$$

The result follows.
Lemma 3 Let $f$ be a bounded and analytic function in $U$, such that $f^{\prime} \in H^{2}$. Let $\left(\sigma_{i, j}\right)$ be the matrix of the Hardy space Toeplitz operator $T_{\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}}$. Then $n^{2} \zeta_{i+n, j+n} \rightarrow 2 \sigma_{i, j}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof From the previous lemma we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{i+n, i+n+p}= & \sum_{m \geq p} a_{m-p} \overline{a_{m}} \frac{(i+n+1)(i+n+p+1)}{(i+n+m+1)^{2}} \\
& -\sum_{0 \leq m \leq i+n} a_{m} \overline{a_{m+p}} \frac{(i+n-m+1)^{2}}{(i+n+1)(i+n+p+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $m=p+l$ in the first sum, and $m=l$ in the second. Also put $A_{n, i, p}=(i+n+1)(i$ $+n+p+1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{i+n, i+n+p}= & \sum_{l \leq i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{A_{n, i, p}^{2}-(i+n+p+l+1)^{2}(i+n-l+1)^{2}}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2} A_{n, i, p}} \\
& +\sum_{l>i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{(i+n+1)(i+n+p+1)}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2}} \\
= & \sum_{l \leq i+n} l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{A_{n, i, p}+(i+n+p+l+1)(i+n-l+1)}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2} A_{n, i, p}} \\
& +\sum_{l>i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{A_{n, i, p}}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we can write with obvious notations

$$
n^{2} \zeta_{i+n, i+n+p}=\sum_{l \leq i+n} l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, p}+\sum_{l>i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} n^{2} D_{l, i, n, p}
$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{0 \leq m \leq i+n} l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, p}=\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}(l) d v(l)$ where $v$ is the counting measure, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n}(l) & =\chi_{\{0, \ldots, i+n\}}(l) l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{n^{2}\left[A_{n, i, p}+(i+n+p+l+1)(i+n-l+1)\right]}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2} A_{n, i, p}} \\
& \longrightarrow 2 l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\left|h_{n}(l)\right| \leq l^{2}\left|a_{l}\right|^{2}+(p+l)^{2}\left|a_{p+l}\right|^{2}=M(l)
$$

Since $f^{\prime} \in H^{2}$, we have $\int_{0}^{\infty} M(l) d v(l)<\infty$. So by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}(l) d v(l)=2 \sum_{0}^{\infty} l(l+p) a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} .
$$

For $l>i+n$ we have

$$
\left|a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{n^{2} A_{n, i, p}}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(l^{2}\left|a_{l}\right|^{2}+(l+p)^{2}\left|a_{l+p}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Applying the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$
\sum_{l>i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} n^{2} D_{l, i, n, p}=\sum_{l>i+n} a_{l} \overline{a_{l+p}} \frac{n^{2} A_{n, i, p}}{(i+n+p+l+1)^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This leads us to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2} \zeta_{i+n, i+n+p}=2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l(l+p) a_{l} \bar{a}_{l+p}=2 \sigma_{i, i+p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the following theorem
Theorem 1 Let $f$ and $g$ be bounded analytic functions on $U$, such that $f^{\prime} \in H^{2}$. If $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ is hyponormal on $L_{a, w}^{2}$, then $g^{\prime} \in H^{2}$ and $\left|g^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ a.e on $\partial U$.

Proof Let $\left(\eta_{i, j}\right)$ denote the matrix of $H_{\bar{g}}^{*} H_{\bar{g}}$ in the orthonormal basis $\left\{(n+1) z^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$. Using the notation of the previous lemma and setting $g=\sum b_{n} z^{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{2} \zeta_{i+n, i+n}=\sum_{l \leq i+n} l^{2}\left|a_{l}\right|^{2} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, 0}+\sum_{l>i+n}\left|a_{l}\right|^{2} n^{2} D_{l, i, n, 0}, \\
& n^{2} \eta_{i+n, i+n}=\sum_{l \leq i+n} l^{2} b_{l} \overline{b_{l}} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, 0}+\sum_{l>i+n}\left|b_{l}\right|^{2} n^{2} D_{l, i, n, 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hyponormality of $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ leads to the inequality

$$
\sum_{l \leq i+n} l^{2}\left|b_{l}\right|^{2} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, 0} \leq n^{2} \zeta_{i+n, i+n} .
$$

Set $s_{n}(l)=\chi_{\{0, \ldots i+n\}}(l) l^{2}\left|b_{l}\right|^{2} n^{2} C_{l, i, n, 0}$. We have:

$$
s_{n}(l) \longrightarrow 2 l^{2}\left|b_{l}\right|^{2} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using Fatou's lemma and (1) we get

$$
2 \sum_{l \geq 0} l^{2}\left|b_{l}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} 2 l^{2}\left|a_{l}\right|^{2} .
$$

Since $f^{\prime} \in H^{2}$, the right hand side of the above inequality is finite. Thus the left hand side is finite and $g^{\prime} \in H^{2}$. If $\left(\Psi_{i, j}\right)$ denotes the matrix of $H_{f}^{*} H_{\bar{f}}-H_{\bar{g}}^{*} H_{\bar{g}}$, and $\left(\Pi_{i, j}\right)$ denotes the matrix of the Hardy space operator $T_{\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}$, from the previous lemma we have $n^{2} \Psi_{i+n . j+n} \longrightarrow 2 \Pi_{i, j}$. From the assumption of hyponormality and a property of Toeplitz forms [8], we obtain $\left|g^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ a.e on $\partial U$.

## 4 The sufficient condition

In what follows we take $f=z^{q}, q$ a positive integer, and we give sufficient conditions for the hyponormality of $T_{z}{ }^{q}+\bar{g}$, where $g$ is a polynomial. We will need the following lemma:
Lemma 4 The matrix of $H_{z^{q}}^{*} H_{z^{q}}$ in the orthonormal basis $\left\{(n+1) z^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is diagonal and is given by: $d_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}, & \text { if } i<q \\ \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}, & \text { if } i \geq q\end{array}\right.$.

Proposition 2 Let $p>q$ then $T_{z^{q}+\alpha \overline{z^{p}}}$ is hyponormal if and only if $|\alpha| \leq \frac{q}{p}$.
Proof Hyponormality of $T_{z^{q}+\alpha \overline{z^{p}}}$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\left|\alpha^{2}\right| H_{z^{p}}^{*} H_{z^{p}} \leq H_{z^{q}}^{*} H_{z^{q}},
$$

which is equivalent to the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\alpha|^{2} \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}} \leq \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}} \quad i<q  \tag{2}\\
& |\alpha|^{2} \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}} \leq \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}} \quad q \leq i<p  \tag{3}\\
& |\alpha|^{2}\left(\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-p+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}\right) \leq\left(\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}\right) \quad p \leq i \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that (2) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \min \left\{\frac{i+p+1}{i+q+1}, i<q\right\}$. Since $\frac{i+p+1}{i+q+1}$ decreases with $i$, the minimum is assumed at $i=q-1$. Thus (2) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \frac{p+q}{2 q}$. Similarly, (3) is equivalent to

$$
|\alpha|^{2} \leq \min \left\{\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{4}}, q \leq i<p\right\}
$$

Set $\omega_{1}(i)=\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{4}}$, then

$$
\omega_{1}(i)=\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}\left(2 q^{2}\left((i+1)^{2}-q^{4}\right)\right.}{(i+q+1)^{2}(i+1)^{4}}
$$

Using logarithmic differentiation, we can easily verify that, $\omega$ is decreasing if $q<$ p. Thus $\min \{\omega(i), q \leq i<p\}=\omega_{1}(p-1)=\frac{4 q^{2}\left(2 p^{2}-q^{2}\right)}{p^{2}(p+q)^{2}}$ and (3) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \frac{2 q \sqrt{2 p^{2}-q^{2}}}{p(p+q)}$. A computation shows that inequality (4) is equivalent to $|\alpha|^{2}$ $\leq \min \left\{\frac{q^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{(i+p+1)^{2}\left(2(i+1)^{2}-q^{2}\right)}{(i+q+1)^{2}\left(2(i+1)^{2}-p^{2}\right)}, p \leq i\right.$. Using logarithmic differentiation, we can verify that $\omega_{2}(i)=\frac{(i+p+1)^{2}\left(2(i+1)^{2}-q^{2}\right)}{(i+q+1)^{2}\left(2(i+1)^{2}-p^{2}\right)}$ decreases with $i$. We deduce that inequality (4) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \frac{q}{p}$. It is easy to see that, $\frac{q}{p} \leq \frac{2 q \sqrt{2 p^{2}-q^{2}}}{p(p+q)}$, and $\frac{q}{p} \leq \frac{p+q}{2 q}$ for $q<p$. This completes the proof.

Remark 1 The previous result obviously holds also, when $p=q$.
Proposition 3 Let $p$ and $q$ be positive integers, such that $p<q$. Then $T_{z^{q}+\alpha \overline{z^{p}}}$ is hyponormal if and only if $|\alpha| \leq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$.

Proof As in the proof of the previous of proposition, hyponormality of $T_{z^{q}+\alpha \overline{z^{p}}}$ is equivalent to the following three inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\alpha|^{2} \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}} \leq \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}} \quad i<p  \tag{5}\\
& |\alpha|^{2}\left(\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-p+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}} \quad p \leq i<q  \tag{6}\\
& |\alpha|^{2}\left(\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+p+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-p+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}\right) \leq\left(\frac{(i+1)^{2}}{(i+q+1)^{2}}-\frac{(i-q+1)^{2}}{(i+1)^{2}}\right) \quad q \leq i \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The same method, as the one used in the proof of the previous proposition, leads to the following: (5) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$, inequality (6) is equivalent to the inequality $|\alpha| \leq \min \left\{\frac{(i+1)^{2}(i+p+1)}{(i+q+1) \sqrt{2 p^{2}(i+1)^{2}-p^{4}}}, p \leq i<q\right\}=A_{p, q}=\frac{(p+1)^{2}(2 p+1)}{p(p+q+1) \sqrt{p^{2}+4 p+2}}$, while inequality (7) is equivalent to $|\alpha| \leq \min \left\{\frac{q}{p} \frac{i+p+1}{i+q+1} \frac{\sqrt{2(i+1)^{2}-q^{2}}}{\sqrt{2(i+1)^{2}-p^{2}}}, q \leq i\right\}=B_{p, q}$ $=\frac{q(q+p+1) \sqrt{q^{2}+4 q+2}}{p(2 q+1) \sqrt{2 q^{2}+4 q+2-p^{2}}}$.
It is easy to see that $\frac{2 p+1}{p+q+1} \geq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$, and that $\frac{(p+1)^{2}}{p \sqrt{p^{2}+4 p+2}} \geq 1$. Thus $A_{p, q} \geq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$.
We also have $q \sqrt{q^{2}+4 q+2} \geq p \sqrt{2 q^{2}+4 q+2-p^{2}}$, and $\frac{p+q+1}{2 q+1} \geq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$, which leads to $B_{p, q} \geq \frac{p+1}{q+1}$. This completes the proof.

In what follows, we give a sufficient condition for the hyponormality of $T_{z^{q}+\bar{g}}$, where $g$ is a polynomial. Denote by $B_{1}$ the unit ball of $\left(L_{a, w}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$. We need to introduce the following set

Definition 1 For $f \in L_{a, w}^{2}$, set $\Lambda_{f}=\left\{g \in L_{a, w}^{2}\right.$, $\sup \left\{|<\bar{g} k, u>|, u \in B_{1}\right\} \leq \sup \{\mid$ $\left.<\bar{f} k, u>\mid, u \in B_{1}\right\}$ for any $\left.k \in H^{\infty}\right\}$.

By the density of $H^{\infty}$ in $L_{a, w}^{2}$, we see that, $g \in \Lambda_{f}$ is equivalent to $T_{f+\bar{g}}$ is hyponormal. Some of the properties of $\Lambda_{f}$ are listed in the following proposition:

Proposition 4 Let $f \in L_{a, w}^{2}$, the following holds:
(i) $\Lambda_{f}$ is convex and balanced.
(ii) If $g \in \Lambda_{f}$ and $c$ is a constant then $g+c \in \Lambda_{f}$.
(iii) $f \in \Lambda_{f}$.
(iv) $\Lambda_{f}$ is weakly closed.

Proof We show only (iv), the other properties being easy to verify. Assume $\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ is a net in $\Lambda_{f}$, such that $g_{\alpha} \rightarrow g$, and $u_{0} \in B_{1}$. Then lim $\left|<\overline{g_{\alpha}} k, u_{0}>\left|=\left|<\bar{g} k, u_{0}>\right|\right.\right.$ and $\left|<\overline{g_{\alpha}} k, u_{0}>\right| \leq \sup \left\{|<\bar{f} k, u>|, u \in B_{1}\right\}$ and thus $\left|<\bar{g} k, u_{0}>\right| \leq \sup \{\mid<\bar{f}$ $\left.k, u>\mid, u \in B_{1}\right\}$. We get $\sup \left\{|<\bar{g} k, u>|, u \in B_{1}\right\} \leq \sup \left\{|<\bar{f} k, u>|, u \in B_{1}\right\}$ for any $k$ in $H^{\infty}$.

Using this proposition we obtain the following result
Theorem 2 Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \leq 1$, then the
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