

CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES ON SCIENCE EDUCATION

Science Education in the Arab Gulf States

**Visions, Sociocultural Contexts and
Challenges**

Nasser Mansour and Saeed Al-Shamrani (Eds.)

SensePublishers

Science Education in the Arab Gulf States

**CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES ON SCIENCE EDUCATION:
DISTINGUISHED CONTRIBUTORS**

Volume 4

Series Editors

Catherine Milne, *New York University, USA*

Kate Scantlebury, *University of Delaware, USA*

Cultural and Historical Perspectives on Science Education: Distinguished Contributors features a profile of scholarly products selected from across the career of an outstanding science education researcher. Although there are several variants in regards to what is included in the volumes of the series the most basic form consists of republication of 8-10 of the scholar's most significant publications along with a critical review and commentary of these pieces in terms of the field at the time of doing the work, the theories underpinning the research and the methods employed, and the extent to which the work made an impact in science education and beyond. Another genre of Key Works republishes the most influential research in a selected area of interest to science educators. Examples of the areas we will feature include science teacher education, science teaching, language in science, equity, the social nature of scientific knowledge, and conceptions and conceptual change. Collections of articles are placed in an historical context and the rationale for changing perspectives is provided and analyzed in relation to advances and changing priorities in science education. Each volume shows how individuals shaped and were shaped by the cultural context of science education, including its historical unfolding.

Science Education in the Arab Gulf States

Visions, Sociocultural Contexts and Challenges

Edited by

Nasser Mansour

Exeter University, UK

and

Saeed Al-Shamrani

*The Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education (ECSME),
King Saud University, Saudi Arabia*



SENSE PUBLISHERS
ROTTERDAM/BOSTON/TAIPEI

A C.I.P. record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN: 978-94-6300-047-5 (paperback)

ISBN: 978-94-6300-048-2 (hardback)

ISBN: 978-94-6300-049-9 (e-book)

Published by: Sense Publishers,
P.O. Box 21858,
3001 AW Rotterdam,
The Netherlands
<https://www.sensepublishers.com/>

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved © 2015 Sense Publishers

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	vii
Acknowledgments: Institutional Support	ix
Acknowledgments: Academic Support	xi
The Context of Science Education in the Arab Gulf States <i>Nasser Mansour and Saeed Al-Shamrani</i>	xiii
Part 1: The Current Practices and Challenges in Science Education in the Arab Gulf States	
1. Saudi Science Teacher Professional Development: Trends, Practices and Future Directions <i>Hiya Almazroa and Saeed Al-Shamrani</i>	3
2. Science Education Research in the Sultanate of Oman: The Representation and Diversification of Socio-Cultural Factors and Contexts <i>Sulaiman M. Al-Balushi and Abdullah K. Ambusaidi</i>	23
3. Science Teacher Professional Development Needs in the United Arab Emirates <i>Sufian A. Forawi</i>	49
Part 2: The Reforms and Innovations of Science Education in the Arab Gulf States	
4. Making the Science Class Spacious for Students' Voice: Dialogic Practice in Omani Science Classes <i>Asma Al-Mahrouqi</i>	71
5. Science Education Reform and Related Cultural Issues in Bahrain: A Historical Move <i>Khalil Y. Al-Khalili</i>	97
6. A Conceptual Framework for Re-Shaping Science Education in Saudi Arabia <i>Khalid Alhammad</i>	121
7. A Cross-National Comparison of ICT Resources and Science Teachers' Professional Development in and Use of ICT in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries <i>Alexander W. Wiseman and Emily Anderson</i>	137

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part 3: The Sociocultural Issues of the Science Education in the Arab Gulf States

8. Science Education in Saudi Arabia: A Response to Controversial Issues <i>Maher Mohammed Alarfaj</i>	155
9. Adopting Western Models of Learning to Teaching Science as a Means of Offering a Better Start at University?: The Impact of Socio-Cultural Factors: A Case of Bahrain <i>Aneta Hayes, Nasser Mansour and Ros Fisher</i>	169
10. Science Education in the Sultanate of Oman: Current Status and Reform <i>Abdullah Ambusaidi and Sulaiman Al-Balushi</i>	189
11. The Socio-Cultural Contexts of Science Curriculum Reform in the State of Kuwait <i>Ahmad S. Alshammari, Nasser Mansour and Nigel Skinner</i>	205
About the Contributors	225
Author Index	231
Subject Index	237

INTRODUCTION

It is the pleasure of the *Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education* (ECSME) at *King Saud University* to introduce this book to those who are concerned about science education in the Arab Gulf States. Our administrators and researchers participated in many international science education conferences and events and met science educators from all over the world. Many of them had visited one or more of the Arab Gulf States or had been invited to participate in some projects related to science education; ever more, we hear the same question: How can we learn more about current situation of science education in the Arab Gulf States? This question encouraged us, as the only research center in science and mathematics education in the Arab Gulf States, to take the responsibility support the publishing of this book. We are very delighted to present first book about science education in the Gulf Arab States to the international readers.

Compared to many developed countries, the formal educational systems in the Arab Gulf States are relatively new. However, many science education projects and innovations have been recently initiated in in the Arab Gulf States and we hope this book will be a window to introduce these initiatives and innovations to the international science education community. The Arab Gulf States, as all countries, believe in the importance of science education in the present and the future. They also believe that science education reforms cannot be successful without creating partnerships with science educators in those countries who have had more experience at overcoming challenges.

This introduction explains the main purpose of this book which we intend to be a part of the international science education community to exchange benefits and experiences. We are trying to open another avenue with our colleagues to allow them to understand, communicate, and participate in our science education. This book provides the readers with an extensive background about science education in the Arab Gulf States so that they can easily contribute to our researches and projects. We hope it will fill the shortage of formal resources about science education in the Arab Gulf States.

The editors of the book made a great effort to encourage science educators in the Arab Gulf States to participate in this book. Fortunately, many of them accepted the invitation to contribute as authors of the chapters. We thank the editors of the book: Dr. Nasser Mansour and Dr. Saeed Al-Shamrani and we thank all authors and co-authors of the chapters. Without their efforts, this book would never have existed.

We thank the Ministry of Higher Education in Saudi Arabia for its funding and support of ECSME. This book and all of our scientific work are the product of their support. We also thank King Saud University, of which our center is a part, for its continuous support and encouragements. We hope that our ECSME center will achieve the vision of our ministry and university; we hope that we influence science and mathematics education nationally, regionally, and internationally.

Fahad Suliman Alshaya
ECSME Director

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Institutional Support

As the editors of this book we would like to express the deepest appreciation to the Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education (ECSME) at King Saud University, Ministry of Education, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for supporting this book project. Without this invaluable support this book would not have come to fruition.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Academic Support

As the editors of this book, we would first of all like to express our sincere gratefulness to our knowledgeable authors and co-authors without whom this book would not have been accomplished. It is their incredible expertise combined with their timely contributions that have facilitated this high quality book to be completed and published on time. We would like to say a heartfelt thanks to Maher Mohammed Alarfaj, Sulaiman M. Al-Balushi, Khalid Alhammad, Khalil Y. Al-Khalili, Asma Al-Mahrouqi, Hiya Almazzroa, Ahmad S. Alshammari, Abdullah K. Ambusaidi, Ros Fisher, Sufian A. Forawi, Aneta Hayes, Nigel Skinner and Alexander W. Wiseman.

Each chapter of the book was peer-reviewed by at least three reviewers. We would like to thank the peer review committee of the book chapters for their valuable inputs on an earlier version of the book chapters and for their very insightful and constructive comments and suggestions that added to the value and the quality of the book. The peer review committee consisted of:

- Professor Saouma BouJaoude, American University in Beirut, Lebanon
- Professor S.L. Romas De Robles, University of Guadalajara, Mexico
- Professor Gerald H. Krockover, Purdue University, USA
- Professor Xiufeng Liu, The State University of New York at Buffalo, USA
- Dr. Ebru Mugaloglu, Bogazici University, Turkey
- Professor Michael Reiss, University College London, UK
- Professor Peter Charles Taylor, Curtin University, Australia

We extend our gratitude to the book series editors Professor Catherine Milne and Professor Kate Scantlebury for their valuable insights and reviews. Also we would like to express huge thanks to Professor Ken Tobin for his valuable comments and support for the proposal of this book.

We owe special thanks to our colleagues in the Excellence Research Center for Science and Mathematics Education ECSME at the King Saud University, with whom we had lengthy discussions related to the proposal and the focus of this book. We hereby acknowledge the invaluable support and the encouragement that were given by them.

Finally, we would like to express our appreciation to the Sense Publishers' team for their efficient management of the whole process of the production of this book.

The editors

Nasser Mansour & Saeed Al-Shamrani

NASSER MANSOUR AND SAEED AL-SHAMRANI

THE CONTEXT OF SCIENCE EDUCATION IN THE ARAB GULF STATES

THE FOCUS OF THE BOOK

The key purpose of this volume is to provide some perspectives on the state of science education in the Gulf and to share experiences with international scholars about the impact of the innovations and reforms implemented in science education in the region. But the book is also intended to present new visions and to make suggestions and recommendations about the contribution of science education to prepare students in the knowledge age. It will introduce the development of science education in the Arab Gulf states and will present a critical analysis of current issues and concerns in educational research in science education. Chapters will cover the social and cultural contexts of science education reforms and innovations in the Arab Gulf states, with special attention to systems and institutions, curriculum and evaluation, teacher professional development and the use of technology in science education.

AN INTRODUCTION

The economic and demographic profiles of the Arab Gulf States – Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates, Qatar, and the Sultanate of Oman – vary significantly. Nonetheless, their public education systems have evolved along similar paths, focusing for decades on increasing the number of teachers and making effective investments in “hard infrastructure – schools and, more recently, computers – in hopes of improving their students’ performance” (Barber, Mourshed & Whelan, 2007). What makes the Gulf countries unique, however, is what is described as the “Gulf State Phenomenon”. These countries are characterized by the intersection of strong religious ideology, rapid economic change and developing social infrastructures. As a result of these shared characteristics, the Gulf countries, and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries in particular, have invested heavily and almost identically in education reform and innovation to support mainly economic and social development. Because the Gulf countries are relatively new themselves, the rapid development of education at all levels in the Gulf has involved extensive policy borrowing, from Western countries in particular, and has accelerated the

interest in this region as an emerging area of study in the literature (see Wiseman & Anderson 2013, pp. 170–171; Aydarova, 2013).

Historically, the purpose of education in Gulf society was to preserve and transmit traditional culture. Nowadays, leaders throughout the GCC view education as a basic component in building their nations and the foundation of economic development and social change in the knowledge age (G-Mrabet, 2012). However, the reform of the educational system in the six Gulf states reflects the basic dilemma that these societies face – how to reconcile the requirements of modernization with their traditional values (Bahgat, 1999). In this respect Bahgat argues that “the educational system in the six Gulf monarchies is still in the process of crossing the gap from a traditional and religious type of schooling to a modern and secular one. Its main contribution is to produce civil servants. It is ill-suited to the emerging realities of the region’s growing integration into the global system. There is a need for more openings, acceptance, and tolerance of modern technology and information. This should reduce the region’s heavy dependence on expatriates” (p. 131). In this respect, Rogers (2003) argues that, “Every social system has certain qualities that should not be destroyed if the welfare of the system is to be maintained” (p. 412). Rogers suggests that the values, beliefs and attitudes of a particular culture are effective for that culture and should be judged based on their functionality in terms of the people’s own specific circumstances and needs. The norms of the outsider or change agent should not be imposed on the user’s culture. A study by Aydarova (2013) to examine why nations borrow policy discourses, research on transfer has overlooked the implementation of transferred educational practices, models, or curricula shows that the significant actors’ interpretations of the local culture, context, and students’ abilities play a central role in modifying, reducing, or substituting the transferred curriculum. This issue of socio-cultural factors in relation to successful implementation of visions or innovations will be discussed in detail in Chapter 9 by Aneta Hayes. She presents a case study in Bahrain to demonstrate how specific socio-cultural factors may impact successful implementation of such reforms but also to challenge the idea of internationalising schools in the Gulf region as a means of educational improvement.

Over the last few years in the Gulf Arab States, international educational innovations, globalization and economic development have had a significant effect on education in general and on science education in particular but these improvements have been mainly in private education. Serious concerns about the performance of students at the international assessments e.g. the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) have been expressed by governments, educators and researchers which led to concerns over the state of science education in the Arab Gulf states. Rohit Walia (Executive Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Alpen Capital Group) says: “The education sector in the GCC is witnessing a period of tremendous growth. Rising population, higher income levels and an increasing awareness of quality education has resulted in a positive outlook for this sector. While a number

of challenges like a shortage of skilled teachers, high initial investment and running costs exist for investors, the education sector continues to be an attractive investment option. Although the private school market across the GCC is highly fragmented, it still offers significant opportunities for new investments and ample room for consolidation for existing players” (Ahmad, Vig & Dhingra, 2012, p. 5) The GCC Education Sector report stated, “The International student assessments like Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) and Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study have repeatedly ranked public schools operating in the GCC among the lowest in the world. For instance, as per the PISA result of 2009, eight out of ten best performing schools were private schools. The private education institutes set higher standards and have played a key role in the development of the education sector in the GCC. Private schools also impart students with the much-needed English language skills and focus on maintaining the good quality of education by hiring skilled and trained teachers. Further, these schools also emphasize on mathematics and science in their syllabus, which is a requirement for higher education. Thus, they offer students an easier pathway to university-level education” (Ahmad, Vig, & Dhingra, 2012, p. 44).

Educational policymakers in the Gulf respond to low student performance by focusing on teacher quality as a key to educational reform (Aydarova 2012 in Wiseman & Al-bakr, 2012); this response brings the global educational agenda for teacher standards and empirical measures of teacher quality to the fore in Gulf states (Wiseman & Al-bakr, 2012). This raises a question (Barber, Mourshed and Whelan, 2007): Is low student performance in Arabian Gulf countries the fault of Gulf teachers? Education systems in the Gulf are routinely criticized because of their low mean student performance on internationally comparative assessments of mathematics and science (Barber, Mourshed & Whelan, 2007). In this respect, Wiseman and Al-bakr (2012, p. 307) argue that there are many factors that need to be considered: “Many characteristics play vital roles in student achievement at the classroom level. Some of these characteristics are related to students’ socio-economic status, while others are related to teachers’ ability to teach, interact with, and motivate students. The challenge for policymakers and educators, in the Gulf and elsewhere, is to design teacher certification processes that effectively chase the most elusive of goals: teacher quality.” Chapter 1, about teacher professional development in Saudi Arabia and Chapter 3, about teacher education in United Arab Emirates discuss these issues in detail.

Evidence summarised in the Impact of Science Education on the GCC Labour Market publication suggests that creating both a competitive and amenable labour market for GCC nationals in the private sector will require a labour strategy focusing on strengthening investment in human capital. Doing this requires a significant investment in science and technology education either formally or informally. The report contextualizes the labour market relative to science education and vice versa in the GCC countries, and summarizes the literature on the topic before turning to the 2007 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) data to

examine comparatively science education and expectations for university and labour market transitions within and across GCC countries compared to international trends (see Wiseman, & Anderson, 2012; Wiseman, & Anderson, 2013). Measuring the strength of the economic and welfare systems in the Gulf States using income per capita implies that the Gulf countries do very well. However, they exhibit low science and technology (S & T) activity, which seems at odds with the idea that strong S & T is necessary for economic growth and development. This combination of poor S & T inputs and/or resources together with an inadequate economic system as a whole results in the Gulf countries producing poor S&T outputs and performances. This poor S & T output represents the main challenge in Gulf countries and they do invest a lot of money in these areas in order to improve these outcomes (Nour, 2005). Recently, a number of Gulf states have developed their instituted policies and educational visions and infrastructure that support transition from oil and gas economies to knowledge-based economies (BouJaouda & Dagher, 2009). In this respect, Freeman and Soete argue: “The many national interactions (whether public or private) between various institutions dealing with science and technology as well as with higher education, innovation and technology diffusion in the much broader sense, have become known as ‘national systems of innovation’. A clear understanding of such national systemic interactions provides an essential bridge when moving from the micro- to the macro-economics of innovation. It is also essential for comprehending fully the growth dynamics of science and technology and the particularly striking way in which such growth dynamics appear to differ across countries” (Freeman & Soete, 1997, p. 291).

Over the last half a century the six member-states of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) have witnessed an incredible transformation of almost all aspects of socio-economic and political life (Bahgat, 1999). All the GCC countries have had visions to improve their education but the key critical point is that the implementation of these visions is based mainly on importing curricula, educational systems and technologies from Western countries but without too much consideration of the differences between Gulf countries’ needs and cultures and these Western countries. (Some of these visions are discussed in this book) To achieve these visions, substantial resources have been invested to provide greater educational opportunities; however, developing educational systems that can produce students capable of tending to the needs of a changing society while at the same time preserving traditional Islamic values has been a major challenge (G-Mrabet, 2012). In this respect, Wiseman and Alromi (2007 in Wiseman & Anderson, 2012, p. 609) argue that the institutional context of schooling in GCC countries poses a challenge to the development of national innovation systems because of the dynamic intersection between religious ideologies, economic development and educational infrastructure, which are defining characteristics of the Gulf State Phenomenon. Mansour (2013) argues that science education forms part of a complex dynamic; people’s beliefs, knowledge, values and actions shape and are shaped by the structural and cultural features of society

and school cultures. “Science education studies argue that we can know nature only through culturally constituted conceptual or epistemological frameworks, enabled and limited by local cultural features such as discursive practices, institutional structures, interests, values, cultural norms, and so on” (Turnbull, 2000 in Mansour, 2013, p. 348).

This lack of consideration of the socio-cultural contexts hinders the development of education in general and science education in particular in Gulf countries. Lemke (2001) posed the question, “What does it mean to take a socio-cultural perspective on science education?” “Essentially,” he answered, “it means viewing science, science education, and research into science education as human social activities conducted within institutional and cultural frameworks” (p. 296). Another question posed by Lemke was, “What does it mean to view the objects of our concern as ‘social activities’?” For example in Chapter 3, Sufian Forawi explains that in UAE, “the country developed frameworks for all the school subjects including science for grade 12 in an attempt to strategically plan to develop a systematic science education reform. Nevertheless, this movement is facing many obstacles and has not been seen as influential. The frameworks of science seemed sufficient though with a lack of real contextual and cultural connections as they are mostly drawn from Western documents with little or no relevance to the country’s culture and heritage. Also, with a lack of follow-up and evaluation of the many educational initiatives, these reforms remain questionable and open to doubt.” G-Mrabet (2012) argues that it is imperative that the change agent understands fully his or her own culture in order to understand how he or she may be perceived by the host culture and thus communicate better and avoid some of the misunderstandings that are repeated over and over.

In short, the Arab Gulf states are in a race to become “knowledge economies” and, as a result, they are promoting educational reforms in all disciplines especially in science education, blended learning, pedagogical innovations and curricula adopted from the West. This book provides a collection of studies on the state of science education in the Arabian Gulf where currently there is increased activity and investment in the reform of science education and the science curricula.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE BOOK

The book is organised into three main sections. The first section addresses the current practices and challenges in science education in some of the Arab Gulf states. This section sheds the light on the challenges and problems that hinder or constrain the implementation of innovations in science education. Also, it presents and critically analyses current practices in science education covering issues related to teacher education, the learning environment, learners, assessments, curricula and the use of technology. The second section explores the science educational reforms and innovations that are being implemented in the Arabian Gulf. This section will present experiences and research with using new approaches to teaching and learning in

science classrooms in some of the Arab Gulf states. It introduces new approaches to science education that challenge existing thinking about science education and to define new ways forward. The third section discusses the socio-cultural issues that have impacted on shaping and reshaping the science education in the Arabian Gulf. This section will focus on exploring the socio-cultural factors that influence engagement and non-engagement in science education. It also explores how socio-cultural issues and contexts guide the reform of science education in the Arabian Gulf including issues such as curricula, learning environment and teacher education. This section presents various examples of how we can respond to cultural issues (e.g. religion, gender and language) in the science classroom.

Section 1: The Current Practices and Challenges in Science Education in the Arab Gulf States

In Chapter 1, entitled “Saudi science teacher professional development: trends, practices and future directions”, Hiya Almazzroa and Saeed Al-Shamrani provide an overview of the status and challenges of the science teacher professional development in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This chapter consists of three main sections. The first section reviews the characteristics of effective professional development. The second section depicts the status of professional development in Saudi Arabia. The third section attempts to identify the various challenges coming ahead regarding professional development. The chapter proposes recommendations for advancing science teacher professional development in Saudi Arabia.

In Chapter 2, entitled “Science education in the Sultanate of Oman: current status and reform”, Abdullah Ambusaidi and Suliaman Al-Balushi provide an overview about the status of science education in the Sultanate of Oman. The chapter presents the current reform, initiatives and development of science education in Oman. The chapter also discusses the results of TIMSS and how it affects the type and the nature of students’ assessment in Oman. Finally, the chapter looks at the challenges facing science education in Oman.

In Chapter 3 entitled “Science teacher professional development needs in the United Arab Emirates”, Sufian Forawi presents critically the key aspects of science teacher professional development in the UAE, such as teaching excellence, advancing learning, critical thinking and authentic assessment of students. In particular, the chapter envisions a way forward to present the best science training model that develops teacher competencies, and prepares students effectively for the 21st century. The chapter provides examples of effective science teacher professional development using blended research methods, employing an analytical literature review along with the use of questionnaires and interview techniques. It concludes with a science teacher professional development model that is based on the educational and socio-cultural contexts of the UAE and that allows for teacher growth, and consequently, positively impacts student learning.

Section 2: The Reforms and Innovations of Science Education in the Arabian Gulf

In Chapter 4 entitled “Making the Science Class Spacious for Students’ Voice”, Asma Al-Mahrouqi explores through an empirical case study the quality of the discursive interaction observed in Omani science classes, using Mortimer and Scott’s (2003) Authoritative and Dialogic communicative approaches. The chapter explains the different features of each authoritative and dialogic type. It also discusses ways to encourage the teachers to make the class more open to a dialogic discussion among students and teacher.

In Chapter 5 entitled “Science education reform and related cultural issues in Bahrain: A historical move”, Khalil Al-Khalili presents an overview of the ongoing science education reform in Bahrain. Document analysis, interviews and textbook review were used. The chapter shows that curriculum development and reform in general and that of science in particular were of focal interest to curriculum specialists in Bahrain, coping with the worldwide trend, especially that of the Western world.

In Chapter 6 entitled “Conceptual framework for re-shaping science education in Saudi Arabia”, Khalid Al-Hammad has designed a conceptual framework based on the teaching sequence to address the issue of science education from constructivist and socio-cultural perspectives. The designed teaching sequence was applied to Saudi secondary students and addressed different issues related to traditional and contemporary teaching methods. Al-Hammad argues that the local Saudi social and culture affected students’ understanding of scientific concepts which contradict with the scientific perspective. This chapter makes a recommendation for designing new pedagogical strategies that center on a communicative approach. It also recommends that the local social and cultural issues are taken into account in curriculum development.

In Chapter 7 entitled “A cross-national comparison of Information Communication Technology ICT resources and science teachers’ professional development in and use of ICT in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries”, Alexander Wiseman and Emily Anderson use data from the 2007 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) to comparatively analyse the integration of ICT into science education and its impact on student learning among 8th graders in the GCC. They argue that ICT infrastructure considerations in GCC educational systems should be about more than providing technology for students and teachers to use, but extend to the importance of providing infrastructure for building capacity as well. In addition they argue that targeted and strategic use of ICT-based instruction has a significant impact on student learning outcomes.

Section 3: The Sociocultural Issues of the Science Education in The Arabian Gulf

In Chapter 8 entitled “Science Education in Saudi Arabia: A Response to Controversial Issues”, Maher Mohammed Alarfaj discusses the moral and ethical awareness in

science education in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The chapter discusses the connection between the scientific mind and Islam. The primary focus of this chapter is the imposition of science curricula in Saudi Arabia and science teacher preparation programmes, and how they correspond to social and technological paradigms while incorporating ethical and moral qualities.

The socio-cultural issues that may have an impact on the reforms of science education in Bahrain are tackled by Aneta Hayes in Chapter 9, entitled “Adopting Western models of learning to teaching science as a means of offering a better start at university? The impact of socio-cultural factors: A case of Bahrain”. Hayes doubts whether adopting Western programmes can solve problems with science education in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries and proposes that matching reform with the broader societal view might be a better way forward. She draws on the findings from a qualitative case study in Bahrain where secondary science teachers, first year university students and relevant university faculty staff were interviewed in focus groups and semi-structured interviews. Hayes argues that societal culture has a great impact on the functioning of schools and the complexity of factors that influence the way schools teach science. In addition, she argues that the research emphasises that socio-cultural factors are difficult to change and that introducing new reforms should be based on considerations of these factors.

The socio-cultural factors that impact the science education in Oman are critically analysed and discussed by Al-Balushi and Ambusaidi in Chapter 10, entitled “Science education research in the Sultanate of Oman: the representation and diversification of socio-cultural factors and contexts”. The authors conducted a survey study with 16 science education researchers. It shows that some research areas in Oman have not had much attention from researchers, such as religious beliefs, non-Arabic spoken languages, age levels, school locations and mixed gender school settings. In this chapter the authors suggest some recommendations to enhance the representation and diversification of socio-cultural factors within science education research in Oman, and in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states.

In Chapter 11, entitled “The Socio-Cultural Contexts of Science Curriculum Reform in the State of Kuwait”, Ahmad Alshammari, Nasser Mansour and Nigel Skinner present a study that critically evaluates the science curriculum in Kuwait from the perspective of science teachers. This chapter focused on the teachers’ views regarding the extent to which the new science curriculum relates to the Kuwaiti social cultural context and the Islamic religion. Al-Shammari argues that the curriculum content is not much related to Kuwaiti society and culture or the Islamic religion and this has negatively influenced students’ understanding and attitude towards learning science. The chapter makes recommendations regarding teacher professional development and the development of the science curriculum.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S., Vig, S., & Dhingra, L. (2012). *GCC Education Sector report*. Alpen capital.
- Aydarova, O. (2013). If not “the Best of the West,” then “Look East”: Imported teacher education curricula in the Arabian Gulf. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 17(3), 284–302.
- Bahgat, G. (1999). Education in the Gulf Monarchies: Retrospect and prospect. *International Review of Education*, 45 (2), 127–136.
- Barber, M., Mourshed, M., & Whelan, F. (2007). Improving education in the Gulf. *The McKinsey Quarterly*, 39–47.
- BouJaouda, S., & Dagher, Z. (2009). *The world of science education: Arab States*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers
- Freeman, C., & Soete, L. (1997). *The economics of industrial innovation* (3rd ed.). London, UK: Cassell.
- G-Mrabet, J. (2012). *Western education in the Arabian Gulf: The costs and benefits of reform*. Retrieved February 23, 2012 from <http://www.mei.edu/content/western-education-arabian-gulf-costs-and-benefits-reform>
- Lenke, J. L. (2001). Articulating communities: Sociocultural perspectives on science education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(3), 296–316.
- Mansour, N. (2013). Modelling the sociocultural contexts of science education: The teachers’ perspective. *Research in Science Education*, 43, 347–369.
- Nour, S. (2005). *Science and technology development indicators in the Arab Region: A comparative study of Gulf and Mediterranean Arab countries*. Discussion Paper Series. United Nations University, Institute for New Technologies, Maastricht, The Netherlands.
- Rogers, E. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations initiations*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Wiseman, A., & Anderson, E. (2012). ICT-integrated education and national innovation systems in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. *Computers & Education*, 59, 607–618.
- Wiseman, A., & Anderson, E. (2013). *Annual review of comparative and international education* (International Perspectives on Education and Society, Vol. 20). London: Emerald Publishing.
- Wiseman, A. W., & Alromi, N. H. (2007). *The employability imperative: Schooling for work as a national project*. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers.
- Wiseman, A., & Al-bakr, F. (2012). The elusiveness of teacher quality: A comparative analysis of teacher certification and student achievement in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. *Prospects*, 43, 289–309.

Nasser Mansour
Senior Lecturer in Science Education
Graduate School of Education
Exeter University

Saaed Al-Shamrani
Associate Professor
The Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education &
College of Education
King Saud University
Saudi Arabia

PART 1

THE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CHALLENGES IN SCIENCE EDUCATION IN THE ARAB GULF STATES

1. SAUDI SCIENCE TEACHER PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Trends, Practices and Future Directions

ABSTRACT

Professional development is one significant mechanism for maintaining a high standard in science teaching. This chapter is intended to provide guidance that stems from best practice, as highlighted in the relevant literature and analysis of the state of science education in the country. The chapter consists of three main sections: the first section reviews what has been published over the past decade, which provides a base of knowledge about the characteristics of effective professional development. The second section depicts the status of professional development in Saudi Arabia, and highlights where we have yet to improve. It draws on the available data and efforts surrounding professional development. The third section attempts to identify the various challenges ahead regarding professional development. Based on these three sections, we propose recommendations for the advancement of science teacher professional development in Saudi Arabia.

INTRODUCTION

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is investing in a significant opportunity to gain improvements in education. This includes allocated governmental funding for the new science and mathematics curriculum, as well as King Abdullah's project for public school education (Tatweer Project). Science education in Saudi Arabia is receiving more attention than it has ever received before. The government contracted a national company (partnered with an international company) to provide new instructional materials supported by professional development programs for both science supervisors and teachers.

These efforts, essential as they are, need to be accompanied by a more effective and systematic approach to supporting, developing, and mobilising science teachers who will teach in and lead schools. The reform initiatives in science education are causing a shift from conventional teaching styles to more progressive, inquiry-oriented methods. This means that teachers need to be supported regarding how to approach such novel teaching.

Professional development is one significant mechanism for maintaining a high standard in science teaching. The most important reason for professional development as identified by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS, 1998) is to help teachers to recognize the special expertise related to their work. Second, Professional Development is essential for teachers to master the knowledge and skills needed as pre-service education is neither long enough nor intense enough. The third reason is to help teachers grow and develop and, finally, to improve teaching quality.

In a centralized education system as in Saudi Arabia, educational authorities are responsible for setting policies and making decisions on the kinds of professional development that will be supported and implemented. In this chapter we intend to provide guidance which stems from best practice, as highlighted in the relevant literature and analysis of the status of science education in the country.

The following pages include three main sections. The first section provides a review of the existing research on effective characteristics of professional development. The second section depicts the state of professional development in Saudi Arabia, through snapshots of how professional development is being designed. The chapter ends with a third section in which we propose future directions for advancing science teachers' professional development in Saudi Arabia. Not least, this chapter is a major first step toward developing a comprehensive set of policies and practices that help to better organize professional development. Our ongoing research, informed by the wider literature on professional development, attempts to develop a framework of professional development that can enrich the practices of science teacher development. Our concern as researchers is to develop a greater understanding of what makes professional development effective.

SIGNIFICANCE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT TO SAUDI CURRICULUM IMPLEMENTATION

What is the best way to reform science education in Saudi Arabia?. Currently, The country is running a new science education reform, In 2009 a new Mathematics and Science Curriculum was launched; an adapted series of science textbooks produced by American publishing company McGraw-Hill was translated and modified to be adopted for all school levels. The new science curriculum emphasizes current teaching and learning trends and promises to adopt a learner-centred approach with inquiry-based instruction (Obeikan, for Research and Development, 2010). But this will only happen if teachers' classroom practices reflect high standards. It appears that teachers do not implement appropriate teaching practices (Alrwathi Almazroa, Alahmed, Scantbly, & Alshaya, 2014). Education reforms will not succeed without teachers who are immersed in the subject they teach and well equipped to implement appropriate teaching practices.

Professional development of teachers plays a key role in the new curriculum implementation and is widely believed to be required in order to support

implementation (Richard & Neil, 2011; Spillane & Thompson, 1997). Reforming science education requires much more intensive professional learning than has been available until now. There is a concern in curriculum implementation that teachers will continue with existing traditional teaching practices, with a little tinkering to show that they have modified their teaching towards the specified way (De Beer, 2008). Therefore, Atweh, Bernardo and Balagtas (2008) consider teachers as the principal mediators of curriculum implementation and view professional development as an integral component if curriculum reform is to reach the classroom. We view professional development as a crucial element of the nation's efforts to improve education. Blank and Alas's (2009) meta-analysis study provided evidence of the effects of science and mathematics teachers' professional development on improving student learning. By measuring and summarizing consistent, systematic findings across multiple studies, a positive relationship between student outcomes and key characteristics of the design of professional development programmes was identified.

In Saudi Arabia, professional development has only recently been considered a national priority and a main research priority in the field of science education (Alshamrani, 2012; Obeikan for Research and Development, 2010). So far, much of the professional development that is offered to teachers simply does not meet the demands of the new curriculum (Almazroa, Aloraini, & Alshaye, 2014). However, as Richard Elmore stated in American Federation Of Teachers (AFT), "Unless you have a theory about how to support instructional practice, you don't have a prayer" (AFT, 2008, p. 1). The focus should be on formulating and implementing professional development that makes realizing the reform possible. Since professional development is an essential element of comprehensive reform, it has to be carefully crafted and well designed, otherwise the dream will not be realized. An effective professional development programs requires radical changes in practice. Furthermore, if it is to be understood as learning activities rather than training activities, as Mansour, El-Deghaidy, Aldahmash and Alshamrani (2012) note, it should have a guiding framework that frames all the activities, so professional development leaders can design meaningful learning experiences for all teachers.

Therefore, the Ministry of Education needs to bolster teachers' knowledge and skills and ensure that professional learning is well planned and organized, as improving professional learning for educators is a crucial step in transforming schools. In fact, science teacher professional development all around the world has received special attention among policy-makers (National Research Council, 2009). Today, professional development is a major focus of the reform initiative and has become a necessary expectation in schools. The need for high quality professional development is urgent in light of the many new tenets on which the new curriculum is based. Therefore, understanding the characteristics of effective professional development is an important starting point to provide support for policy-makers and educators. Research is needed to examine what kinds of professional development provide support for the implementation of the new curriculum.

CHARACTERISTICS OF EFFECTIVE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR SCIENCE TEACHERS

The concept of professional development has changed from a fairly narrow view which regards professional development as a special event that is restricted to a few days with top-down models, to a wider concept defined as “processes and activities designed to enhance the professional knowledge, skills, and attitudes of educators so that they might, in turn, improve the learning of students” (Guskey, 2000, p. 16). Beliefs about professional development have changed from in-service programmes, which aim to use outside expertise to increase teachers’ knowledge, to a wider spectrum which includes not only teachers but also the organization to which the teacher belongs (Loucks-Horsley, Hewson, Love & Stiles, 1998). Reform models engage teachers in authentic activities within learning communities, connecting professional development to classroom work, and emphasizing inquiry-based instructions (Butler, Lauscher, Jarvis-Selinger & Bechingham, 2004).

Central to efforts to improve the quality of professional development is the provision of research-based evidence of effective characteristics. Research over the last few years has provided a base of knowledge about important qualities pertaining to the design and enactment of professional development. During the last decade there has been an increasing focus on what makes professional development effective. This section summarizes current research, which has been discussed in the domain of general education and more specifically in science education, by experts in the field as well as agencies in education.

Significant contributions are represented in agencies’ efforts to provide guidance in developing effective professional development. In the US the National Staff Development Council (NSDC, 2001) outlines standards for staff development to improve all students’ learning; these standards are listed in Table 1. Also, to supply evidence on the impact of high-quality teacher professional development, the American Federation of Teachers (AFT, 2011) published principles for professional development, which were derived from teachers’ views (see Table 1).

What does research say that works and what needs to be done to develop the best learning opportunities for science teachers? Darling-Hammond, a well-recognized expert in the field, identified essential elements to professional learning that need to be included in pre-service and in-service education (1998, p. 2, see Table 2). Birman, Desimone, Porter and Garet’s (2000) research-based study marked an important advance within the field because it relied on a survey of 1000 teachers who participated in a professional development programme, and on case studies, and gave empirical evidence on the relative value of professional development features. They identified three structural features that set the context for professional development – form, duration, and participation – and the core features necessary for the success of those structural features – content focus, active learning, and coherence (see Table 2). Many of the features of professional development which

Table 1. Professional development characteristics as viewed by educational agencies

<i>NSDC (2001)</i>	<i>AFT (2011)</i>
<p>Staff development that improves the learning of all students should have the following features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • learning communities, • leadership development, • required resources, • data driven, • uses multiple sources for evaluation, • research-based, • appropriate strategies for the intended goals, • understand equity, • quality teaching, • family involvement. 	<p>Professional development should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • deepen and broaden knowledge of content, • provide a strong foundation in the pedagogy of particular disciplines, • provide knowledge about teaching and learning processes, • reflect the best available research, • be aligned with the standards and curriculum that teachers' use, • contribute to a measurable improvement in student achievement, • be intellectually engaging, • provide sufficient time, support, and resources, • be designed by teachers in collaboration with experts in the field, • take a variety of forms, including some we have not typically considered, • be job-embedded and site-specific.

Table 2. Professional development characteristics as viewed by experts

<i>Darling-Hammond (1998)</i>	<i>Birman, Desimone, Porter and Garet (2000)</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Professional development avoids generalities and abstractions and deals with everyday teaching and learning practices such as lesson planning and student evaluation. • It is built around real cases and questions. • It builds collegueship through encouraging professional discourse which leads to analysis and communication about practices and values. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reform orientation with reform-oriented activities. • Duration in terms of both time and span and total contact hours. • Collective participation of teachers from the same school. <p>They also identified the core features necessary for the success of those structural features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Content</i> refers to enhancing teachers' discipline knowledge, • <i>Active learning</i> encourages teachers to become more engaged in discussion, planning, and practice, • <i>Coherence</i> refers to the extent to which professional development experience is part of the integrated programme of teacher learning.

they found to be significant predictors of effectiveness had been already identified in the literature.

As a field, science education has a set of recommendations for fostering professional growth. The National Research Council published a set of professional development standards in 1996 entitled the *National Science Education Standards* (NSES). These include recommendations for teachers of science to learn science content through inquiry, to integrate knowledge of science, learning, and pedagogy, to build understanding as a lifelong learner, and for professional development opportunities to be coherent and integrated (NRC, 1996; see Table 3). Loucks-Horsley et al. (1998, p. 37) described a common vision of effective professional development in science and mathematics. This common vision identifies the seven principles that are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Professional development characteristics as viewed in science education

<i>NRC (1996)</i>	<i>Loucks-Horsley et al. (1998)</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Learn science content through inquiry. • Integrate knowledge of science, learning, and pedagogy. • Build understanding as a lifelong learner. • Professional development opportunities that are coherent and integrated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A well-defined image of effective classroom learning and teaching. • Opportunities for teachers to build their knowledge and skills. • Use or model with teachers the strategies they will use with their students. • Build a learning community. • Support teachers to serve in leadership roles. • Provide links to other parts of the education system. • Assess themselves and make improvements to ensure positive impact on teacher effectiveness.

At the local level, reaching a consensus regarding characteristics of effective Saudi professional development is an important starting point to leverage support for policy makers and educators. Almazroa (2013) attempted to provide a conceptual framework for professional development and produced a list of characteristics for professional development that are suitable for the Saudi educational system, by relying not only on the literature, but surveying a sample of Saudi science teachers, five key dimensions were derived for professional development. Table 4 provides an overview of the vision for professional development that includes certain elements of each dimension

Table 4. Effective characteristics of Saudi professional development for science teachers

<i>Professional Development Dimensions</i>	<i>Effective characteristics</i>
Goals	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Share a common vision of teaching and learning. 2. Promote collegiality and collaboration to create learning community for science teachers. 3. Build leadership capacity. 4. Increase teachers' creativity and innovation. 5. Teaching ethics.
Content	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Deepen teachers' content knowledge. 7. Learn content knowledge through investigation and inquiry. 8. Provide a strong foundation in the pedagogy of the disciplines. 9. Professional development should provide knowledge about the teaching and learning processes. 10. Based on teachers' needs.
Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Must be long-term coherent plans. 12. Provide incentive to ensure motivation and encourage teachers' participation. 13. Provide highly qualified training team. 14. Provide support and mechanisms to enable teachers to master new content and pedagogy and to integrate these into their practice. 15. Require resources to support learning.
Approaches	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 16. Should take a variety of forms and include a follow-up with teachers. 17. Teachers, who are the practitioners, should be centrally involved in formulating professional development plans in cooperation with experts in the field. 18. Include active methods of teacher learning. 19. Use various technological innovations to learn content and pedagogy.
Evaluation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 20. Must be reviewed and assessed to constantly improve the impact of these activities.

A ANALYSIS OF CURRENT PROFESSIONAL
DEVELOPMENT IN RELATION TO RECENT TRENDS

Although formal education in Saudi Arabia was founded in the 1930s, attention to teacher professional development programmes was initiated in 1975 under the *General Administration of Teacher Preparation Programs*. In 1981, it came under the *General Administration of the Educational Guidance and Training*. It was not until 1998 that an independent administration for teacher training was launched called the *General Administration for Educational Training and Scholarships*, which was responsible for two types of professional development programmes: teacher training and internal and external scholarships for teachers (Ministry of Education, 2013). Currently, a centre for training and scholarship has been established in each of the 45 educational departments across the entire Kingdom; these centres provide training programmes for all teachers.

Moreover, science and mathematics teachers receive a secondary professional development programme as part of the Project of Mathematics and Natural Sciences (PMNS), the new reform in science and mathematics education, which was launched in 2009. Programmes introduced through PMNS are related to science and mathematics teaching than those programmes introduced by the centres. For example, the centres design and provide professional development programmes to suit any teachers, whereas PMNS professional development is specifically tailored towards science or mathematics teachers. PMNS trains science supervisors to prepare them to train science teachers; however, the centres provide their programmes independently according to a general plan by the general administration.

Although PMNS uses the term ‘professional development programmes’, it utilizes training workshops as the most common source for science teacher professional development. In fact, the term ‘training’ is the most prevalent term mentioned when it comes to educational research in Saudi Arabia. Predominantly, the researchers investigate either the impact of a training programme with regard to some independent variables or the training needs for science teachers (Abulhamail, 1999; Aldalan, 2004; Alfohaid, 1999; Flemban, 2003; Rafa, 1993). However, the *Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education* (ECSME) at King Saud University conducted a pilot study to identify the research priorities in science education in Saudi Arabia; the study found that research in professional development programmes was the highest research priority in the context of Saudi Arabia (ECSME, 2009). This study was followed by Alshamrani (2012), who aimed to identify the same goal using the Delphi method. Unsurprisingly, this study also found that research in professional development was the first research priority in science education in Saudi Arabia. In 2010, as part of the ECSME’s goals, a research group was initiated to study science and mathematics teacher professional development, and has been conducting important work which reflects the status of science teacher professional development, in addition to what has been carried out by other researchers such as Alshaye (2013).

In this chapter, we attempt to shed some light on the extent to which Saudi science teachers receive the kinds of professional development that the research recommends. Readers should be reminded that researchers for this paper found limited official documentations about professional development. Thus, this section relays heavily on recent research on science teacher professional development in Saudi Arabia. In this section, we identify common findings across studies on the status of professional development in comparison to Saudi effective professional development characteristics listed by Almazroa (2013) as follow:

1. Goals of Professional Development Programmes

As a central education system in Saudi Arabia, the goals of PD programmes established by the Ministry of education through identifying the needed skills and competences of teachers (Sabah, Fayeze, Alshamrani, & Mansour, 2013). Mansour et al. (2012) found a mismatch between the perceptions of science teachers and their supervisors regarding their PD needs; they argued that other authorities in the Ministry of Education may have different priorities. Sabah et al. (2013) indicated that the PD programme providers do not participate in defining PD they are providing. Moreover, Mansour, El-Deghaidy, Alshamrani, and Aldahmash (2014) found that the teachers do not also participate in articulating the goals of their received programs. They also indicated that a single provided programme expected to fit all the teachers regardless of their teaching subject, existing knowledge, needs, and school contexts. The lack of the voice of science teachers, supervisors, PD providers, and other related people can minimize the achieved expected outcomes of such these PD programs.

The analysis of the listed programs and guidebooks for training programs in some educational districts in Saudi Arabia indicated that there is a lack of guiding goals for these programs. The lack of these shared goals among the educational district can be attributed to the way of formulating the list of the provided programs. As indicated by the providers of PD programs, forming a list of training programmes starts through prioritizing the needs of science teachers by the Ministry of Education (Sabah et al., 2013). Although we did not find an evidence of an existing list of goals leading PD for the teachers, it might be exist in some ways; yet, what is apparent for us is the lack of enhancement of shared goals on formulating a coherent PD programs for the teachers in the educational districts. However, In King Abdullah's project for public school education (Tatweer Project) which is supposed to contribute to science teacher development, a set of goals are provided for PD; the goals related to science teachers are: improving general education outcomes through developing basic teaching skills, improving learning capacity for both teachers and supervisors, and improving teachers' leaderships of their classrooms (Tatweer Project, 2014). However, no evidence has been provided yet to clarify who to reflect these goals on teacher PD programs.

2. *Content of Professional Development Programmes*

Alshamrani et al. (2012), Mansour et al. (2013), Alshaye (2013) and EL-Deghaidy et al. (2014) used the voices of science teachers, supervisors and PD programme providers to reveal the content covered in the professional development programmes. These studies concluded that programmes focused on both subject knowledge and pedagogy. However, they reported that pedagogy receives more attention in comparison to subject knowledge. EL-Deghaidy et al. (2014) interviewed science teachers to identify their PD needs and subsequently classified them into four main themes: pedagogical, scientific, Information, Communication Technology (ICT), and professional skills. Table 5 shows these themes and their sub-themes.

Table 5. Professional development needs reported by science teachers (EL-Deghaidy et al., 2014)

<i>Main categories</i>	<i>Sub-themes</i>
Pedagogical knowledge	Deepening pedagogical content knowledge Responsiveness to the new science curricula reforms Classroom management Assessment Accommodating students' individual differences
Content knowledge	Deepening subject content knowledge Practical skills Cultural issues related to science education
ICT	Technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK)
Professional skills	Self-development and learning how to learn Teacher as a researcher Leadership

However, this list of themes do not fit with what are actually provided; PD programme providers mentioned that they delivered content such as teaching strategies, classroom management, project based learning, differentiated learning, active learning, inquiry, and constructivism (Sabah et al., 2014). The guidebook for training programmes included a special list for the provided programmes for science and mathematics teachers; this list encompasses: the basic kit, differentiated instruction, active learning, conceptual understanding, planning for understanding (Tabouk Educational Administration, 2014). This list can be found in all educational districts since they are provided by the Ministry of Education. Moreover, science teachers in all districts can receive some general programmes such as assessment and educational technology; however, the main distinction between the list of actual

programmes and the reported needs for science teachers are content knowledge and professional skills.

3. Supports for Professional Development Programmes

In an evaluation study provided to the Ministry of Education, it was found that the organizational support for PD was low as viewed by 1999 science and mathematics teachers (Almazroa, Aloraini, & Alshaye, 2015). Alshamrani et al. (2012) identified some obstacles related to the supports from either ministry or administration levels that Saudi science teachers face when participating in professional development programmes; the most important included a heavy teaching workload, inappropriate timing of the professional development programme, lack of advertising, the restricted number of available professional development programmes, and the limited incentives to encourage participation in professional development programmes. In another study (EL-Deghaidy et al., 2014), teachers reported factors that may persuade them to participate more in professional development programmes, including receiving financial recognition, attendance certificates, reducing teachers' workload, and consideration of teachers' opinions. They also mentioned some factors related to the programmes such location, timing and duration, and utilizing ICT.

4. Approaches for Professional Development Programmes

Almazroa, Aloraini, and Alshaye (2015) surveyed 1999 science and mathematics teachers to evaluate PD programs they are receiving, findings revealed that the most prevalent PD methods were workshops (29.3%), supervisor guidelines (21.3%), teachers' classroom exchange visits (15.6%) and model lesson observations (13.4%), it was found that other methods were not popular and were practiced rarely, including discussion groups (8.6%), meetings and symposia (4.2%), online training (4%) and participation in research (2%). The studies by Alshamrani, Aldahmash, Alqudah and Alroshood (2012) and Alshaye (2013) aimed to identify the status of science teacher professional development programmes in Saudi Arabia. Alshamrani et al. (2012) focused on science teacher programmes from science teachers' and supervisors' perspectives whereas Alshaye focused on science and mathematics teachers from the providers' perspectives. The results of the two studies have many variations and junctions; however, they led to one conclusion: the professional development of science teachers is not benefitting from important sources such as communities of practice, universities, and associations. Science teachers are limited to their official interaction with their supervisors, official training programmes and workshops, official observation exchanges with peers, and personal interest in reading. Sabah et al. (2014) found an absence of school enacted PD such as peer coaching, critical friendships, mentoring, action research, and the community of practice model; and out of school learning such as joining professional development networks, school-university partnerships, conferences. In EL-Deghaidy et al. (2014) study, science

teachers talked about official training programmes when it comes to professional development programmes. Howell and Stubbs (1996) asserted that professional development programmes should go beyond the official initiatives. Furthermore, the National Science Education Standards in the United States suggest some techniques for life-long learning:

Teachers of science develop the skills to analyze their learning needs and styles through self-reflection and active solicitation of feedback from others. They must have the skills to use tools and techniques for self-assessment (such as journal writing, study groups, and portfolios) and collaborative reflection strategies (such as peer coaching and mentoring, and peer consulting). (NRC, 1996, p. 69)

Darling-Hammond Wei, Andree, Richardson, and Orphanos (2009) also stated the following: “Beyond the structure of the work day that accommodates daily professional collaboration, many high-achieving nations dedicate significant resources to professional development, often drawing on expertise beyond the school” (p. 17).

Quint (2011) mentioned that the ‘one shot’ workshop approach should not be the only source for teacher professional development programmes; she stated that other sources supporting continuous development should be utilized, such as intensive summer institutes and follow-up group sessions. The lack of such important activities for Saudi science teachers can be attributed to the educational policy which does not differentiate between highly efficient teachers and those of a lesser ability, and therefore teachers have a low level of responsibility for their professional development and wait for what is officially provided.

PD programme providers asserted that they directly receive the programmes from the Ministry of Education then, finally, they deliver them to the teachers (Sabah et al., 2014). This ‘top-down’ approach does not encourage teachers to be involved in the processes of designing their professional development programmes. The National Science Education Standards (NRC, 1996) stated that science teachers should be able to set their goals and take responsibility for their own professional development. Consequently, taking control can lead to ownership and self-empowerment for the teacher and ultimately bring about lifelong professional development.

5. Evaluation for Professional Development Programmes

Guskey (2002) introduced his model in evaluating PD programs; this model consist of five levels which are participants’ reaction, participants’ learning, organization support and change, participants’ use of new knowledge and skills, and students’ learning outcomes. This model is considered as comprehensive and coherent (Bolam & McMahon, 2004) However, PD programmes for science teachers in Saudi Arabia, seems not having a systematic, comprehensive, and coherent evaluation model. Sabah, Fayeze, Alshamrani, and Mansour (2014) interviewed the providers of these

programs and came up with that the providers reflect simple and general processes for evaluating PD programmes. The providers vary on their response when they asked about programme evaluation; they mentioned different approaches, and all of them indicated approaches related to three levels or less of Gyskey model. They emphasized some levels of Guskey model such as evaluating participants' reactions and participants' use of new knowledge. However, few of them mentioned participant learning, and none of them mentioned evaluating organization support and change. This result implies that there is a lack of comprehensive and systematic approach of evaluating science teacher PD programs. It seems that the providers used some different approach depends on their views of how can these programs be evaluated. In another study (Almazroa, Alorainin, & Alshaye, 2015) to probe teachers' opinions about the role of evaluation in PD. Teachers believe that PD activities lack follow-up and evaluation activities, which means PD programs and activities need to be rigorously evaluated to provide data for improvement

LESSONS LEARNED FOR SCIENCE TEACHER PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE SAUDI EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

We suggest here that a critical analysis of the current status of Saudi professional development in light of ideal professional development provides a sound platform for further development and implementation. The recommendations presented below are derived from the above discussion.

First Recommendation: Forming a Community of Practice

We recommend forming and supporting a community of practice among science teachers and building their leadership capacity. Teachers could communicate with other teachers about their experiences and even discuss with teachers from other schools. This could be done through forming a cooperative learning group at the school level, at the supervision office level, or at the educational district level. The promotion of collegiality and collaborative learning results in improvement on an individual level, as well as in the policies and practices of the community. The National Science Education Standards (NRC, 1996) recommend that the following changes should be emphasized: 1) from individual learning to collegial and cooperative learning, 2) from the teacher as an individual based in a classroom to the teacher as a member of a collegial professional community, and 3) from the teacher as follower to the teacher as leader. A learning community is a feature requested by the National Staff Development Council (NSCD) professional development standards (NSCD, 2001), and the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) professional development standards (NCATE, 2001). In fact, educational reform necessitates the formation of learning communities because cooperative activities play a major role in successful teaching (Butler et al., 2004).

Encouraging continuous professional communication among teachers could be obtained through building leadership capacity, so that a teacher becomes a member of a collegial professional community, and preparing teachers to be skilful school leaders who guide continuous instructional improvement. In their study on Saudi science teachers' views and experiences about continuing professional development, Qablan, Mansour, Alshamrani, Sabbah and Aldahmash (in press) recommend assigning science teacher leaders in each school who should be in direct contact with other teachers, to assist them in learning and applying new knowledge and skills and thus improve the academic performance of the students. Another option is to establish societies and associations which could lead to informal dialogue and formal meetings, thus creating a sense of community among science teachers as well as catering for their practical and emotional needs.

Second Recommendation: Learning v. Training

Training is the most common form of professional development and the one with which educators have the most experience. Although it is understandable to use a one-shot session and select a particular topic in which training and an initial level of understanding is necessary, 'one size fits all' should not be the dominant method of professional development.

Training is only one of the many ways to provide professional development. The National Science Education Standards recommend a change in emphasis from courses and workshops to a variety of professional development activities (NRC, 1996). Professional development for teachers should include active methods of teacher learning which mirror the methods to be used with students; teachers are adult learners and need different methods to suit their individual differences (AFT, 2008). Professional development should be understood as learning activities and not as training activities, according to Mansour et al. (2012). A variety of forms and follow-ups with teachers could be utilized, such as discussions with colleagues, study groups, teacher networks, coaching, mentoring, and professional networks (NSDC, 2001). In addition, in their book entitled *Designing Professional Development for Teachers in Science and Mathematics*, Loucks-Horsley et al. (1998) list strategies for designing learning activities that best suit the specific goals and context as methods for professional development. These diverse strategies could be used as extended support for teachers to offer them a chance to ask questions and interact with professional developers and other colleagues and receive feedback (Garet, Porter, Desimone, Birman & Yoon, 2001).

Third Recommendation: Reflection Enhancement through Coherent Long-Term Plans

Changes in teachers' beliefs and practices do not happen in one event; it takes time and several experiences to reach attained goals (Adey, Hewitt, Hewitt & Landau,

2004; Darling-Hammond & Youngs, 2002; Garet et al., 2001; Joyce & Showers, 1988). Most professional development comes in the form of workshops focusing on discrete topics, where connection to the classroom is left to teachers' efforts. Such discrete workshops do not allow teachers the time to try out ideas in the classroom and reflect on the results.

Reflection helps teachers to personalize activities and enact new materials in the classroom. Educators point to the value of reflecting on teacher learning, as experience alone is not guaranteed to promote learning (Loughran, 2002). The effectiveness of professional development programmes increase when there is a continuous, coherent plan that provides teachers with opportunities for discussions and reflections. Meta-analysis studies have found a positive impact of time and the number of professional development programmes for science and mathematics on student learning (Blank & Alas, 2009). In fact, Science Education Standards (NRC, 1996) and NSDC Professional Development Standards (NSDC, 2001) include long-term, coherent professional development. We need to make sure that professional learning is organized so that it can be sustained throughout the school year. We recommend that reflection is to be a goal of professional development, and a structure should be provided to promote reflection to help teachers become reflective practitioners. Allowing time and instructions for teachers to reflect assists teachers by helping them feel comfortable enacting the reform-based curriculum in their classrooms.

Fourth Recommendation: Understanding Content through Inquiry

Supporting teachers in increasing their own content knowledge is an important feature of professional development, because teachers can be at a great disadvantage if they do not follow advancements and changes in science. One important call within the science teaching community is for professional development to focus on content (Garet et al., 2001). Furthermore, deepening teachers' content knowledge is one of the professional development principles, according to the American Federation of Teachers (AFT, 2008). Also, it is one of the professional development standards for staff development (NSDC, 2001).

Content knowledge is important because teachers cannot teach what they do not know; those who do not know the content well cannot teach it well. If teachers do not develop adequate content knowledge, they are likely to be uncomfortable with the material and consequently they may experience difficulties when teaching.

Teachers should learn content through inquiry, because part of knowing how to teach science is knowing what it means to do science (Garet et al., 2001). Varied approaches could be utilized, for example, teachers completing inquiry activities during workshops, forming groups within each school to investigate socio-scientific issues, and establishing partnerships with hospitals and other sectors to encourage teachers to participate in issues and problems related to science, technology and society. The National Research Council published a set of professional development

standards in 1996, which include recommendations for science teachers to learn science content through inquiry (NRC, 1996). The professional development standards encompass the change in emphasis from learning science through lectures and reading to learning science through investigation and inquiry.

Fifth Recommendation: Organizational Support for Teachers

Providing support for science teachers is critical in order to implement what they are learning. Professional development needs strong, highly visible organizational support and could be implemented in many ways. Sufficient time, resources, and professional assistance should be provided to support teachers integrating new knowledge and skills into everyday practices.

Organizational support can be a key to the success of any professional development effort; for example, when teachers participate in a professional development programme on educational technology, and gain a thorough understanding and organize a variety of classroom activities based on computer simulation activities. Following their training, they try to implement these activities in schools where there is a lack of computers and the supervisor's focus is on students finishing the assigned book chapter. Another example is when teachers participate in a professional development programme on formative assessment, where teachers have to implement their training in schools where students are only graded on a summative assessment. Organizational policies and practices such as these can hinder the most valiant efforts, even when the individual aspects of professional development are done correctly. The lack of positive results is not due to inadequate professional learning; rather, it is due to organizational support that is incompatible with implementation efforts.

Sparks and Hirsh (1997) point to the importance of addressing individual learning and organizational change simultaneously to support one another, because gains made in one area could be affected by barriers in the other. Guskey (2000), in his professional development evaluation model, turns his attention to the organizational characteristics and attributes necessary for success in evaluating professional development programmes and activities. He believes that policies and organizational factors at the school, district, and national levels affect professional development content, processes, and outcomes.

CONCLUSION

In this chapter we have drawn on literature and our own experience as researchers and practitioners to show how teacher development can be designed effectively. The analysis of Saudi professional development that we have offered in this chapter shows the complexity of the task for those who have a role to play in making provision for science teacher professional development.

This chapter is concerned with improving professional development for science teachers in Saudi Arabia by providing a base of knowledge about the characteristics of effective teacher professional development programmes in science, so that programme designs are based on evidence of what successfully improves teachers' knowledge and skills, which will in turn advance the quality of teaching in science.

Moreover, this chapter identifies what research says works and what should happen to develop the best learning opportunities for science teachers. Not least, this chapter is a major first step toward developing a comprehensive set of policies and practices that will help better organize professional development for science teachers in Saudi Arabia.

REFERENCES

- Aldalan, A. (2004). *Training needs for science teachers in the secondary level in Riyadh in using the educational technology* (Unpublished thesis). King Saud University, Riyadh, Arabia [In Arabic].
- Almazroa, H. (2013). *Professional development: A vision for Saudi science teachers*. A paper presented in the annual conference of European Science Education Research Association (ESERA), Nicosia, Cyprus.
- Almazroa, H., Aloraini, A., & Alshaye, F. (2015, April). *Science and math teacher's perceptions of professional development within the new science curriculum implementation*. Paper presented at the Annual Conference Of The National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST), Chicago, IL, USA.
- Alrwathi, E., Almazroa, H., Alahmed, N., Scantbly, C., & Alshaye, F. (2014, December). *Exploring curriculum implementation: Focus on science teaching*. Paper presented at the The 2nd History, Philosophy, and Science Teaching Asian Regional Conference, Taipei City, Taipei.
- Alshamrani, S. (2012). Priorities of research in science education in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Educational Sciences and Islamic Studies*, 24(1), 199–128.
- Alshamrani, S., Aldahmash, A., Alqudah, B., & Alroshood, J. (2012). The current situation for science teacher professional development in Saudi Arabia. *The Letter of Arabic Gulf*, 126, 215–261.
- Alshaye, F. (2013). The status of teacher professional development associated with the Project of Mathematics and Natural Sciences based on the providers' perspectives. *Letter of Education and Psychology*, 42, 58–92.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). (1989). *Project 2061: Science for all Americans*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- American Federation of Teachers (AFT). (2008). *Principles for professional development: AFT Guidelines for creating professional development programs that make a difference*. Washington, DC: American Federation of Teachers.
- Atweh, W., Bernardo, A. B. I., & Balagtas, M. (2008). Capacity building as a collaborative model in international development projects: Lessons for the Philippines. In R. K. Coll & N. Taylor (Eds.), *Science education in context: An international examination of the influence of context on science curricula development and implementation* (pp. 3–15). Rotterdam, The Netherland: Sense Publishers.
- Birman, P. F., Desimone, L., Porter, A. C., & Garet, M. S. (2000). Designing professional development that works. *Educational Leadership*, 57(8), 28–33.
- Butler, D. L., Lauscher, H. N., Jarvis-Selinger, S., & Bechingham, B. (2004). Collaboration and self-regulation in teachers' professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20, 435–455.
- Blank, R., & Alas, N. (2009). *Effects of teacher professional development on gains in student achievement: How meta analysis provides scientific evidence useful to education leaders*. Report prepared under a grant to the Council of Chief State School Officers from the National Science Foundation. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

- Darling-Hammond, L. (1998). Teacher learning that supports student learning. *Educational Leadership*, 55(5), 6–11.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Youngs, P. (2002). Defining “highly qualified teachers”: What does “scientifically-based” research actually tell us? *Educational Researcher*, 31(9), 13–25.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Wei, R., Andree, A., Richardson, N., & Orphanos, S. (2009). *Professional learning in the learning profession: A status report on teacher development in the United States and abroad*. Stanford University: National Staff Development Council and The School Redesign Network.
- De Beer, J. (2008). Inclusive science education for the rainbow nation: Reflections on science teaching and the development and implementation of the national curriculum statement in South Africa. In R. K. Coll & N. Taylor (Eds.), *Science education in context: An international examination of the influence of context on science curricula development and implementation* (pp. 261–270). Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.
- EL-Deghaidy, H., Mansour, N., & Alshamrani, S. (2014, June 11). Science teachers’ typology of CPD activities: A socio-constructivist perspective. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. doi: 10.1007/s10763-014-9560-y
- Flemban, T. (2003). *Training needs for female biology teachers in Mecca and Jeddah* (Unpublished thesis). Om Alqura University, Mecca, Arabia [In Arabic].
- Garet, M., Porter, A., Desimone, L., Birman, B., & Yoon, K. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915–945.
- Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating professional development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (1988). *Student achievement through staff development*. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Loucks-Horsley, S., Hewson, P., Love, N., & Stiles, K. E. (1998). *Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Mansour, N., Alshamrani, S., Aldahmash, A., & Alqudah, B. (2013). Saudi Arabian science teachers and supervisors’ views of professional development needs. *Egitim Arastirmalari–Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 51, 29–44.
- Mansour, N., EL-Deghaidy, H., Alshamrani, S., & Aldahmash, A. (2014). Rethinking the theory and practice of continuing professional development: Science teachers’ perspectives. *Science Education*, 44(6), 949–973. Retrieved from <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11165-014-9409-y#page-1>
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). (2001). *Standards for professional development schools*. Washington, DC: Author.
- National Research Council (NRC). (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academy Press.
- National Staff Development Council (NSDC). (2001). *Standards for staff development* (revised). Oxford, OH: Author.
- Obekian for Research and Development. (2010). *Project of mathematics and natural sciences*. Retrieved January 28, 2012 from <http://msd-ord.com/project.htm>
- Qablan, A., Mansour, N., Alshamrani, S., Sabbah, S., & Aldahmash, A. (In press). Ensuring effective impact of continuing professional development: Saudi science teachers’ perspectives. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*.
- Quint, J. (2011). *Professional development for teachers: What two rigorous studies tell us*. New York, NY: MDRC Publication.
- Richard, K., & Neil, T. (2011). An international perspective on science curriculum development and implementation. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. McCrobbie (Eds.), *Second international handbook of science education*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Rafa, S. (1993). Training needs for high school science teachers in the north-east region of Saudi Arabia. *The Letter of Arabic Gulf*, 13 (45), 53–88.

- Sabah, S., Fayez, M., Alshamrani, S., & Mansour, N. (2013). Continuing professional development (CPD) provision for science and mathematics teachers in Saudi Arabia: Perceptions and experiences of CPD providers. *Journal of Baltic Science Education, 13*(3), 91–104.
- Sparks, D., & Hirsh, S. (1997). *A new vision for staff development*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tatweer Project. (2014). *The project of teacher training*. Retrieved November 28, 2014 from <http://www.tatweer.edu.sa/node/2933> [in Arabic].
- The Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education (ECSME). (2009). *The report of the workshop Priorities of research in science and mathematics education*. Retrieved May 10, 2013 from <http://ecsme.ksu.edu.sa/myfiles/Result.pdf> [In Arabic]

Hiya Almazroa
Professor of Science Education
Princess Noura Bint Abdulrahman University
College of Education
Saudi Arabia

Saaed Al-Shamrani
Associate Professor
The Excellence Research Center of Science and Mathematics Education &
College of Education
King Saud University
Saudi Arabia