PHYS-453 3-THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

...and other basic theorems of quantum mechanics

http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/vlempesis

Average value of a physical quantity

The average value for an object's position is given by

$$\left\langle x\right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x P(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \psi^* \psi dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^* x \psi dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^* \left(x\psi\right) dx$$

This expression shows us that the average value of any physical quantity A represented by an operator \hat{A} is given by

$$\left\langle A\right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^* \left(\stackrel{\land}{A} \psi \right) dx$$

http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/vlempesis

The momentum operator

We can show that the operator which correspond to the physical quantity of the momentum is given by

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

Or generalizing in three dimensions of space:

$$\hat{p}_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad \hat{p}_y = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad \hat{p}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\hbar \nabla$$

Uncertainty of a physical quantity

For any physical quantity *A* represented by an operator \hat{A} the uncertainty is given by

$$\left(\Delta A\right)^2 = \left\langle A^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle A \right\rangle^2$$

with

$$\langle A \rangle = \int \psi^* (\hat{A} \psi) dx, \qquad \langle A^2 \rangle = \int \psi^* (\hat{A}^2 \psi) dx$$

Physical quantities depending on position and momentum

Any physical quantity can be written in terms of position and momentum A(x,p). The average value of the quantity is given by

$$\left\langle A(x,p)\right\rangle = \int \Psi^* \hat{A}\left(x,\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\Psi dx$$

Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle-a

As we shall prove latter the uncertainty in the position and in the momentum satisfy the following relation known as *Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle*:

 $\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar \, / \, 2$

 This means that the more we know about a particle's position (small uncertainty) the less we know (large uncertainty) about momentum and vice versa.

Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle-b

 The uncertainty principle is not an independent physical principle but a necessary consequence of the wave-particle duality and its statistical explanation. Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A mathematical explanation-a

Remember from mathematics that:

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2}, \quad \Delta p = \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2}$$

- Also as we have shown in the class (for a real ψ : $(\Delta p)^2 = \hbar^2 \int |\psi'(x)|^2 dx$
- The above relation says that the more "abrupt" (with large slopes) is a function the larger is the momentum uncertainty.

Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A mathematical explanation-b

But a function with large slopes is "narrow" so it has a small position uncertainty. This qualitative discussion shows that the uncertainty in position "competes" with the uncertainty in momentum.

Remember from (question 4, Handout 2) that:

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\lambda}{\pi}} e^{-\lambda x^2/2}, \quad \Delta x = \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \quad \Delta p = \hbar \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$

Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A mathematical explanation-b

 Remember from (question 4, Handout 2) that:

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\lambda}{\pi}} e^{-\lambda x^2/2}, \quad \Delta x = \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \quad \Delta p = \hbar \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$

 In the plot you see the ψ for λ=4 (solid) and λ=0.3 (dashed).



Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A physical explanation-a

- If a particle has a fully determined momentum (Δ*p*=0) then it is a wave with a definite wavelength λ=h/p. But a wave with a precise wavelength is a "plane wave" which has an infinite extend in space and thus Δ*x*=0.
- From classical waves theory (Fourier analysis) we know that if we wish to create a "localized" wave (a "wavepacket") we must interfere a large number of sinusoidal waves with different wavelengths λ.

Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A physical explanation-c

- From the relation *p=ħk* we get: Moreover we know that the more sinusoidal waves we interfere the more localized is the wave-packet. This happens because the waves interfere constructively in the "localization region" and destructively outside from this region.
- So Fourier analysis gives us the following result: $\Delta x \cdot \Delta k \approx 1$

Heisenberg's Uncertainty: A physical explanation-d

• From the relation $p=\hbar k$ we get:

$$\Delta p = \hbar \cdot \Delta k \Rightarrow \Delta k = \Delta p / \hbar$$
$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta k \approx 1 \Rightarrow \Delta x \cdot \Delta p / \hbar \approx 1 \Rightarrow$$
$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \approx \hbar$$

A "gedanken" (thought) experiment

• When a particle passes through the slit it has a position along ydirection known to a precision $\Delta y \approx B$. The particles since they have wave properties they will suffer diffraction after passing through the slit. The beam will "open" by $\Delta \theta \approx \lambda / B$. Thus:

$$\Delta p_{y} = p \tan \Delta \theta \approx p \Delta \theta \approx p \lambda / B$$
$$= p(h / p) / B = h / B \underset{\Delta y \approx B}{\Rightarrow} \Delta y \cdot \Delta p_{y} \approx h$$



http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/vlempesis

Theorem 1:

Two quantum mechanical quantities A and B can be measured simultaneously with perfect precision only if their operators commute. That means only if [A, B]=AB-BA=0. On the contrary if [A, B]≠0, then the operators do not commute so the two quantities cannot be measured simultaneously with accuracy. Such quantities are called complementary quantities (like position and momentum).

The generalized uncertainty principle: Theorem 2:

 The product of the uncertainties of two complementary physical quantities can never be smaller than the half of the asolute average value of their commutator.

$$\Delta A \cdot \Delta B \ge \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\langle \left[A, B \right] \right\rangle \right|$$

• For A=x and $B=p_x$ we know $[A, B]=[x, p_x]=i\hbar$, so $\Delta x \cdot \Delta p_x \ge \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x, p_x \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\langle i\hbar \right\rangle \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| i\hbar \right| = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ • Similarly: \hbar \hbar

Similarly: $\Delta y \cdot \Delta p_y \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad \Delta z \cdot \Delta p_z \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$

The time-energy uncertainty principle

- Time is not a dynamical quantity but rather a parameter both in classical and quantum mechanics.
 So there is no a time operator in quantum mechanics.
- The following statement holds: The slower the variation of a system is (τ), the more precise the knowledge of its energy is, and vice versa.

$$\Delta E \cdot \tau \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

We know in classical physics a similar relation for the frequency width and the time width of a pulse Δω•Δτ≈1.

The time-energy uncertainty principle

To prove this uncertainty relation we need a rigorous definition of the characteristic evolution time *τ* of a quantity *A*. This is given as:

$$\frac{\Delta A}{\tau} = \left| \frac{d \left\langle A \right\rangle}{dt} \right| \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{\Delta A}{\left| \frac{d \left\langle A \right\rangle}{dt} \right|}$$

• This is actually the time we must wait in order the average value to has change by an amount equal to the standard deviation (or uncertainty) of *A*. To calculate the above quantity we need to know the quantity d < A > / dt.

The time-energy uncertainty principle

• This is given from the famous **Ehrenfest Theorem** which says that:

$$i\hbar \frac{d\langle \hat{A} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \left[\hat{A}, \hat{H} \right] \rangle$$

- The rate of change of the average value of a physical quantity is the average value of its commutator with the Hamiltonian.
- The Hamiltonian is the operator of the total energy

$$\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2 / 2m + \hat{V}$$