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## Assumptions and Hypotheses in One-Way ANOVA

It is assumed that the k populations are independent and normally distributed with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ and common variance $\sigma^{2}$. We wish to derive appropriate methods for testing the hypothesis

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{k} \\
H 1: \text { A least two of the means are not equal. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Model for One-Way ANOVA

Let $y_{i j}$ denote the $j^{\text {th }}$ observation from the $i^{\text {th }}$ treatment and arrange the data as in Table 1. Here, $y_{i}$. is the total of all observations in the sample from the $i^{t h}$ treatment, $\bar{y}_{i}$. is the mean of all observations in the sample from the $i^{\text {th }}$ treatment, $y$.. is the total of all $n_{k}$ observations, and $\bar{y}_{\text {.. }}$ is the mean of all $n_{k}$ observations.

Table 1

| Treatment | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | i | $\ldots$ | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{11}$ | $y_{21}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{i 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{k 1}$ |  |
|  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
|  | $y_{1 b}$ | $y_{2 b}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{i b}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{k b}$ |  |
|  | $y_{1 .}$ | $y_{2 .}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{i .}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{k .}$ | $y_{. .}$ |
|  | $\bar{y}_{1 .}$ | $\bar{y}_{2 .}$ | $\ldots$ | $\bar{y}_{i .}$ | $\ldots$ | $\bar{y}_{k .}$ | $\bar{y}_{. .}$ |

Each observation may be written in the form

$$
Y_{i j}=\mu_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i j}$ measures the deviation of $j^{\text {th }}$ the observation of the $i^{\text {th }}$ sample from the corresponding treatment mean. The $\varepsilon_{i j}$-term represents random error and plays the same role as the error terms in the regression models.

## Theorem

We have
(1)

$$
S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}=b \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{i .}\right)^{2},
$$

(2) $S S T=S S A+S S E$.

It will be convenient in what follows to identify the terms of the sum-of-squares identity by the following notation (3 important measures of variability):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}=\text { total sum of squares, } \\
& S S A=b \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}=\text { treatment sum of squares, } \\
& S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{i .}\right)^{2}=\text { error sum of squares. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## F-Ratio for Testing Equality of Means

| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | Computed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatments | SSA | $k-1$ | $s_{1}^{2}=\frac{S S A}{k-1}$ | $f=\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{s^{2}}$ |
| Error | SSE | $k(b-1)$ | $s^{2}=\frac{S S E}{k(b-1)}$ |  |
| Total | SSTO | $k b-1$ |  |  |

When $H_{0}$ is true, the ratio $f=\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{s^{2}}$ is a value of the random variable $F$ having the F-distribution with $k-1$ and $k(b-1)$ degrees of freedom.
The null hypothesis $H_{0}$ is rejected at the $\alpha$-level of significance when $f>f_{\alpha}(k-1, k(b-1))$

## Example

Suppose in an industrial experiment that an engineer is interested in how the mean absorption of moisture in concrete varies among 5 different concrete aggregates. The samples are exposed to moisture for 48 hours. It is decided that 6 samples are to be tested for each aggregate, requiring a total of 30 samples to be tested. The model for this situation may be set up as follows. There are 6 observations taken from each of 5 populations with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{5}$ respectively. We may wish to test

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{5} \\
H 1: \text { A least two of the means are not equal. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Table 2: Absorption of Moisture in Concrete Aggregates

| Aggregate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 551 | 595 | 639 | 417 | 563 |  |
|  | 457 | 580 | 615 | 449 | 631 |  |
|  | 450 | 508 | 511 | 517 | 522 |  |
|  | 731 | 583 | 573 | 438 | 613 |  |
|  | 499 | 633 | 648 | 415 | 656 |  |
|  | 632 | 517 | 677 | 555 | 679 |  |
| Total | 3320 | 3416 | 3663 | 2791 | 3664 | 16854 |
| Mean | 553.33 | 569.33 | 610.5 | 465.17 | 610.67 | 561.8 |

Test the hypothesis $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{5}$ at the 0.05 level of significance for the data of Table 2 on absorption of moisture by various types of cement aggregates.

## Solution

The hypotheses are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{5} \\
H 1: \text { A least two of the means are not equal. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\alpha=0.05$.
Critical region: $f>2.76$ with $\nu_{1}=4$ and $\nu_{2}=25$. The sum-of-squares computations give

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S T & =\sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}=(551-561.8)^{2} \\
& +(595-561.8)^{2}+\cdots+(555-561.8)^{2}+(639-561.8)^{2} \\
& =209377
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S A & =b \sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(\bar{y}_{i .}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2}=6\left[(553.33-561.8)^{2}+(569.33-561.8)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+(610.5-561.8)^{2}+(465.17-561.8)^{2}+(610.67-561.8)^{2}\right] \\
& =85356
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S S E=S S T-S S E=209377-85356=124021
$$

The ratio $f=\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{s^{2}}=4.3$. These results and the remaining computations are exhibited in the next figure in the SAS ANOVA procedure.

| The Gl.M Procedure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: moisture |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum of |  |  |
| Source | DF | Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
| Model | 4 | 85356.4667 | 21839.1167 | 4.30 | 0.0088 |
| Error | 25 | 124020.3333 | 4960.8133 |  |  |
| Corrected Iotal | 29 | 209376.8000 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | Coeff Var | Root MSE | moisture Mean |  |  |
| 0.407669 | 12.53703 | 70.43304 | 561,8000 |  |  |
| Source | DF | Type I SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>\mathrm{F}$ |
| aggregate | 4 | 85356.46667 | 21339.11667 | 4.30 | 0.0088 |

Decision: Reject $H_{0}$ and conclude that the aggregates do not have the same mean absorption. The P -value for $=4.3$ is 0.0088 which is smaller than 0.05 .

## Plan

## (1) One Way ANOVA

(2) Comparing a Set of Treatments in Blocks
(3) Model and Hypotheses
where $y_{11}$ represents the response obtained by using treatment 1 in block $1, y_{12}$ represents the response obtained by using treatment 1 in block 2, . . ., and $y_{34}$ represents the response obtained by using treatment 3 in block 4.
Let us now generalize and consider the case of $k$ treatments assigned to $b$ blocks.

| Treatment | 1 | 2 | Block |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $y_{11}$ | $y_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{1 j}$ | $\ldots$ | b | $y_{1 b}$ |
| 2 | $y_{21}$ | $y_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{2 j}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{2 b}$ | $\bar{y}_{2 .}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| i | $y_{i 1}$ | $y_{i 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{i j}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{i b}$ | $\bar{y}_{i .}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| k | $y_{k 1}$ | $y_{k 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{k j}$ | $\ldots$ | $y_{k b}$ | $\bar{y}_{k .}$ |
| Mean | $\bar{y}_{.1}$ | $\bar{y}_{.2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\bar{y}_{. j}$ | $\ldots$ | $\bar{y}_{b .}$ | $\bar{y}_{. .}$ |

(1) $y_{i j}=$ the observations in the $(i j)^{t h}$ cell,
(2) $\bar{y}_{i .}=$ mean of the observations for the $i^{t} h$ level,
(3) $\bar{y}_{. j}=$ mean of the observations for the $j^{t} h=$ block,
(4) $\bar{y}_{. .}=$mean of all $k b$ observations.
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The analysis of variance model is based on the following

$$
Y_{i j}=\mu_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \text { and } j=1, \ldots, b
$$

where $y_{i j}$ represents the observation of the $i^{\text {th }}$ treatment in the $j^{t h}$ block, $\mu_{i j}$ is the mean response and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ are errors which are independent $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$. The response mean for the level i of the factor is $\mu_{i .}=E\left(\bar{y}_{i .}\right)$ and the response mean for the block j is $\mu_{. j}=E\left(\bar{y}_{. j}\right)$ and the overall mean is $\mu . .=E\left(\bar{y}_{. .}\right)$.
The hypothesis to be tested is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{k} \\
H 1: \text { A least two of the means are not equal. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem (Sum of squares Identity)

We have

$$
S S T=S S A+S S B+S S E
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S A=b * \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar{y}_{i .}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S B=k * \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(\bar{y}_{. j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left(y_{i j}-\bar{y}_{i .}-\bar{y}_{. j}+\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

| Source | Degrees of freedom | Sum of Squares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $k-1$ | SSA |
| Block | $b-1$ | SSE |
| Residual | $(k-1)(b-1)$ | SSB |
| Total | $b k-1$ | $S S T$ |

The null hypothesis of no treatment effect difference

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots,=\mu_{k}
$$

can be tested can be tested by using the F statistic

$$
F=\frac{S S A /(k-1)}{S S E /((k-1)(b-1))}=\frac{M S A}{M S E},
$$

where SSTR and SSE are the treatment and error sums of squares.
The F test rejects $H_{0}$ at level $\alpha$ if the F value in exceeds

$$
F_{\alpha}[k-1,(k-1)(b-1)]
$$

## Example

Operator (block)

| Machine | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total | Means |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 42.5 | 39.3 | 39.6 | 39.9 | 42.9 | 43.9 | 247.8 | 41.3 |
| 2 | 39.8 | 40.1 | 40.5 | 42.3 | 42.5 | 43.1 | 248.3 | 41.38 |
| 3 | 40.2 | 40.5 | 41.3 | 43.4 | 44.9 | 45.1 | 255.4 | 42.57 |
| 4 | 41.3 | 42.2 | 43.5 | 44.2 | 45.9 | 42.3 | 259.4 | 43.23 |
| Total | 163.8 | 162.1 | 164.9 | 169.8 | 176.2 | 174.1 | 1010.9 |  |
| Means | 40.95 | 40.525 | 41.225 | 42.45 | 44.05 | 43.525 |  |  |

Analysis of variance for the previous data

| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | Computed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machines | 15.93 | 3 | 5.31 | $f=3.34$ |
| Operators | 42.09 | 5 | 8.42 |  |
| Error | 23.84 | 15 | 1.59 |  |
| Total | 81.86 | 23 |  |  |

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{y}_{. .}= & \frac{1}{k * b} \sum_{4}^{i=1} \sum_{6}^{j=1} y_{i j} \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{6}(163.8+162.1+164.9+169.8+176.2+174.1) \\
& =\quad \frac{1010.9}{24}=42.12
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S B & =4 * \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left(\bar{y}_{. j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =(40.95-42.12)^{2}+(40.525-42.12)^{2}+(41.225-42.12)^{2} \\
& +(42.45-42.12)^{2}+(44.05-42.12)^{2}+(43.525-42.12)^{2} \\
& =42.09
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S A & =6 * \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\bar{y}_{i .}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =(41.3-42.12)^{2}+(41.38-42.12)^{2}+(42.57-42.12)^{2} \\
& +(43.23-42.12)^{2}=15.93
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S T & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left(\bar{y}_{i j}-\bar{y}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& =(42.5-42.12)^{2}+(39.3-42.12)^{2}+\ldots+ \\
& =(42.3-42.12)^{2}=81.86
\end{aligned}
$$

$S S E=S S T-S S A-S S B=81.86-15.93-42.09=23.84$

$$
k=4 \quad \text { and } \quad b=6
$$

The null hypothesis is rejected at the $\alpha=0.05$-level of significance since

$$
F=3.34>f_{\alpha}[k-1,(k-1)(b-1)]=f_{0.05}[3,15]=3.29 .
$$

Similarly for the null hypothesis is

$$
H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\ldots=\mu_{6}
$$

we can compute the value of the F statistic $\left.F_{\alpha}[k-1, k-1)(b-1)\right]$ for testing the difference between blocks:

$$
F=\frac{8.42}{1.59}=5.30
$$

