

Topic 5 (Textbook - Chapter 6) CPU Scheduling





Chapter 6: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Thread Scheduling





Objectives


- To introduce CPU scheduling, which is the basis for multiprogrammed operating systems
- To describe various CPU-scheduling algorithms






Basic Concepts


- Maximum CPU utilization obtained with multiprogramming
- CPU-I/O Burst Cycle Process execution consists of a cycle of CPU execution and I/O wait
- CPU burst followed by I/O burst
- CPU burst distribution is of main concern








Histogram of CPU-burst Times







CPU Scheduler

- Short-term scheduler selects from among the processes in ready queue, and allocates the CPU to one of them
 - Queue may be ordered in various ways
 - The records in the queues are generally process control blocks (PCBs) of the processes.
- CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
 - 1. Switches from running to waiting state
 - 2. Switches from running to ready state
 - 3. Switches from waiting to ready
 - Terminates
- Scheduling under 1 and 4 is nonpreemptive
- All other scheduling is preemptive
 - Consider access to shared data
 - Consider preemption while in kernel mode
 - Consider interrupts occurring during crucial OS activities





Dispatcher


- Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:
 - switching context
 - switching to user mode
 - jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program
- Dispatch latency time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running






Scheduling Criteria

- **CPU utilization** keep the CPU as busy as possible
- Throughput # of processes that complete their execution per time unit
- Turnaround time amount of time to execute a particular process
- Waiting time amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue
- Response time amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced, not output (for time-sharing environment)






Scheduling Algorithm Optimization Criteria

- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time





First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling


<u>Process</u>	Burst Time
P_{1}	24
P_2	3
P_3	3

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order: P_1 , P_2 , P_3 . The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:

	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	
0	2	4 2	27 3	30

- Waiting time for $P_1 = 0$; $P_2 = 24$; $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time: (0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17
- Turnaround time for $P_1 = 24-0 = 24$; $P_2 = 27-0 = 27$; $P_3 = 30-0 = 30$
- Average turnaround time =(24+27+30)/3 = 27





FCFS Scheduling (Cont.)

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order:

$$P_2, P_3, P_1$$

The Gantt chart for the schedule is:




- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: (6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3
- Turnaround time = P1 = 30-0=30, P2= 3-0=3, P3 = 6-0=6
- Average Turnaround time = (30+3+6)/3 = 13
- Much better than previous case
- Convoy effect short process behind long process
 - Consider one CPU-bound and many I/O-bound processes






Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling

- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst
 - Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time
- SJF is optimal gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes
 - The difficulty is knowing the length of the next CPU request
 - Could ask the user






Example of SJF

<u>Process</u>	Burst Time
P_1	6
P_2	8
P_3	7
$P_{\mathcal{A}}$	3

SJF scheduling chart




- Average waiting time = (3 + 16 + 9 + 0) / 4 = 7
- Average turnaround time = ((9-0) + (24-0) + (16-0) + (3-0))/4 = 13




Determining Length of Next CPU Burst


- Can only estimate the length should be similar to the previous one
 - Then pick process with shortest predicted next CPU burst
- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging
 - 1. $t_n = \text{actual length of } n^{th} \text{ CPU burst}$
 - 2. τ_{n+1} = predicted value for the next CPU burst
 - 3. α , $0 \le \alpha \le 1$
 - 4. Define: $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1-\alpha)\tau_n$.
- Commonly, α set to ½
- Preemptive version of SJF is called shortest-remaining-time-first







Prediction of the Length of the Next CPU Burst





CPU burst (t_i) 6 4 6 4 13 13 13 ...
"guess" (τ_i) 10 8 6 6 5 9 11 12 ...





Examples of Exponential Averaging

- $\alpha = 0$
 - \bullet $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n$
 - Recent history does not count
- $\alpha = 1$
 - $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n$
 - Only the actual last CPU burst counts
- If we expand the formula, we get:


$$\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\alpha t_{n-1} + \dots$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha)^{j} \alpha t_{n-j} + \dots$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0$$

Since both α and $(1 - \alpha)$ are less than or equal to 1, each successive term has less weight than its predecessor






Example of Shortest-remaining-time-first


Now we add the concepts of varying arrival times and preemption to the analysis

<u>Process</u>	<u> Arrival Time</u>	Burst Time
P_1	0	8
P_2	1	4
P_3	2	9
$P_{_{4}}$	3	5

Preemptive SJF Gantt Chart





- Average waiting time = [(10-1)+(1-1)+(17-2)+5-3)]/4 = 26/4 = 6.5 msec
- Average Turnaround time = [(17-0)+(5-1)+(26-2)+(10-3)]/4 = 52/4 = 13



Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest integer = highest priority)
 - Preemptive
 - Nonpreemptive
- SJF is priority scheduling where priority is the inverse of predicted next CPU burst time
- Problem = Starvation low priority processes may never execute
- Solution ≡ Aging as time progresses increase the priority of the process






Example of Priority Scheduling


<u>Process</u>	Burst Time	Priority
P_1	10	3
P_2	1	1
P_3	2	4
P_4	1	5
P_{5}	5	2

Priority scheduling Gantt Chart



Average waiting time = 8.2 msec





Round Robin (RR)

- Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (time quantum q), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.
- If there are *n* processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is *q*, then each process gets 1/*n* of the CPU time in chunks of at most *q* time units at once. No process waits more than (*n*-1)*q* time units.
- Timer interrupts every quantum to schedule next process
- Performance
 - $q \text{ large} \Rightarrow \text{FIFO}$
 - q small ⇒ q must be large with respect to context switch, otherwise overhead is too high







Example of RR with Time Quantum = 4


<u>Process</u>	Burst Time
P_1	24
P_2	3
P_{3}	3

The Gantt chart is:




- Typically, higher average turnaround than SJF, but better response
- q should be large compared to context switch time
- q usually 10ms to 100ms, context switch < 10 usec</p>






Time Quantum and Context Switch Time









Turnaround Time Varies With The Time Quantum



process	time
P ₁	6
P_2	3
P_3	1
P_4	7


80% of CPU bursts should be shorter than q







Multilevel Queue


- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues, eg:
 - foreground (interactive)
 - background (batch)
- Process permanently in a given queue
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm:
 - foreground RR
 - background FCFS
- Scheduling must be done between the queues:
 - Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of starvation.
 - Time slice each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes; i.e., 80% to foreground in RR
 - 20% to background in FCFS





Multilevel Queue Scheduling





Multilevel Feedback Queue

- A process can move between the various queues; aging can be implemented this way
- Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:
 - number of queues
 - scheduling algorithms for each queue
 - method used to determine when to upgrade a process
 - method used to determine when to demote a process
 - method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service






Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue


Three queues:


- Q₀ RR with time quantum 8 milliseconds
- Q_1 RR time quantum 16 milliseconds
- Q_2 FCFS

Scheduling

- A new job enters queue Q₀ which is served FCFS
 - When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds
 - If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue Q₁
- At Q₁ job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds
 - If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue Q₂







Thread Scheduling

- Distinction between user-level and kernel-level threads
- When threads supported, threads scheduled, not processes
- Many-to-one and many-to-many models, thread library schedules user-level threads to run on LWP
 - Known as process-contention scope (PCS) since scheduling competition is within the process
 - Typically done via priority set by programmer
- Kernel thread scheduled onto available CPU is system-contention scope (SCS) – competition among all threads in system





Pthread Scheduling


- API allows specifying either PCS or SCS during thread creation
 - PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS schedules threads using PCS scheduling
 - PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM schedules threads using SCS scheduling
- Can be limited by OS Linux and Mac OS X only allow PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM





Pthread Scheduling API

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
   int i, scope;
   pthread t tid[NUM THREADS];
  pthread attr t attr;
   /* get the default attributes */
   pthread attr init(&attr);
   /* first inquire on the current scope */
   if (pthread attr getscope(&attr, &scope) != 0)
      fprintf(stderr, "Unable to get scheduling scope\n");
   else {
      if (scope == PTHREAD SCOPE PROCESS)
         printf("PTHREAD SCOPE PROCESS");
      else if (scope == PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM)
         printf("PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM");
      else
         fprintf(stderr, "Illegal scope value.\n");
```



Pthread Scheduling API

```
/* set the scheduling algorithm to PCS or SCS */
  pthread attr setscope (&attr, PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM);
   /* create the threads */
   for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
      pthread create(&tid[i], &attr, runner, NULL);
   /* now join on each thread */
   for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
     pthread join(tid[i], NULL);
/* Each thread will begin control in this function */
void *runner(void *param)
   /* do some work ... */
  pthread exit(0);
```

End of Chapter 6

