

- We further assume internal consistency: If $P(+)$ > $P(-)$ for one pair, then $P(+)$ > $P(-)$ for all pairs, and same holds for $P(+)$ < $P(-)$ and $P(+)$ = $P(-)$.

Test Statistic:

T = Total number of +’s in the sample

- **The null distribution of T is**

Binomial ($n, p=0.5$)

Where , n = number of non-tied pairs. ($n=n - \text{tie pairs}$)

- The **hypotheses of the sign test** can be stated in a variety of ways.

- Most generally, we **can test** any one of:

$H_0: P(+)$ = $P(-)$

$H_0: P(+)$ ≥ $P(-)$

$H_0: P(+)$ ≤ $P(-)$

$H_1: P(+)$ ≠ $P(-)$

$H_1: P(+)$ < $P(-)$

$H_1: P(+)$ > $P(-)$

- These could be stated in terms of comparing the population medians of X and Y :

$H_0: \text{Med}(Y)$ = $\text{Med}(X)$

$H_0: \text{Med}(Y)$ ≥ $\text{Med}(X)$

$H_0: \text{Med}(Y)$ ≤ $\text{Med}(X)$

$H_1: \text{Med}(Y)$ ≠ $\text{Med}(X)$

$H_1: \text{Med}(Y)$ < $\text{Med}(X)$

$H_1: \text{Med}(Y)$ > $\text{Med}(X)$

- The corresponding **rejection rules in each case are:**

$H_1: \text{Med}(Y) \neq \text{Med}(X)$	$H_1: \text{Med}(Y) < \text{Med}(X)$	$H_1: \text{Med}(Y) > \text{Med}(X)$
Reject H_0 if $T \leq t$ or $T \geq n-t$	Reject H_0 if $T \leq t$	Reject H_0 if $T \geq n-t$
Where $P(T \leq t) \leq \alpha/2$ Under H_0	Where $P(T \leq t) \leq \alpha$ Under H_0	Where $P(T \leq t) \leq \alpha$ Under H_0

- The **P-values** for each case are:

$H_1:$	$\text{Med}(Y) \neq \text{Med}(X)$	$\text{Med}(Y) < \text{Med}(X)$	$\text{Med}(Y) > \text{Med}(X)$
P-value	$2x[\min\{ P(T \leq t_{\text{obs}}), P(T \geq t_{\text{obs}})\}]$	$P(T \leq t_{\text{obs}})$	$P(T \geq t_{\text{obs}})$

where $T \sim \text{Binomial}(n, 0.5)$.

- The exact critical region and P-value are found using Table A3 (or the computer) similarly to the other binomial-based tests.

Example 1:

Six students are given two tests, one after being fed, and one on an empty stomach. Is there evidence that students perform better on a full stomach? (Use $\alpha = 0.05$)

	Student					
Score	1	2	3	4	5	6
X (with food)	74	71	82	77	72	81
Y (without food)	68	71	86	70	67	80
+/-	-	0	+	-	-	-

Solution :

$n = 5$, $T = 1$ (number of +’s in the sample)

Hypothesis:

$H_0: \text{Med}(Y) \geq \text{Med}(X)$ $H_1: \text{Med}(Y) < \text{Med}(X)$

Decision rule :

Reject H_0 if $T \leq t$

Reject H_0 if $T \leq 0$

So, we accept H_0 since $T = 1$

Note: can get the value of t from Table A3:Bin(5,0.5)
 $P(Y \leq 0) = 0.0312 \leq 0.05$

P-value = $P(T \leq 1) = 0.1875$ (Accept H_0)

We conclude that median score (without food) is more than or equal the median score (with food)

Example 2:

18 boy/girl sets of twins were scored for “empathy” on a personality test. In ten sets, the girl scored higher; in 7 sets, the boy scored higher, and in one set, the scores were equal. Can we conclude a difference in median empathy between the boy and girl populations? (Use $\alpha = 0.05$)

Solution :

Let $X = \text{boy}$, $Y = \text{girl}$

H_0 : $\text{Med}(Y) = \text{Med}(X)$ **H_1** : $\text{Med}(Y) \neq \text{Med}(X)$

$T = \text{number of + 's} = 10$

$n = 17$ ($n = 18 - \text{tie pairs}$)

Decision rule:

Reject H_0 if $T \leq t$ or if $T \geq n - t$

Reject H_0 if $T \leq 4$ or if $T \geq 17 - 4 = 13$

Since,

$T = 10$, we accept H_0

Note: can get the value of t from

Table A3:Bin(17,0.5)

$\alpha/2 = 0.05/2 = 0.025$

$P(Y \leq 4) = 0.0245 \leq 0.025$

P-value = $2 \times [\min \{P(T \leq 10), P(T \geq 10)\}]$

= $2 \times [1 - 0.6855] = 0.629$

($P\text{-value} > \alpha$)

We **cannot conclude** any difference in median empathy between boys and girls. (Median for boys is equal the median for girls)

Some Notes

- One way to view the sign test is simply as the binomial test, where $p^* = 0.5$.
- We classify each trial as “+” or “-” and determine whether the probability of “+” is different from/greater than/ less than 0.5.
- Note that performing the quantile test about the median is essentially performing the sign test, where the second variable is simply the constant number x^* .
- The sign test is appropriate for any data measured on an ordinal or stronger scale.
- If the paired differences $Y_i - X_i$ are continuous with a symmetric distribution, the **Wilcoxon signed-rank test** (we will see it in Chapter 5) may be more powerful than the sign test.
- If the paired differences $Y_i - X_i$ have a **normal distribution**, the **paired t-test** is the most powerful option.

Efficiency of the Sign Test

Population	A.R.E (Sign vs signed- rank)	A.R.E (Sign vs paired-t)
Normal	0.667	0.637
Uniform(light tail)	0.333	0.333
Double exponential (heavy tail)	1.333	2.00

- Sign test works well for heavy-tailed distributions.

R code :

Example 1: (with raw paired data)

```
scores.with.food <- c(74,71,82,77,72,81)
```

```
scores.without.food <- c(68,71,86,70,67,80)
```

We can perform the sign test with the sign.test function:

```
sign.test<-function(x=0,y=NULL,alternative="two.sided"){  
  n<-sum((x-y)!=0)  
  T<-sum(x<y)  
  if (alternative=="less") {  
    p.value<-pbinom(T,n,0.5)}  
  if (alternative=="greater"){  
    p.value<- 1-pbinom(T-1,n,0.5)}  
  if (alternative=="two.sided"){  
    p.value<-2*min(1-pbinom(T-1,n,0.5),pbinom(T,n,0.5))}  
  list(n=n,alternative=alternative,T=T,p.value=p.value)}  
}
```

Copy and paste this function into R, and then it can be implemented
as in the following examples:

**# When calculating the differences, be careful which variable is labeled "y"
and which variable labeled "x":**

```
sign.test(x=scores.with.food, y=scores.without.food, alternative="less")
```

output:

```
$n  
[1] 5  
$alternative  
[1] "less"  
$T  
[1] 1  
$p.value  
[1] 0.1875
```

Example 2: (with counts given)

We can perform the sign test with the sign.test.counts function:

```
sign.test.counts<-  
function(plus.count,minus.count,zero.count=0,alternative="two.sided"){  
  n<-plus.count+minus.count  
  T<-plus.count  
  if (alternative=="less") {  
    p.value<-pbinom(T,n,0.5)}  
  if (alternative=="greater"){  
    p.value<- 1-pbinom(T-1,n,0.5)}  
  if (alternative=="two.sided"){  
    p.value<-2*min(1-pbinom(T-1,n,0.5),pbinom(T,n,0.5))}  
  list(n=n,alternative=alternative,T=T,p.value=p.value)}
```

Using this function on the twin data set:

```
sign.test.counts(plus.count=10,minus.count=7,zero.count=1,alternative="two.sided")
```

output:

```
$n  
[1] 17
```

```
$alternative  
[1] "two.sided"
```

```
$T  
[1] 10
```

```
$p.value  
[1] 0.6290588
```