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البحث والاستقصصاء



- Course code: 153 Math
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- Level: 1
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- Course Credit: $3+2$ credits


## Lectures Reference

Kenneth H. Rosen


## Textbook

2019

## Discrete <br> Mathematics and Its <br> Applications

Eighth Edition

## Course Outcomes

- Learn how to think mathematically.
- Grasp the basic logical and reasoning mechanisms of mathematical thought.
- Acquire logic and proof as the basics for abstract thinking.
- Improve problem-solving skills.
- Grasp the basic elements of induction, recursion, combination and discrete structures.
- Summarize the basic concepts of mathematical logic.


## Content

## Week Basic and support material to be covered <br> (4) Logic: Proposition calculus and connectives, (5) Logic: Truth tables, Propositional Equivalence.

## Introduction to Propositional Logic (1/4)

## What is Logic?

- Logic is the discipline that deals with the methods of reasoning.
- On an elementary level, logic provides rules and techniques for determining whether a given argument is valid.
- Logical reasoning is used in mathematics to prove theorems.


## Introduction to Propositional Logic (2/4)

- The basic building blocks of logic is Proposition
- A proposition (or statement) is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.
- The area of logic that deals with propositions is called propositional logics.



## Introduction to Propositional Logic (3/4)

## Examples:

| Propositions | Truth value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2+3=5$ | True |
| $5-2=1$ | False |
| Today is Friday | False |
| for $x=4 x+3=7$, | True |
| Cairo is the capital of Egypt | True |
| Sentences | Is a Proposition |
| What time is it? | Not propositions |
| Read this carefully. | Not propositions |
| $x+3=7$ | Not propositions |

## Introduction to Propositional Logic (4/4)

- We use letters to denote propositional variables $p, q, r, s, \ldots$
- The truth value of a proposition is true, denoted by T , if it is a true proposition and false, denoted by $\mathbf{F}$, if it is a false proposition.


## Compound Proposition

- Compound Propositions are formed from existing propositions using logical operators.



## Negation

## Compound Propositions (2/23)

## DEFINITION 1

Let $p$ be a proposition. The negation of $p$, denoted by $\neg p$ (also denoted by $\bar{p}$, is the statement "It is not the case that $p$. ."
The proposition $\neg p$ is read "not $p$." The truth value of the negation of $p, \neg p$, is the opposite of the truth value of $p$.

Other notations you might see are $\sim p,-p, p^{\prime}, \mathrm{N} p$, and ! $p$.

## Compound Propositions (3/23)

## Example

Find the negation of the proposition p: "Cairo is the capital of Egypt"

P: Riyadh is the capital of Saudi Arabia

## Compound Propositions (4/23)

## Example: Solution

Find the negation of the proposition
$p$ : "Cairo is the capital of
Egypt" The negation is
It is not the case that
$\neg p$ : "It is not the case that Cairo is the capital of Egypt"

This negation can be more simply expressed as
$\neg p$ : "Cairo is not the capital of Egypt"

## Truth Table

## Compound Propositions (5/23)

- Truth Table: is a table that gives the truth values of a compound statement.

The Truth Table for the Negation of a Proposition


## Compound Propositions (5/23)

## Truth Table

- Truth Table: is a table that gives the truth values of a compound statement.

The Truth Table for the Negation of a Proposition


## Negation

## Compound Propositions (6/23)

| TABLE 1 The |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Truth Table for |  |
| the Negation of a |  |
| Proposition. |  |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{p}$ |
| T | F |
| F | T |

## Compound Propositions (7/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 2

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The conjunction of $p$ and $q$, denoted by $p \wedge q$, is the proposition " $p$ and $q$." The conjunction $p \wedge q$ is true when both $p$ and $q$ are true and is false otherwise.

## Example

TABLE 2 The Truth Table for the Conjunction of Two Propositions.
$p: \quad$ Today is Friday.
$q$ : It is raining today.
$p$ A $q$ : Today is Friday and it is raining today.

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

## Compound Propositions (8/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 3

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The disjunction of $p$ and $q$, denoted by $p \vee q$, is the proposition " $p$ or $q$." The disjunction $p \vee q$ is false when both $p$ and $q$ are false and is true otherwise.

## Example

$p: \quad$ Today is Friday.
$q$ : It is raining today.
$p \vee q$ : Today is Friday or it is raining today.

| TABLE 3 The Truth Table for the Disjunction of Two Propositions. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $p \vee$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

## Compound Propositions (9/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 4

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The exclusive or of $p$ and $q$, denoted by $p \oplus q$ (or $p \operatorname{XOR} q$ ), is the proposition that is true when exactly one of $p$ and $q$ is true and is false otherwise.

## Example

They are parents. $p$ :
They are children. $q$ : $p \oplus q$ :They are parents or children but not both.

TABLE 4 The Truth Table for the Exclusive Or of Two Propositions.

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \oplus \boldsymbol{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

## Compound Propositions (10/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 5

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition "if $p$, then $q$." The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ is false when $p$ is true and $q$ is false, and true otherwise. In the conditional statement $p \rightarrow q, p$ is called the hypothesis (or antecedent or premise) and $q$ is called the conclusion (or consequence).
"if $p$, then $q$ "
"if $p, q$ "
" $p$ is sufficient for $q$ "
" $q$ if $p$ "
" $q$ when $p$ "
"a necessary condition for $p$ is $q$ " " $q$ unless $\neg p$ "

| TABLE 5 5 The Truth Table for <br> the Conditional Statement <br> $\boldsymbol{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{q}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{q}$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

" $p$ implies $q$ "
" $p$ only if $q$ "
"a sufficient condition for $q$ is $p$ "
" $q$ whenever $p$ "
" $q$ is necessary for $p$ "
" $q$ follows from $p "$

## Compound Propositions (10/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 5

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ is the proposition "if $p$, then $q$." The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ is false when $p$ is true and $q$ is false, and true otherwise. In the conditional statement $p \rightarrow q, p$ is called the hypothesis (or antecedent or premise) and $q$ is called the conclusion (or consequence).
"if $p$, then $q$ "
"if $p, q$ "
" $p$ is sufficient for $q$ "
" $q$ if $p$ "
" $q$ when $p$ "
"a necessary condition for $p$ is $q$ "
" $q$ unless $\neg p$ "
" $p$ implies $q$ "
" $p$ only if $q$ "
"a sufficient condition for $q$ is $p$ "
" $q$ whenever $p$ "
" $q$ is necessary for $p$ " " $q$ follows from $p "$

## Compound Propositioions (11/23)

## Logical Connectives

## EXAMPLE 1

"If you get $100 \%$ on the final, then you will get an A."

If you manage to get a $100 \%$ on the final, then you would expect to receive an A. If you do not get $100 \%$ you may or may not receive an A depending on other factors. However, if you do get $100 \%$, but the professor does not give you an A, you will feel cheated.

## Compound Propositions (12/23)

## Logical Connectives

EXAMPLE 2

Let $p$ be the statement "Maria learns discrete mathematics" and $q$ the statement "Maria will find a good job." Express the statement $p \rightarrow q$ as a statement in English.

## Compound Propositions (12/23)

## Logical Connectives

EXAMPLE
2
Let $p$ be the statement "Maria learns discrete mathematics" and $q$ the statement "Maria will find a good job." Express the statement $p \rightarrow q$ as a statement in English.
"If Maria learns discrete mathematics, then she will find a good job."
"Maria will find a good job when she learns discrete mathematics."

## Compound Propositions (13/23)

## Logical Connectives

## EXAMPLE3

"If today is Friday, then $2+3=6$."

## Compound Propositions (13/23)

## Logical Connectives

## EXAMPLE

"If today is Friday, then $2+3=6$."
is true every day except Friday, even though $2+3=6$ is false.

## Compound Propositions (14/23)

## Logical Connectives

## DEFINITION 6

Let $p$ and $q$ be propositions. The biconditional statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ is the proposition " $p$ if and only if $q$." The biconditional statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true when $p$ and $q$ have the same truth values, and is false otherwise. Biconditional statements are also called bi-implications.
" $p$ is necessary and sufficient for $q$ "
"if $p$ then $q$, and conversely" " $p$ iff $q$." " $p$ exactly when $q$."

| TABLE 6 The Truth Table for the Biconditional $\boldsymbol{p} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{q}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F |  |

"You can take the flight if and only if you buy a ticket."

## Compound Propositions (15/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE

## 1

Construct the truth table of the compound proposition

$$
(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)
$$

## Propositions

Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$.

| TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |
| T | T |  |  |  |  |
| T | F |  |  |  |  |
| F | T |  |  |  |  |
| F | F |  |  |  |  |

## Compound Proposititions (16/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE 1

Construct the truth table of the compound proposition

$$
(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)
$$

| TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |
| T | T | F |  |  |  |
| T | F | T |  |  |  |
| F | T | F |  |  |  |
| F | F | T |  |  |  |

## Compoynd Propositions (16/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
1
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$.

| TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |
| T | T | F | T |  |  |
| T | F | T | T |  |  |
| F | T | F | F |  |  |
| F | F | T | T |  |  |

## Compound Propositions (16/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE 1

Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$.

TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$.

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}$ | $(\boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}) \rightarrow(\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | T | T |  |
| T | F | T | T | F |  |
| F | T | F | F | F |  |
| F | F | T | T | F |  |

## Compound Propositions (16/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

## EXAMPLE 1

Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$.

| TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(\boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}) \rightarrow(\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}$ | $(\boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}) \rightarrow(\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q})$ |
| T | T | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | F | F | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | F |

Compound Propositions (17/23)
Precedence of Logical Operators

| TABLE 8 <br> Precedence of <br> Logical Operators. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Operator | Precedence |
| $\neg$ | 1 |
| $\wedge$ | 2 |
| $\vee$ | 3 |
| $\rightarrow$ | 4 |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | 5 |

## Compound Propositions (18/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

## Compound Propositions (19/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $\neg q$ | $p A \neg q$ | $(\rightarrow r p A \neg q$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Compound Propositions (19/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $q \neg$ | $q \neg \mathrm{~A} p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |

Compound Propositions (19/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $\neg q$ | $p A \neg q$ | $(\rightarrow r p A \neg q$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |

## Compoynd Propositions (19/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $\neg q$ | $p \mathrm{~A} \neg q$ | $(\rightarrow r p \mathrm{~A} \neg q$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |

## Compound Propositions (19/23)

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

EXAMPLE
2
Construct the truth table of the compound proposition $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $\neg q$ | $p \mathrm{~A} \neg q$ | $(\rightarrow r p \mathrm{~A} \neg q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |

Compound Propositions (20/23)

## Logic and Bit Operations

- Computers represent information using bits. A bit is a symbol with two possible values, namely, 0 (zero) and 1 (one).

| Truth Value | Bit |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | 1 |
| F | 0 |

## Compound Propositions (21/23)

## Computer Bit Operations

- We will also use the notation OR, AND, and XOR for the operators $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{A}$, and $\oplus$, as is done in various programming languages.

$$
\text { TABLE } 9 \text { Table for the Bit Operators } \boldsymbol{O R} \text {, }
$$ $A N D$, and $X O R$.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x} \vee \boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x} \wedge \boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x} \oplus \boldsymbol{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Bit Strings

## Propositions

(22/23)

- Information is often represented using bit strings, which are lists of zeros and ones. When this is done, operations on the bit strings can be used to manipulate this information.

A bit string is a sequence of zero or more bits. The length of this string is the number of bits in the string.

101010011 is a bit string of length nine.

## Compound Propositions (23/23)

## Example

- Find the bitwise OR, bitwise AND, and bitwise XOR of the bit strings 0110110110 and 1100011101

0110110110<br>1100011101<br>1110111111 bitwise OR<br>0100010100 bitwise AND<br>1010101011 bitwise XOR

## Revision

## Propositional Logic

## Introduction

*A proposition is a declarative sentence (a sentence that declares a fact) that is either true or false, but not both.
*Are the following sentences propositions?
*Riyadh is the capital of Saudi Arabia. (Yes)
${ }^{*}$ Read this carefully. (No)

* $1+2=3$ (Yes)
* $\mathrm{x}+1=2$ (No)
*What time is it?


## DEFINITION

Let p be a proposition. The negation of p , denoted by $\neg \mathrm{p}$, is the statement "It is not the case that p."

The proposition $\neg \mathrm{p}$ is read "not p ." The truth value of the negation of $\mathrm{p}, \neg \mathrm{p}$ is the opposite of the truth value of $p$.

## *Example

* Find the negation of the proposition "Today is Friday." and express this in simple English.

Solution: The negation is "It is not the case that today is Friday."
In simple English, "Today is not Friday." or "It is not Friday today."

* Note: Always assume fixed times, fixed places, and particular people unless otherwise noted.
* Truth table: $\quad$\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}

\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{| The Truth Table for the |
| :--- |
| Negation of a Proposition. |} <br>

\hline$p$ \& $\neg p$ <br>
\hline T \& F <br>
F \& T <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

* Logical operators are used to form new propositions from two or more existing propositions. The logical operators are also called connectives.


## DEFINITION

Let p and q be propositions. The conjunction of p and q , denoted by $\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q}$, is the proposition " p and q ". The conjunction $\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q}$ is true when both p and $q$ are true and is false otherwise.

## *Example

* Find the conjunction of the propositions p and q where p is the proposition "Today is Friday." and q is the proposition "It is raining today.", and the truth value of the conjunction.

Solution: The conjunction is the proposition "Today is Friday and it is raining today." The proposition is true on rainy Fridays.

## DEFINITION

Let p and q be propositions. The disjunction of p and q , denoted by $\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}$, is the proposition " p or q ". The conjunction $\mathrm{p} v \mathrm{q}$ is false when both p and $q$ are false and is true otherwise.
${ }^{*}$ Note:
inclusive or : The disjunction is true when at least one of the two
propositions is true.

* E.g. "Students who have taken calculus or computer science can take this class." those who take one or both classes.
exclusive or : The disjunction is true only when one of the


## proposition is true.

* E.g. "Students who have taken calculus or computer science, but not both, can take this class." - only those who take one of them.


## DEFINITION

Let p and q be propositions. The exclusive or of p and q , denoted by p q , is the proposition that is true when exactly one of $p$ and $q$ is true and is false otherwise.

| The Truth Table for <br> the Conjunction of <br> Two Propositions. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $p \wedge q$ |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |


| The Truth Table for <br> the Disjunction of <br> Two Propositions. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ |  |
| p | $p q$ |  |
| T | T |  |
| T | F |  |
| F | T |  |
| T | T |  |
| F | T |  |

## Conditional Statements

## DEFINITION

Let p and q be propositions. The conditional statement $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$, is the proposition "if p , then q ." The conditional statement is false when p is true and $q$ is false, and true otherwise. In the conditional statement $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}$ is called the hypothesis (or antecedent or premise) and q is called the conclusion (or consequence).

- A conditional statement is also called an implication.
- Example: "If I am elected, then I will lower taxes." $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$


## implication:

elected, lower taxes.
not elected, lower taxes.
not elected, not lower taxes. elected, not lower taxes.


## ${ }^{*}$ Example:

* Let p be the statement "Omar learns discrete mathematics." and q the statement "Omar will find a good job." Express the statement $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$ as a statement in English.

Solution: Any of the following -
"If Omar learns discrete mathematics, then he will find a good job.
"Omar will find a good job when he learns discrete mathematics."
"For Omar to get a good job, it is sufficient for him to learn discrete mathematics."
"Omar will find a good job unless he does not learn discrete mathematics."

* Other conditional statements:
* Converse of $p \rightarrow q: q \rightarrow p$
${ }^{*}$ Contrapositive of $p \rightarrow q: \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
*Inverse of $p \rightarrow q: \neg p \rightarrow \neg q$


## DEFINITION

Let p and q be propositions. The biconditional statement $\mathrm{p} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{q}$ is the proposition " $p$ if and only if q." The biconditional statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true when $p$ and $q$ have the same truth values, and is false otherwise.
Biconditional statements are also called bi-implications.
${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{q}$ has the same truth value as $(\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}) \Lambda(\mathrm{q} \rightarrow \mathrm{p})$
*"if and only if" can be expressed by "iff"

* Example:
* Let p be the statement "You can take the flight" and let q be the statement "You buy a ticket." Then $\mathrm{p} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{q}$ is the statement
"You can take the flight if and only if you buy a ticket."


## Implication:

If you buy a ticket you can take the flight.
If you don't buy a ticket you cannot take the flight.

| The Truth Table for the Biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | 9 | $p \leftrightarrow$ |
| T | T | T |
|  | F | F |
|  | T | F |
|  | F | T |

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

* We can use connectives to build up complicated compound propositions involving any number of propositional variables, then use truth tables to determine the truth value of these compound propositions.
* Example: Construct the truth table of the compound proposition

$$
(\mathrm{p} \vee \neg \mathrm{q}) \longrightarrow(\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q})
$$

| The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ |
| T | T | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | F | F | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | F |

## Translating English Sentences

* English (and every other human language) is often ambiguous. Translating sentences into compound statements removes the ambiguity.
* Example: How can this English sentence be translated into a logical expression?
"You cannot ride the roller coaster if you are under 4 feet tall unless you are older than 16 years old."

Solution: Let $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}$, and s represent "You can ride the roller coaster,"
"You are under 4 feet tall," and "You are older than
16 years old." The sentence can be translated into:

$$
(\mathrm{r} \Lambda \neg \mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \neg \mathrm{q} .
$$

# * Example: How can this English sentence be translated into a logical expression? 

"You can access the Internet from campus only if you are a computer science major or you are not a freshman."

Solution: Let a, c, and frepresent "You can access the Internet from campus," "You are a computer science major," and "You are a freshman." The sentence can be translated into:

$$
a \rightarrow(c \vee \neg f) .
$$

### 2.2 Logic and Bit Operations

* Computers represent information using bits.
* A bit is a symbol with two possible values, 0 and 1 .
* By convention, 1 represents T (true) and 0 represents F (false).
* A variable is called a Boolean variable if its value is either true or false.
* Bit operation - replace true by 1 and false by 0 in logical operations.

| Table for the Bit Operators $O R, A N D$, and $X O R$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $y$ | $x \vee y$ | $x \wedge y$ | $x \oplus y$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $中$ | 0 |

## DEFINITION

A bit string is a sequence of zero or more bits. The length of this string is the number of bits in the string.

* Example: Find the bitwise OR, bitwise AND, and bitwise XOR of the bit string 0110110110 and 1100011101.


## Solution:

$$
0110110110
$$

1100011101

1110111111 bitwise OR
0100010100 bitwise AND
1010101011 bitwise XOR

### 2.3 Logical Equivalences

## DEFINITION

The compound propositions $p$ and $q$ are called logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology. The notation $\mathrm{p} \equiv \mathrm{q}$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.
${ }^{*}$ Compound propositions that have the same truth values in all possible cases are called logically equivalent.
${ }^{*}$ Example: Show that $\neg \mathrm{p} v \mathrm{q}$ and $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}$ are logically equivalent.

| Truth Tables for $\neg p \vee q$ and $p \rightarrow q$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg p \vee q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ |
| T | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | ${ }^{64}$ |
| T |  |  |  |  |

* Example: Show that $\neg(\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q})$ and $\mathrm{p} \Lambda \neg \mathrm{q}$ are logically equivalent.

Example: Show that $(\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{q}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q})$ is a tautology.

DEFINITION 2: The compound propositions $p$ and $q$ are called logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology. The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that $p$ and $q$ are logically equivalent.

| TABLE 1 Examples of a Tautology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and a Contradiction. |  |  |  |
| $p$ | $\neg p$ | $p \vee \neg p$ | $p \wedge \neg p$ |
| T | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F |


| TABLE 2 De |
| :--- |
| Morgan's Laws. |
| $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ |
| $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ |


| Equivalence | Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ | Identity laws |
| $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$ |  |
| $p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ | Domination laws |
| $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $p \vee p \equiv p$ | Idempotent laws |
| $p \wedge p \equiv p$ |  |
| $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$ | Double negation law |
| $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ | Commutative laws |
| $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ | Associative laws |
| $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee(q \vee r)$ |  |
| $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge(q \wedge r)$ | Distributive laws |
| $p \vee(q \wedge r) \equiv(p \vee q) \wedge(p \vee r)$ |  |
| $p \wedge(q \vee r) \equiv(p \wedge q) \vee(p \wedge r)$ | De Morgan's laws |
| $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ |  |
| $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ | Absorption laws |
| $p \vee(p \wedge q) \equiv p$ |  |
| $p \wedge(p \vee q) \equiv p$ | Negation laws |
| $p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ |  |
| $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \\
& p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \\
& p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q \\
& p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q) \\
& \neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q \\
& (p \rightarrow q) \wedge(p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow(q \wedge r) \\
& (p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \equiv(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \\
& (p \rightarrow q) \vee(p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow(q \vee r) \\
& (p \rightarrow r) \vee(q \rightarrow r) \equiv(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow p) \\
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \wedge q) \vee(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\
& \neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q
\end{aligned}
$$

Decide whether the following propositions are tautology or a contradiction or a contingency :

$$
\text { 1) } \quad(p \wedge q) \rightarrow(\neg p \rightarrow q)
$$

( By rules " without using the truth tables")

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
(p \wedge q) \rightarrow(\neg p \rightarrow q) & \equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee(p \vee q) & \text { (Conditional Rule) } \\
& \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \vee p \vee q & \text { (DeMorgan's Rule) } \\
& \equiv(\neg p \vee p) \vee(\neg q \vee q) & \text { (Commutative and Associative Rules) } \\
& \equiv T \vee T \equiv T & \text { (Negation Rule ) }
\end{array}
$$

2) $\quad[\neg p \wedge(p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
(By rules" without using the truth tables )

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\neg p \wedge(p \vee q)] \rightarrow q } & \equiv \neg[\neg p \wedge(p \vee q)] \vee q \\
& \equiv p \vee \neg(p \vee q) \vee q \\
& \equiv(p \vee q) \vee \neg(p \vee q) \\
& \equiv T
\end{aligned}
$$

( Conditional Rule )
( DeMorgan's Rule)
(Commutative and Associative Rules )
( Negation Rule )

شُكرًا لحسن استماعكم Thank you

