

Question 1: Show that by eliminate x^2 between the equations $4x^2 + y^2 = 4$ and $x^2y^3 = 1$, we get a polynomial equation $y^5 - 4y^3 + 4 = 0$ in y . Use $y_0 = 1$ to find second approximation of the intersection point (x, y) of the curves with $x > 0$ using Newton's method. [5 Marks]

Solution. Given

$$4x^2 + y^2 = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad x^2y^3 = 1.$$

Eliminate x^2 , we have

$$x^2 = \frac{1}{y^3} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{4}{y^3} + y^2 = 4, \quad \text{gives} \quad y^5 - 4y^3 + 4 = 0,$$

$$f(y) = y^5 - 4y^3 + 4 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(y) = 5y^4 - 12y^2.$$

Applying Newton's iterative formula to find the approximation of this polynomial equation, we have

$$y_{n+1} = y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f'(y_n)} = y_n - \frac{y_n^5 - 4y_n^3 + 4}{5y_n^4 - 12y_n^2}.$$

Finding the second approximation using the initial approximation $y_0 = 1$, we get

$$y_1 = y_0 - \frac{y_0^5 - 4y_0^3 + 4}{5y_0^4 - 12y_0^2} = 1.1429,$$

$$y_2 = y_1 - \frac{y_1^5 - 4y_1^3 + 4}{5y_1^4 - 12y_1^2} = 1.1399,$$

and

$$x^2 = \frac{1}{y^3} \quad \text{or} \quad x = \frac{1}{y^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{(1.1399)^{3/2}} = 0.8217.$$

Thus the point of intersection is $(x, y) = (0.8217, 1.1399)$.

Question 2: The equation $(1 - x)^2e^{1-x} = 0$ has multiple root $\alpha = 1$. Develop the Modified Newton's formula for computing the approximation of this root, then use it to find the second approximation x_2 using $x_0 = 0.75$. Show that the developed formula converges at least quadratically to the root. [5 Marks]

Solution. Since $\alpha = 1$ is a root of $f(x)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (1 - x)^2e^{1-x}, & f(1) &= 0, \\ f'(x) &= -2(1 - x)e^{1-x} - (1 - x)^2e^{1-x} = (x - 3)(1 - x)e^{1-x}, & f'(1) &= 0, \\ f''(x) &= (7 - 6x + x^2)e^{1-x}, & f''(1) &= 2 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

the function has zero of multiplicity 2. Using modified Newton's iterative formula, we get

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - m \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n - 2 \frac{(1 - x_n)^2e^{1-x_n}}{(x_n - 3)(1 - x_n)e^{1-x_n}} = x_n - 2 \frac{(1 - x_n)}{(x_n - 3)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Now evaluating this at the give approximation $x_0 = 0.75$, gives

$$x_1 = x_0 - 2 \frac{(1 - x_0)}{(x_0 - 3)} = 0.9722 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = x_1 - 2 \frac{(1 - x_1)}{(x_1 - 3)} = 0.9996.$$

The fixed point form of the developed modified Newton's formula is

$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n) = x_n - 2 \frac{(1 - x_n)}{(x_n - 3)},$$

where

$$g(x) = x - 2 \frac{(1 - x)}{(x - 3)}.$$

By taking first derivative, we have

$$g'(x) = 1 - 2 \left(\frac{2}{(x - 3)^2} \right), \quad g'(1) = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Thus the method converges at least quadratically to the given root.

Question 3: Consider the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + y^3 &= k_1 \\ -x + y^3 &= k_2 \end{aligned}$$

Using Newton's method by taking the initial approximation $(x_0, y_0)^T = (0.5, 0.5)^T$ gives the first approximation $(x_1, y_1)^T = (1.0800, 0.4400)^T$. Find the values of k_1 and k_2 . [5 Marks]

Solution. We are given the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + y &= k_1 \\ -x + y^3 &= k_2 \end{aligned}$$

and it gives the functions and the first partial derivatives as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y) &= x^3 + y^3 - k_1, & f_{1x} &= 3x^2, & f_{1y} &= 3y^2, \\ f_2(x, y) &= -x + y^3 - k_2, & f_{2x} &= -1, & f_{2y} &= 3y^2. \end{aligned}$$

At the given initial approximation $x_0 = 0.5$ and $y_0 = 0.5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(0.5, 0.5) &= 0.25 - k_1, & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} = f_{1x} &= 0.75, & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} = f_{1y} &= 0.75, \\ f_2(0.5, 0.5) &= -0.3750 - k_2, & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} = f_{2x} &= -1, & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} = f_{2y} &= 0.75. \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian matrix J and its inverse J^{-1} at the given initial approximation can be calculated as follows:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 & 0.75 \\ -1 & 0.75 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5714 & -0.5714 \\ 0.7619 & 0.5714 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting all these values in Newton's formula, we get the first approximation as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.0800 \\ 0.4400 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0.5714 & -0.5714 \\ 0.7619 & 0.5714 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 - k_1 \\ -0.3750 - k_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0.5714k_1 - 0.5714k_2 &= 0.9371 \\ 0.7619k_1 + 0.5714k_2 &= -0.0838 \end{aligned}$$

then solving for k_1 and k_2 , we get

$$k_1 = 0.64 \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = -1,$$

which are the required values of k_1 and k_2 .

Question 4: Use the simple Gaussian elimination method to find value of α for which the following matrix is non-invertible (singular)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & \alpha \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then use the smallest positive integer value of α to get the unique solution of the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = [2, 8, -4]^T$. [5 Marks]

Solution. Using $m_{21} = 1$, $m_{31} = 0$ and $m_{32} = \frac{\alpha}{3}$, we get

$$A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 3 & 1 - \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & -2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 3 & 1 - \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(\alpha^2 - \alpha - 6)}{3} \end{pmatrix} = U.$$

To show that the given matrix is singular, we have to show that

$$\det(A) = \det(U) = (1)(3)((\alpha^2 - \alpha - 6)/3) = (\alpha - 3)(\alpha + 2) = 0.$$

Solving the above quadratic equation, we get, $\alpha = 3$ and $\alpha = -2$, the possible values of α which make the given matrix singular.

To find the unique solution we take the smallest positive integer value $\alpha = 1$ and using $m_{21} = 2$, $m_{31} = 0$ and $m_{32} = \frac{1}{3}$, gives:

$$[A|\mathbf{b}] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \vdots & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & \vdots & -4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & \vdots & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & \vdots & -4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & \vdots & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & \vdots & -6 \end{pmatrix} = [U|c].$$

Now expressing the set in algebraic form yields

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 + x_3 &= 2 \\ 3x_2 &= 6 \\ -2x_3 &= -6 \end{aligned}$$

Using backward substitution, we get, $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 2$, $x_3 = 3$, the unique solution.

Question 5: Use LU-factorization method with Doolittle's method ($l_{ii} = 1$) to find the value of α such that the following linear system has infinite many solutions. Then compute these solutions. [5 Marks]

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\ 3x_1 + \alpha x_2 + 5x_3 &= 8 \\ 7x_2 + 3x_3 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Solution. Using Simple Gauss-elimination method, we can easily find factorization of A as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & \alpha & 5 \\ 0 & 7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 7/(\alpha-3) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (\alpha-3) & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-35/(\alpha-3) \end{pmatrix} = LU.$$

Since by one of the property of the determinant

$$\det(A) = \det(LU) = \det(L) \det(U).$$

So when using LU decomposition by Doolittle's method, then

$$\det(A) = \det(U) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_{ii} = (u_{11}u_{22} \cdots u_{nn}),$$

where $\det(L) = 1$ because L is lower-triangular matrix and all its diagonal elements are unity. Thus the determinant of the given matrix A is

$$|A| = |U| = (\alpha-3)(3-35/(\alpha-3)) = 3\alpha - 44, \quad \alpha \neq 3.$$

So $|A| = 0$, gives, $\alpha = 44/3$ and for this value of α we have non-trivial solutions. By solving the lower-triangular system of the form $Ly = [1, 8, 3]^T$ of the form

$$Ly = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b},$$

we obtained the solution $\mathbf{y} = [1, 5, 0]^T$. Now solving the upper-triangular system $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ of the form

$$U\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 35/3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{y}.$$

If we choose $x_3 = t \in R$, $t \neq 0$, then, $x_2 = 3(1-t)/7$ and $x_1 = (4+3t)/7$, then the non-trivial solutions of the given system is $\mathbf{x}^* = [(4+3t)/7, 3(1-t)/7, t]^T$.

Question 6: Show that the Jacobi method and Gauss-Seidel method both converge for the following linear system

$$\begin{aligned} 9x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 &= 20 \\ x_1 + 10x_2 + 4x_3 &= 6 \\ 2x_1 - 4x_2 + 10x_3 &= -15 \end{aligned}$$

Using Gauss-Seidel method, if $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = [0, 0, 0]^T$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = [2.2222, 0.3778, -1.7933]^T$, then compute an error bound $\|x - x^{(10)}\|$ for the approximation. [5 Marks]

Solution. Since the given linear system is strictly diagonally dominant (SDD), therefore, both methods guaranteed converge. Or, $\|T_J\|_\infty < 1$ and $\|T_G\|_\infty < 1$.

Here we will show that the l_∞ -norm of Jacobi iteration matrix T_J and the l_∞ -norm of Gauss-Seidel iteration matrix T_G is less than 1, that is

$$\|T_G\|_\infty = \|T_J\|_\infty < 1.$$

The Jacobi iteration matrix T_J can be obtained from the given matrix A as follows

$$T_J = -D^{-1}(L+U) = -\begin{pmatrix} 1/9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2/9 & -4/9 \\ -1/10 & 0 & -4/10 \\ -2/10 & 4/10 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the l_∞ norm of the matrix T_J is

$$\|T_J\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \frac{6}{9}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10} \right\} = \max \{0.6667, 0.5000, 0.6000\} = 0.6667 < 1.$$

Since the Gauss-Seidel iteration matrix is defined as

$$T_G = -(D + L)^{-1}U,$$

and by using the given information, we have

$$T_G = -\begin{pmatrix} 1/9 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/90 & 1/10 & 0 \\ -2/75 & 1/25 & 1/10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2/9 & -4/9 \\ 0 & 1/45 & -16/45 \\ 0 & 4/75 & -4/75 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the l_∞ norm of the matrix T_G is

$$\|T_G\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \frac{6}{9}, \frac{17}{45}, \frac{8}{75} \right\} = \max \{0.6667, 0.3778, 0.1067\} = 0.6667 < 1.$$

Using the error bound formula of Gauss-Seidel method, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(10)}\| \leq \frac{(0.6667)^{10}}{1 - 0.6667} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2.2222 \\ 0.3778 \\ -1.7933 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq (0.0521)(2.2222) = 0.1157.$$