

## Solutions for Final Exam 380-452.

Q1

a) Expected payment with no deductible is 1000 which is the mean of a uniform distribution on interval from 0 to 2000, that is, equals:

$$\int_0^{2000} x \cdot \frac{1}{2000} dx = \frac{1}{2000} \frac{x^2}{2} \Big|_0^{2000} \\ = 1000$$

$$\text{of } 25\% \text{ of } 1000 = 250.$$

Now, with deductible  $d$ , the amount paid on a loss

$$\text{of amount } x \text{ is } Y = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq d \\ x-d & x > d \end{cases}$$

and the expected payment:

$$E[Y] = \int_d^{2000} (x-d) \frac{1}{2000} dx = 250$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(2000-d)^2}{4000} = 250$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{d = 1000}$$

Q1 b) The overall expected loss is:

$$\int_0^1 x(1-x) dx = \left. \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3} \right|_0^1 = \frac{1}{6}$$

with policy limit  $u$ , the expected insurance payment

is  $\int_0^u x \cdot f(x) dx + u \cdot [1 - F(u)]$ . In this case,  
 $F(u) = \int_0^u (1-x) dx = u - \frac{u^2}{2}$

Therefore, the expected insurance payment is

$$\int_0^u x(1-x) dx + u \left[ 1 - u + \frac{u^2}{2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{u^2}{2} - \frac{u^3}{3} + u - u^2 + \frac{u^3}{3}$$

$$= u - \frac{u^2}{2}$$

In order for this to be one-half of expected total loss, we must have that:

$$u - \frac{u^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow -6u^2 + 12u - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u^2 - 12u + 1 = 0$$

Solve by formula to get that:

$$\underline{\underline{u}} = \frac{12 \pm 10.95}{12} = 1.9125 \text{ or } 0.0875.$$

Q2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } P_r \{ X_0=1, X_1=0, X_2=2 \} \\ \dots = P_1 P_{10} P_{02} = (0.3)(0.5)(0.3) \\ = 0.045 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } P_r \{ X_4=1 \mid X_0=0 \}$$

$$\text{or } P_r \{ X_5=1 \mid X_1=0 \}$$

$$= P_{01}^4 = 0.4578$$

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.58 & 0.42 \\ 0.49 & 0.51 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^4 = P^2 \cdot P^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.58 & 0.42 \\ 0.49 & 0.51 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.58 & 0.42 \\ 0.49 & 0.51 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5422 & 0.4578 \\ 0.5341 & 0.4659 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Q3

a)  $p=0.3$  &  $q=1-p=0.7$

(i)

$$P = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2,0 \\ 1,0 \\ 1,1 \\ 0,11 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\uparrow \pi_0 \quad \uparrow \pi_1 \quad \uparrow \pi_2 \quad \uparrow \pi_3$

(ii) we need to find  $\pi_3$ : The probability in the long run that neither computer is operating.

Rule use:

$$\begin{cases} \pi_j = \sum_{k=0}^3 \pi_k P_{kj} & j=0,1,2,3 \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \pi_k = 1 \end{cases}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 &= 0.7\pi_0 + 0.7\pi_2 & \Rightarrow \pi_2 &= \frac{0.3}{0.7} \pi_0 \\ \pi_1 &= 0.3\pi_0 + 0.3\pi_2 & \Rightarrow \pi_1 &= \frac{0.3 * 0.7 + (0.3)^2}{0.7} \pi_0 \\ \pi_2 &= 0.7\pi_1 + \pi_3 & \Rightarrow \pi_3 &= \frac{0.3 - (0.3)(0.7)^2 - (0.3)^2(0.7)}{(0.7)} \pi_0 \end{aligned}$$

(\*)  $\pi_0 + \pi_1 + \pi_2 + \pi_3 = 1$  Substitute in (\*)

$$\Rightarrow \pi_0 + \frac{0.3 * 0.7 + (0.3)^2}{0.7} \pi_0 + \frac{0.3}{0.7} \pi_0 + \frac{0.3 - (0.3)(0.7)^2 - (0.3)^2(0.7)}{0.7} \pi_0 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\pi_0 = \frac{0.7}{1 + 0.3 + (0.3)^2}}, \pi_1 = \frac{0.3}{1 + 0.3 + (0.3)^2} \Rightarrow \pi_2 = \frac{0.3}{1 + 0.3 + (0.3)^2}$$

but we only need  $\pi_3 = \frac{(0.3)^2}{1 + 0.3 + (0.3)^2} \approx 0.0647$

if we get that

by substituting in ~~the~~  $\pi_3$  formula using  $\pi_0$ .

(iii) The availability that at least one computer is operating in the long run =  $R_3$

$$= 1 - \pi_3$$

$$= 1 - \frac{(0.3)^2}{1 + (0.3) + (0.3)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1.3}{1 + (0.3) + (0.3)^2}$$

$$\approx \boxed{0.9353}$$

Q3 b) state 3 is an absorbed state, so the mean time to reach state 3 is:

$$(**) v = E[T | X_0 = i] \quad i = 0, 1, 2 \text{ the non-absorbed states}$$

and we need to find  $v = E[T | X_0 = 0] = U_{03}$ .

Set equations using (\*\*):

$$U_{03} = 1 + P_{00}U_{03} + P_{01}U_{13} + \cancel{P_{02}}P_{02}U_{23} \rightarrow (1)$$

$$U_{13} = 1 + P_{10}^0 U_{03} + P_{11}U_{13} + P_{12}U_{23} \rightarrow (2)$$

$$U_{23} = 1 + P_{20}^0 U_{03} + P_{21}^0 U_{13} + P_{22}U_{23} \rightarrow (3)$$

For short, let  $U_0 = U_{03}$ ,  $U_1 = U_{13}$  &  $U_2 = U_{23}$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} U_0 = 1 + 0.3U_0 + 0.4U_1 + 0.1U_2 \\ U_1 = 1 + 0.2U_1 + 0.1U_2 \\ U_2 = 1 + 0.1U_2 \end{cases}$$

Solve for  $U_0 = U_{03}$ :

$$10x \left. \begin{aligned} 10U_0 &= 10 + 3U_0 + 4U_1 + U_2 \\ 10U_1 &= 10 + 2U_1 + U_2 \\ 10U_2 &= 10 + U_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

by back substitution

$$U_2 = \frac{10}{9}$$

↓

$$U_1 = \frac{25}{18}$$

↓

$$U_0 = \frac{150}{63} \approx 2.381$$

Q4

a)  $\Pr(X(s+t) - X(s) = k) = \frac{(\lambda t)^k e^{-\lambda t}}{k!}, k=0,1,\dots$   
for a Poisson Process  $X(t)$  of rate  $\lambda$ .  
in the question  $\lambda = 3$ .

$$(i) \Pr\{X(2) = 3\}$$

$$= \Pr\{X(2) - X(0) = 3\} \quad \{ \text{As } X(0) = 0 \}$$

$$= \frac{(3 \cdot 2)^3 e^{-3 \cdot 2}}{3!}$$

$$= \frac{6^3 e^{-6}}{3!} = 6^2 e^{-6} = 36 e^{-6}$$

$$(ii) \Pr\{X(2) = 3 \text{ and } X(4) = 7\}$$

$$= \Pr\{X(2) - X(0) = 3, X(4) - X(2) = 4\}$$

indep. increments

$$= \Pr\{X(2) - X(0) = 3\} * \Pr\{X(4) - X(2) = 4\}$$

$$= 6^2 e^{-6} * \frac{6^4 e^{-6}}{4!} \quad \begin{matrix} t=2 \\ k=4 \\ \lambda=3 \end{matrix}$$

$$= \frac{6^6 e^{-12}}{4!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{Q4} \quad a) & \text{ (iii) } P_r \{X(4)=7 \mid X(2)=3\} \\
 &= P_r \left\{ \underbrace{X(4)-X(2)=4}_{\text{indep.}} \mid \underbrace{X(2)-X(0)=3}_{\text{indep.}} \right\} \\
 &= P_r \{X(4)-X(2)=4\} \\
 &= \frac{6^4 e^{-6}}{4!}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{Q4} \quad b) \quad P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda_0 t} = e^{-2t}$$

$$n=1, \quad P_1(t) = \lambda_0 [B_{0,1} e^{-\lambda_0 t} + B_{1,1} e^{-\lambda_1 t}]$$

$$B_{0,1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0} = \frac{1}{4-2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$B_{1,1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1(t) = 2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{-2t} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-4t} \right] = e^{-2t} - e^{-4t}$$

$$n=2, \quad P_2(t) = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 [B_{0,2} e^{-\lambda_0 t} + B_{1,2} e^{-\lambda_1 t} + B_{2,2} e^{-\lambda_2 t}]$$

$$B_{0,2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_0} = \frac{1}{4-2} \cdot \frac{1}{3-2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$B_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = \frac{1}{2-4} \cdot \frac{1}{3-4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$B_{2,2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_2} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{2-3} \cdot \frac{1}{4-3} = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2(t) = 8 \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4t} - e^{-3t} \right] = 4e^{-2t} + 4e^{-4t} - 8e^{-3t}$$

Q5) a) Using that:  $P_r \{ B(s+t) \leq y \mid B(s) = x \}$   
 $= \Phi \left( \frac{y-x}{\sqrt{t}} \right)$

$$\Rightarrow P_r \left\{ B(\overset{s+t}{8}) \leq \overset{y}{6} \mid B(\overset{s}{0}) = \overset{x}{2} \right\} = \Phi \left( \frac{6-2}{\sqrt{8}} \right)$$

$$= \Phi \left( \frac{4}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$= \Phi(1.41)$$

$$= 0.9207$$

b) For a BM with  $B(0) = 0$ , we know that  $B(t) \sim N(0, t)$   
 Now, by definition,  $\text{Cov}[B(t), B(s)] = E[B(t)B(s)] - E[B(t)]E[B(s)]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Cov}[B(t), B(s)] = E[B(t)B(s)]$  for any  $B, t > 0$ .

Now for  $0 < t < s$ :

$$B(s) = B(t) + B(s) - B(t)$$

$$E[B(t)B(s)] = E[B(t)(B(t) + B(s) - B(t))]$$

$$= E[B^2(t) + B(t)(B(s) - B(t))]$$

$$= \underbrace{E[B^2(t)]}_{t} + E[B(t) \cdot \underbrace{(B(s) - B(t))}_{\text{indep. incre. as } t < s \text{ by properties of BM}}]$$

$$= t + E[B(t)] \cdot E[B(s) - B(t)]$$

$$= t = \min(t, s).$$

because  $B(t) \sim N(0, t)$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Var}[B(t)] = t$   
 $E[B^2(t)] = (E[B(t)])^2 + \text{Var}[B(t)] = 0 + t = t$

Similarly for  $0 < s < t$ . Result is proved!

Q5) (c) A continuous time process  $(X(t), t \geq 0)$  is a martingale if for any  $t > 0$ :

①  $E|X(t)| < \infty$

②  $E[X(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = X(s)$ , for all  $0 \leq s < t$ .

To prove  $X(t) = B^2(t) - t$  is a martingale

① show  $E|X(t)| < \infty$ ?

$$E|B^2(t) - t| \leq E|B^2(t)| + E|t| = E(B^2(t)) + t = t + t = 2t < \infty$$

② For  $0 \leq s < t$ ,  $E[X(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = X(s)$ ?

L.H.S. =  $E[X(t) | \mathcal{F}_s]$

=  $E[B^2(t) - t | \mathcal{F}_s]$

=  $E[\{B(t) - B(s) + B(s)\}^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s]$

=  $E[(B(t) - B(s))^2 + B^2(s) + 2(B(t) - B(s))B(s) - t | \mathcal{F}_s]$

=  $E[\underbrace{(B(t) - B(s))^2}_{\substack{\text{independent} \\ \text{of } \mathcal{F}_s}} | \mathcal{F}_s] + E[B^2(s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + E[2 \underbrace{(B(t) - B(s))B(s)}_{\substack{\text{determined} \\ \text{by } \mathcal{F}_s}} | \mathcal{F}_s] - t$

because of independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  determined by  $\mathcal{F}_s$

=  $E[(B(t) - B(s))^2] + B^2(s) + 2B(s) \underbrace{E[B(t) - B(s)]}_{\substack{E[B(t)] - E[B(s)] \\ 0 - 0}} - t$

because  $B(t) - B(s) \sim N(0, t-s)$   $t-s$  variance

=  $t - s + B^2(s) - t$

=  $B^2(s) - s = X(s) = \text{R.H.S.}$

$\therefore X(t)$  is a martingale