



College of Science  
Botany and Microbiology

## **MIC (521)**

**Summary of the practical article**

### **Diagnosis of pathogens causing bacterial meningitis using Nanopore sequencing in a resource-limited setting**

#### **Students:**

Maha Alabdulkareem (446200386)

Layla Alharbi(446200089)

Budoor Alhaiti(445205628)

Munirah Alsheddi (446200388)

**Dr.Dalia Saeed Al Sarar**

○ **Introduction: Maha Alabdulkareem**

**1. Global Impact of Bacterial Infections:**

- Sepsis and meningitis are significant global health concerns.
- In 2017, sepsis contributed to 48.9 million cases and 11 million deaths, which accounted for 20% of global deaths.
- Meningitis alone caused approximately 250,000 deaths in 2019, primarily due to bacterial infections.

**2. Disproportionate Impact in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs):**

- LMICs are heavily affected by these infections due to limited healthcare infrastructure and diagnostic tools.
- High morbidity and mortality rates are common in these regions.

**3. Importance of Rapid and Accurate Diagnosis:**

- Bacterial meningitis and sepsis are medical emergencies that require immediate diagnosis and treatment.
- The gold standard for diagnosis—CSF and blood cultures—is time-consuming.
- Delayed diagnosis leads to increased mortality, prolonged hospital stays, and higher healthcare costs.

**4. Limitations of Conventional Culture Methods:**

- Standard cultures are often negative due to prior antibiotic use, low bacterial counts, or fastidious organisms.
- Molecular methods like PCR have shown higher sensitivity, detecting pathogens in 30-50% of culture-negative CSF cases.

**5. Advantages of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS):**

- NGS technologies, especially 16S rRNA sequencing, have emerged as powerful tools for rapid pathogen detection.
- Oxford Nanopore's MinION sequencing platform is portable, cost-effective, and provides results within hours.
- This system allows rapid analysis in resource-limited settings, offering a solution to the limitations of traditional culture methods.

**6. Gaps in Current Research and Objective of the Study:**

- While Nanopore sequencing has shown promise in various applications, its use for diagnosing bacterial meningitis in clinical samples, especially in LMICs, is not well studied.
- This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of 16S rRNA Nanopore sequencing compared to conventional culture methods for diagnosing bacterial meningitis in a resource-limited setting.
- The study uses the EPI2ME cloud platform to analyze data in real-time without requiring advanced bioinformatics expertise.

○ **Material: Budoor Alhaiti**

Samples from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients suspected of bacterial meningitis were collected at the 108 Central Military Hospital in Vietnam between 2019 and 2020. The study included 30 patients.

| Characteristics | CSF (n=30) |
|-----------------|------------|
| Age (years)     | 49 (19–82) |
| Male            | 29         |
| Female          | 1          |

○ **Method: Budoor Alhaiti**

**Based on routine laboratory criteria :**

- 1-Bacterial species were diagnosed through microbiological cultures of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).
- 2-Species identification was performed using the VITEK system.
- 3-Nucleic acids were isolated from 1 mL of CSF, and bacterial DNA was extracted using the SaMag system for 16S rRNA sequencing.
- 4-The quality and quantity of the extracted DNA were measured using a Qubit fluorometer.
- 5-PCR was used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene from the CSF sample.
- 6-The PCR amplicons were purified using AMPure XP beads and quantified again with the Qubit fluorometer.
- 7-Sequencing was performed using the MinION system for six hours, then the data were collected and analyzed.

○ **Results : Layla Alharbi**

| Pathogen                        | Detected by CSF Culture | Detected by Nanopore Sequencing |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> | 4                       | 4                               |
| <i>Streptococcus suis</i>       | 4                       | 5                               |
| <i>Streptococcus mitis</i>      | 1                       | 0                               |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>   | 1                       | 0                               |
| <i>Aeromonas sobria</i>         | 1                       | 0                               |

|   |   |                       |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| <i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> (Fungal) | 1 | Not Detected (Fungal) |
| <i>Enterococcus hirae</i>               | 0 | 1                     |
| <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i>            | 0 | 1                     |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>            | 0 | 1                     |
| <i>Aeromonas jandaei</i>                | 0 | 1                     |

| Detection Method                              | Results  |
|---|--|
| Total samples (n = 30)                        | 30   |
| CSF Culture Positive (40%)                    | 12/30  |
| Nanopore Sequencing Positive (43%)            | 13/30  |
| Both Methods Concordant (70%)                 | 21/30  |
| Discordant Results (30%)                      | 9/30 (5 false positive, 4 false negative)              |
| Nanopore Detected in Culture-Negative Samples | 5/18 (additional detections using Nanopore sequencing) |
| Culture Detected but Nanopore-Negative        | 2 samples (including <i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> )  |
| Improved Positivity Rate                      | 40% (culture alone) → 57% (with Nanopore)              |

\*Concordance: Indicates whether Nanopore sequencing and conventional methods (CSF and blood culture) produced matching results.

Pathogen detections by each method, showing how Nanopore sequencing contributed additional diagnoses beyond culture results.

\*At least about 200 reads per sample are sufficient in other reliable large scale sequencing studies.

-Reads: Number of Nanopore sequencing reads and percentage of predominant pathogen.

\*Most common pathogens identified from the sample *S. suis* and *S. pneumoniae* by both methods.

Comparison of conventional microbiology diagnostic techniques and Nanopore sequencing:

\*Nanopore sequencing was concordant with culture results in 21 of 30 samples.

\*67% of culture-positive samples were detected using Nanopore sequencing.

\*Additional pathogens including 5 culture-negative samples increased the total culture positive from 40% to 57%.

○ **Discussion: Munirah Alsheddi**

This study demonstrated the ability of Nanopore 16S multiplex sequencing to rapidly and cost-effectively detect pathogenic bacteria in routine diagnostic methods. The predominant bacteria in CSF samples were *S. pneumoniae* and *S. suis*. The results are consistent with previous studies, and demonstrate the superior performance of NGS-based detection method in detecting pathogens in patients with CNS infections. CSF and blood cultures are the gold standard for diagnosis, however, they are time-consuming. In contrast, using Nanopore EPI2ME, the detection time is within an hour. Rapid identification of bacterial pathogens in bloodstream infections within 6-12 hours can accelerate the initiation of appropriate treatment to improve clinical outcome.

○ **Conclusion: Munirah Alsheddi**

This study demonstrated the ability of 16S multiplex nanopore sequencing to detect pathogens within 6 hours, which helps improve clinical management and outcome of severe infections.

○ **References:**

Pallerla, S. R. et al. (2022) 'Diagnosis of pathogens causing bacterial meningitis using Nanopore sequencing in a resource-limited setting', *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, 21(1), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1186/s12941-022-00530-6.