

# The Radon-Nikodym Theorem

Mongi BLEL

King Saud University

March 27, 2024

# Table of contents

- 1 The Riez Theorem
- 2 Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem and Radon-Nikodym Theorem

# The Riez Theorem

Let  $H$  be real a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .

## Theorem

(Projection Theorem)

If  $K$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ ,  $x$  a vector and  $d = \inf\{\|y - x\|; y \in K\}$ , then there exists a unique vector  $z \in K$  such that  $\|z - x\| = d$ . Moreover  $z - x$  is orthogonal to  $K$ . ( $z$  is called the orthogonal projection of  $x$  on  $K$  and denoted by  $p_K(x)$ )

## Proof

Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence of  $K$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x - x_n\| = d$ . It follows from the parallelogram law ( $\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)$ ) applied to the vectors  $x - x_n$  and  $x - x_m$  that

$$\|x_n - x_m\|^2 = 2(\|x_n - x\|^2 + \|x_m - x\|^2) - \|x_n + x_m - 2x\|^2$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n - x\|^2 = d^2$  and  $\|x_n + x_m - 2x\|^2 = 4\|\frac{x_n + x_m}{2} - x\|^2 \geq 4d^2$  because  $\frac{x_n + x_m}{2} \in K$ . Then  $(x_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $K$  which is complete, then it converges in  $K$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = z \in K$  then by continuity  $\|x - z\| = d$ . If  $z' \in K$  is such that  $\|x - z'\| = d$ , then from the parallelogram law

$$\|z - z'\|^2 = 2(\|z - x\|^2 + \|z' - x\|^2) - 4\|\frac{z + z'}{2} - x\|^2,$$

which proves that  $\|z - z'\| \leq 0$  and  $z = z'$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in K^*$ ,  $p_K(x) - ty \in K$ , therefore

$$\|x - p_K(x)\|^2 \leq \|x - p_K(x) - ty\|^2.$$

This inequality simplifies to

$$t^2\|y\|^2 - 2t\langle y, x - p_K(x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Taking in particular  $t = \frac{\langle x - p_K(x), y \rangle}{\|y\|^2}$ , it obtains that  $0 \leq -\langle x - p_K(x), y \rangle^2 \|y\|^2$ , hence that  $x - p_K(x) \perp K$ .  $\square$

## Corollary

(Orthogonal Decomposition)

Let  $K$  be a closed subspace of  $H$ . Then every vector  $x$  can be written in a unique way as a sum

$$x = T(x) + P(x),$$

where  $T(x) \in K$  and  $P(x) \perp K$ .

## Theorem

(Riesz Representation)

If  $L$  is a continuous linear functional on  $H$ , then there exists a unique vector  $z \in H$  such that

$$L(x) = \langle x, z \rangle, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

## Proof

If  $L(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in H$ , then take  $z = 0$ .

Assume thus  $L$  is not identically 0. Let  $K = \{x \in H; L(x) = 0\}$ .

Then  $K$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ . Since  $L \neq 0$ , then  $K \neq H$ .

Thus, by Corollary (6), there exists a non-zero vector  $y \in H$  such that

$y \in K^\perp$ . It may be assumed that  $\|y\| = 1$ . Put  $u = L(x)y - L(y)x$ .

Then  $u \in K$  because  $L(u) = L(x)L(y) - L(y)L(x) = 0$ . Therefore

$\langle u, y \rangle = 0$ . But  $\langle u, y \rangle = \langle L(x)y - L(y)x, y \rangle = L(x) - L(y)\langle x, y \rangle$  so

that  $L(x) = L(y)\langle x, y \rangle$ . Hence,  $L(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$  with  $z = L(y)y$ . To

see that  $z$  is unique, note that if  $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle x, z' \rangle$  for all  $x \in H$ , then

$u = z - z'$  is such that  $\langle x, u \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in H$ , hence  $u = 0$ .  $\square$

# Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem and Radon-Nikodym Theorem

## Definition

- 1 Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . We say that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , in symbols  $\nu \ll \mu$ , if  $\nu(A) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(A) = 0$ .
- 2 A measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$  is called absolutely continuous if it is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



## Remark

If  $f$  is a non-negative  $\mu$ -integrable function on  $X$ , then  $\nu(E) = \int_E f(x) d\mu(x)$  defines a measure on  $X$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

## Definition

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .

- The measure  $\nu$  is said to be concentrated on  $E$  if  $\nu(A) = \nu(E \cap A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are said to be mutually singular, in symbols  $\mu \perp \nu$ , if there exist disjoint measurable subsets  $E$  and  $F$  such that  $X = E \cup F$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$ ,  $\nu(F) = 0$ .

## Theorem

(Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem and Radon-Nikodym Theorem)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space and  $\mu$   $\sigma$ -finite. If  $\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , there exist unique measures  $\nu_a$  and  $\nu_s$  such that  $\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$ ,  $\nu_a \ll \mu$  and  $\nu_s \perp \mu$ .

Moreover there exists a unique  $g \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  such that

$$\nu_a(A) = \int_A g(x) d\mu(x), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

## Proof

## Uniqueness

Let  $(\nu_a, \nu_s)$  and  $(\nu'_a, \nu'_s)$  be two solutions, then

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \nu_a(A) - \nu'_a(A) = \nu'_s(A) - \nu_s(A).$$

Since  $\nu_s$  and  $\nu'_s$  are singular with respect to  $\mu$ , there exist two  $\mu$  null sets  $E$  and  $E'$  such that  $\nu_s(E^c) = 0$  and  $\nu'_s(E'^c) = 0$  and

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \nu_s(A) - \nu'_s(A) = \nu_s(A \cap (E \cup E')) - \nu'_s(A \cap (E \cup E')) = \nu'_a(A \cap (E \cup E'))$$

since  $\nu_a \ll \mu$  and  $\nu'_a \ll \mu$ .

To obtain the uniqueness of  $g$ , let  $g'$  an other solution, then

$$\int_{\{t; g'(t) > g(t)\}} g'(x) d\mu(x) = \nu_a(\{t; g'(t) > g(t)\}) = \int_{\{t; g'(t) > g(t)\}} g(x) d\mu(x)$$

Then

$$\int (\sigma'(x) - \sigma(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$$

**Existence** In the first case we assume that the measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite. Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu + \nu)$ . Since the measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite, so is  $\mu + \nu$ . Moreover, if  $f \in H$ , by Hölder inequality

$$\left| \int_X f(x) d\nu(x) \right| \leq \int_X |f(x)| d(\mu + \nu)(x) \leq \left( \int_X f^2(x) d\nu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu + \nu)(X)$$

then  $f \in L^2(X, \nu)$  and

$$f \in H \mapsto \int_X f(x) d\nu(x)$$

is a continuous linear functional on  $H$ , because  $\nu \leq \mu + \nu$ . By Theorem (6), there exists  $g \in H$  such that

$$\int_X f(x) d\nu(x) = \int_X f(x)g(x) d(\mu + \nu)(x),$$

for all  $f \in H$ . In particular for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\mu + \nu)(A) \neq 0$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $F = \{x; g(x) > \varepsilon\}$ ,  $(\mu + \nu)(F) \geq \int_F g(x) d(\mu + \nu)(x) \geq (1 + \varepsilon)(\mu + \nu)(F) \Rightarrow (\mu + \nu)(F) = 0$ .

Let  $G = \{x; g(x) < -\varepsilon\}$ ,  $0 \geq \int_G g(x) d(\mu + \nu)(x) \leq -\varepsilon(\mu + \nu)(G) \Rightarrow (\mu + \nu)(G) = 0$ .

We can assume that  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  on  $X$ . Then

$$\int_X (1-g)(x)f(x)d\nu(x) = \int_X f(x)g(x)d\mu(x), \quad \forall f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu+\nu). \quad (1)$$

We set  $A = \{x \in X; 0 \leq g(x) < 1\}$  and  $B = \{x \in X; g(x) = 1\}$ ,  $\nu_a(E) = \nu(E \cap A)$  and  $\nu_s(E) = \nu(E \cap B)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . We apply the equation (1) to  $f = \chi_B$ , we find  $\mu(B) = 0$  which proves that  $\nu_s \perp \mu$ .

We apply the equation (1) to  $f = (1 + g + \dots + g^n)\chi_E$ , we find

$$\int_E (1 - g^{n+1})(x)d\nu(x) = \int_E (g + g^2 + \dots + g^{n+1})(x)d\mu(x).$$

By Monotone Convergence Theorem  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E (1 - g^{n+1})(x)d\nu(x) = \nu(E \cap A) = \nu_a(E)$ . Moreover the sequence  $(g + g^2 + \dots + g^{n+1})$  is increasing and converges to a function  $h$  and

$$\lim \int (g + g^2 + \dots + g^{n+1})(x)d\mu(x) = \int h(x)d\mu(x)$$

We assume now that  $\nu$  is finite and  $\mu$   $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $(E_n)_n$  be a disjoint sequence of measurable sets such that  $\mu(E_n) < +\infty$  and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . The previous case proves that there exists a measurable function  $h_n \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A} \cap E_n, \mu|_{E_n})$  such that  $\nu|_{E_n} = h_n \mu|_{E_n} + \nu_{s,n}$ .

We define  $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \chi_{E_n}$ ,  $\nu_s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{s,n}$  and the conclusion is valid

because  $h \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  since  $\nu(X) < +\infty$ .

We assume now that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite. As in the second case there exist a disjoint sequence of measurable sets  $(E_n)_n$  such that  $\mu(E_n) < +\infty$ ,  $\nu(E_n) < +\infty$  and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . The previous case proves that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a measurable function  $h_n \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A} \cap E_n, \mu|_{E_n})$  such that  $\nu|_{E_n} = h_n \mu|_{E_n} + \nu_{s,n}$ . We take

$$h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \chi_{E_n}, \quad \nu_s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{s,n}.$$

□



The function  $h$  obtained in this theorem is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ , and it is usually denoted by  $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ . The justification for this notation is that it satisfies familiar calculus properties.

## Remark

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a differentiable function whose derivative is bounded and nowhere zero. If  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $\nu(E) = \lambda(f^{-1}E)$  is a measure on  $[0, 1]$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ , and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}$  is  $|f'(x)|$ . This is deduced by the Change of Variable Theorem.

## Remark

If we remove the assumption that the measure are  $\sigma$ -finite the conclusion of the Theorem (11) can be false. Let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure and  $\nu$  the counting measure on  $X$ .  $\lambda \ll \nu$  but there exit no function  $h$  such that  $\lambda = h\nu$ .