

PHYSICS 501 – Fall 2019

2<sup>nd</sup> HOMEWORK

Prof. V. Lembesis

Hand in by Monday 7<sup>th</sup> October 2019 at 23:59

1. Calculate the quantity  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r} r^{n-1}$  (Hint: See question 2.10).

(5 marks)

**Solution:**

Remember that in question 2.10 we proved that

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r} f(r) = 3f(r) + r \frac{df}{dr}$$

In this problem we have that  $f(r) = r^{n-1}$  so,

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r} f(r) &= 3f(r) + r \frac{df}{dr} = 3r^{n-1} + r \frac{dr^{n-1}}{dr} = 3r^{n-1} + r(n-1)r^{n-2} \\ &= 3r^{n-1} + (n-1)r^{n-1} = (n+2)r^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that if a vector  $\mathbf{A}$  is irrotational, then  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}$  is solenoidal.

(5 marks)

**Solution:**

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{r})$$

But if the vector  $\mathbf{A}$  is irrotational then  $\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A} = 0$ . Thus

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}) = -\mathbf{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{r}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{r} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \partial / \partial x & \partial / \partial y & \partial / \partial z \\ x & y & z \end{vmatrix} = \\ & \mathbf{i}(\partial z / \partial y - \partial y / \partial z) - \mathbf{j}(\partial z / \partial x - \partial x / \partial z) + \mathbf{k}(\partial y / \partial x - \partial x / \partial y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}) = 0$  so the vector  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}$  is solenoidal.

Vasileios Lembesis 7/10/2019 19:10

**Comment [1]:** You must show this in your answer.

3. Classically the angular momentum is defined by  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ , where  $\mathbf{p}$  is the momentum. To go from classical mechanics to quantum mechanics we replace  $\mathbf{p}$  with the operator  $-i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$ . Find the Cartesian components of the angular momentum operator.

(5 marks)

**Solution**

$$\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla} \Rightarrow \mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\left(\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} = -i\hbar \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ x & y & z \\ \partial/\partial x & \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial z \end{vmatrix} = -i\hbar \left[ \mathbf{i}\left(y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) - \mathbf{j}\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) + \mathbf{k}\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \right]$$

Thus

$$L_x = -i\hbar\left(y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \quad L_y = i\hbar\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right), \quad L_z = -i\hbar\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right).$$

4. Show the relation  $\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L} = i\hbar\mathbf{L}$  for the quantum mechanical angular momentum operator  $\mathbf{L}$ . (Hint: Show first that  $(\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L})_x = i\hbar L_x$ , i.e. work for the x-component, the other components go similarly).

(5 marks)

$$\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ L_x & L_y & L_z \\ L_x & L_y & L_z \end{vmatrix} = \left[ \mathbf{i}(L_y L_z - L_z L_y) - \mathbf{j}(L_x L_z - L_z L_x) + \mathbf{k}(L_x L_y - L_y L_x) \right]$$

Thus for the x-component  $(\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L})_x$  of the cross product we have:

$$(\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L})_x = (L_y L_z - L_z L_y) = -\hbar^2 \left\{ \left( z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left( x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - \left( x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left( z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\hbar^2 \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) - z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) + y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\} \\
&= -\hbar^2 \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + zx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} - zy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} + xy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} - xz \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial y} + yz \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - yx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} \right\} \\
&= -\hbar^2 \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\} = i^2 \hbar^2 \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\} = i\hbar \left\{ i\hbar \left[ z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right] \right\} = i\hbar L_x
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the other two components

**Please send your answers in pdf form (typed or in clearly handwritten form) in my email address (vlempeis@ksu.edu.sa). Please use ONE file for your entire homework NOT one file per page. Please do not forget to put your name and your ID number on it)**  
**Your deadline is on Monday 7<sup>th</sup> October 2019 at 23:59.**

Vasileios Lembessis 8/10/2019 10:29

**Comment [2]:** Some of you used the relations  $[L_y, L_z] = i\hbar L_x$ . But you must prove this is true. You cannot use it directly.

Some of you considered that  $(L \times L)_x = L_x \times (r_x \times p_x)$  which is completely wrong

Prof. Vasileios Lembessis