



On some geometric properties of quasi-product production models

Haila Alodan ^a, Bang-Yen Chen ^b, Sharief Deshmukh ^a, Gabriel-Eduard Țîlcu ^{c,d,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, Riyadh 11495, Saudi Arabia

^b Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA

^c University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Research Center in Geometry, Topology and Algebra, Str. Academiei 14, Sector 1, București 70109, Romania

^d Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiești, Department of Mathematical Modelling, Economic Analysis and Statistics, Bd. București 39, Ploiești 100680, Romania



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 August 2018
Available online 31 January 2019
Submitted by H.R. Parks

Keywords:

Quasi-product production function
Constant return to scale
Marginal rate of substitution
Constant elasticity of substitution
Production hypersurface
Gauss–Kronecker curvature

ABSTRACT

In this article we obtain classification results on the quasi-product production functions in terms of the geometry of their associated graph hypersurfaces, generalizing in a new setting some recent results concerning basic production models. In particular, we obtain several results on the geometry of Spillman–Mitscherlich and transcendental production functions.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The concept of *production function* is a basic one in economics, being used in the modeling of the relationship between the output and the inputs of a production process. From a mathematical point view, a production function is a twice differentiable mapping f from a domain D of $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}$ into $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$, where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers. Hence we have $f : D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where f is the quantity of output, n is the number of the inputs and x_1, \dots, x_n are the factor inputs, such as: labor, capital, land, raw materials etc. We note that some historical information about the evolution of the concept of production function and a lot of interesting examples can be found in [25]. We only recall that, among the classes of production models, the most famous is the Cobb–Douglas (CD) production function introduced in [14] in order to describe the distribution of the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: halodan1@ksu.edu.sa (H. Alodan), chenb@msu.edu (B.-Y. Chen), shariefd@ksu.edu.sa (S. Deshmukh), gvilcu@gtu.math.unibuc.ro, gvilcu@upg-ploiesti.ro (G.E. Țîlcu).

national income of the USA. In its generalized form with n inputs, the CD production function is expressed by [33]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k_i}, \quad (1)$$

where $A > 0$, $k_1, \dots, k_n \neq 0$. It is obvious that the function f given by (1), which is usually called the generalized CD production function, is homogeneous of degree $p = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. We recall that the homogeneity has a precise economic interpretation: the multiplication of the inputs by same value $\lambda > 0$ leads to a multiplication of the production by λ^p , where p denotes the degree of homogeneity. It is known that the production function exhibits *constant return to scale*, shortly CRS, if the degree of homogeneity is $p = 1$. Similarly, an *increased return to scale* (*decreased return to scale*) occurs when the degree of homogeneity is $p > 1$ ($p < 1$).

CD production functions were generalized by H. Uzawa [27] and D. McFadden [21] under the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i^\rho \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\rho}}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n, \quad (2)$$

with $A, k_1, \dots, k_n, \rho \neq 0$, where γ is the degree of homogeneity. We note that the function f defined by (2) is called the generalized CES production function.

It is well known that the classical treatment of the production functions makes use of the projections of production functions on a plane, but, unfortunately, this approach leads to limited conclusions and a differential geometric treatment is more than useful. We note that this approach is feasible since any production function f can be identified with the graph of f , *i.e.* the nonparametric hypersurface of the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} defined by

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \quad (3)$$

and called the *production hypersurface* of f [30]. Using this treatment, a surprising link between some basic concepts in the theory of production functions and the differential geometry of hypersurfaces was obtained in [29]: a generalized CD production function has decreasing/increasing return to scale if and only if the corresponding hypersurface has positive/negative Gauss–Kronecker curvature. Moreover, this production function has constant return to scale if and only if the corresponding hypersurface has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature. Moreover, in [13], the authors proved that a homogeneous production function with an arbitrary number of inputs defines a flat hypersurface if and only if either it has constant return to scale or it is a multinomial production function. This result was generalized by X. Wang to the case of homogeneous hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature [32]. On the other hand, other classes of production functions, like quasi-sum production functions and homothetic production functions, were investigated via geometric properties of their associated graph hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces (see, e.g., [5,9,12] and references therein). We outline that such kind of results are of great interest not only in economic analysis [1,22], but also in the classical differential geometry, where the study of hypersurfaces with certain curvature properties is one of the basic problems [6].

Motivated by the above works, in the present paper we derive the main properties of quasi-product production models in economics in terms of the geometry of their graph hypersurfaces, generalizing in a new setting some recent results concerning quasi-sum and homothetic production models [9,12,31].

2. Preliminaries on the geometry of hypersurfaces

In this section we recall some basic concepts and results concerning the geometry of hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces, based mainly on [6,7].

Let M be a hypersurface of the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . Then it is known that the *shape operator* S of M , which can be defined using the Gauss map of the hypersurface, is a symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space T_pM , for each $p \in M$, playing a key role in the differential geometry of hypersurfaces (see, e.g., the recent papers [15,23,26]). The eigenvalues ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n of the shape operator are called *principal curvatures*. The determinant of the shape operator S , denoted by K , is called the *Gauss–Kronecker curvature*. When $n = 2$, the Gauss–Kronecker curvature is simply called the *Gauss curvature*. We recall that a *developable surface* is a surface having null Gauss curvature. On another hand, the *mean curvature* of M , denoted by H , is defined to be the average of the principle curvatures, i.e.,

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i.$$

A *minimal* hypersurface is a hypersurface with vanishing mean curvature.

We recall that the Riemann curvature tensor R of M assigns to three vector fields (u, v, w) on M the vector field

$$R(u, v)w = \nabla_u \nabla_v w - \nabla_v \nabla_u w - \nabla_{[u,v]} w,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with the induced metric g on the hypersurface from the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We say that M is *flat* if its curvature tensor R is zero at every point.

Next, we denote the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \dots$, etc. by $f_{x_i}, f_{x_i x_j}, \dots$, etc. We also put

$$w = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n f_{x_i}^2}.$$

Next we recall the following well-known result for later use.

Lemma 2.1. [9] *For the production hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} defined by (3), one has the following.*

i. *The Gauss–Kronecker curvature K is given by*

$$K = \frac{\det(f_{x_i x_j})}{w^{n+2}}. \tag{4}$$

ii. *The mean curvature H is given by*

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{f_{x_i}}{w} \right). \tag{5}$$

iii. *The sectional curvature K_{ij} of the plane section spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ is*

$$K_{ij} = \frac{f_{x_i x_i} f_{x_j x_j} - f_{x_i x_j}^2}{w^2 (1 + f_{x_i}^2 + f_{x_j}^2)}. \tag{6}$$

iv. The Riemann curvature tensor R and the metric tensor g satisfy

$$g \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \right) = \frac{f_{x_i x_\ell} f_{x_j x_k} - f_{x_i x_k} f_{x_j x_\ell}}{w^4}. \tag{7}$$

3. Quasi-product production models

Two classes of production functions have been investigated more thoroughly in economics, namely homogeneous and homothetic production functions. Various geometric properties of these production models were obtained in the last period of time by many geometers, but there are some non-homogeneous production functions, including the famous Spillman–Mitscherlich and transcendental production functions, which were not enough investigated from a differential geometric point of view.

We recall that the Spillman–Mitscherlich production function is defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot [1 - \exp(-a_1 x_1)] \cdot \dots \cdot [1 - \exp(-a_n x_n)], \tag{8}$$

where A, a_1, \dots, a_n are positive constants. On the other hand, the transcendental production function is given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot x_1^{a_1} \exp(b_1 x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{a_n} \exp(b_n x_n), \tag{9}$$

where A is a positive constant and $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$ are real constants (usually taken from the closed interval $[0, 1]$), such that

$$a_i^2 + b_i^2 \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We remark that the Spillman–Mitscherlich and transcendental production functions belong to a more general class of production functions, namely of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i), \tag{10}$$

where g_1, \dots, g_n are continuous positive real functions with nowhere zero first derivatives. We say that a production function of the form (10) is a *product* production function. In particular, we note that the generalized CD production function is also a particular type of product production function. Notice that the graph hypersurfaces associated with the product functions having the form (10) are of interest not only in economic analysis, but also in classical differential geometry, where they were investigated under the name of factorable hypersurfaces or homothetical hypersurfaces [18,19,24,28].

Next, we remark that the concept of product production function can be also generalized as follows. We say that a production model is *quasi-product*, if it is given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i) \right), \tag{11}$$

where F, g_1, \dots, g_n are continuous positive functions with nowhere zero first derivatives. It is clear that the class of quasi-product production models reduces to the class of product production models, provided that F is the identity function. Moreover, it is to see that the generalized CES production function is also a particular type of quasi-product production model. We notice that quasi-product productions models which possessed flat production hypersurfaces were recently classified by Y. Fu and W.G. Wang in three classes (see [16, Theorem 3.3]).

We recall that, if f is a production function with n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , $n \geq 2$, then the *output elasticity*, also called elasticity of production (or output), with respect to an input x_i , is given by

$$E_{x_i} = \frac{x_i}{f} f_{x_i}, \tag{12}$$

while the *marginal rate of technical substitution* between two inputs x_j and x_i is defined as

$$\text{MRS}_{ij} = \frac{f_{x_j}}{f_{x_i}}. \tag{13}$$

A production function is said to satisfy the *proportional marginal rate of substitution* (PMRS) property if and only if

$$\text{MRS}_{ij} = \frac{x_i}{x_j}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \tag{14}$$

On another hand, it is well known that the most common quantitative indices of production factor substitutability are forms of the elasticity of substitution [21]. We recall that there are two concepts of elasticity of substitution: Hicks elasticity of substitution, denoted by H_{ij} and Allen elasticity of substitution, denoted by A_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$. The function $H_{ij} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$H_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\frac{1}{x_i f_{x_i}} + \frac{1}{x_j f_{x_j}}}{-\frac{f_{x_i x_i}}{f_{x_i}^2} + \frac{2f_{x_i x_j}}{f_{x_i} f_{x_j}} - \frac{f_{x_j x_j}}{f_{x_j}^2}}, \tag{15}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, is known as *Hicks elasticity of substitution* between the inputs x_i and x_j , while the function $A_{ij} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$A_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -\frac{x_1 f_{x_1} + \dots + x_n f_{x_n}}{x_i x_j} \frac{\Delta_{ij}}{\Delta},$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, is called the *Allen elasticity of substitution* between the inputs x_i and x_j , where Δ is the determinant of the bordered matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{x_1} & \dots & f_{x_n} \\ f_{x_1} & f_{x_1 x_1} & \dots & f_{x_1 x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{x_n} & f_{x_n x_1} & \dots & f_{x_n x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

and Δ_{ij} is the co-factor of the element f_{ij} in the determinant Δ . Notice that the determinant Δ , usually called *Allen determinant*, is assumed to be $\neq 0$.

It is worth mentioning that $H_{ij} = A_{ij}$, provided that $n = 2$. Because of this fact, in case of a production function with only two inputs, both H_{ij} and A_{ij} are called *elasticity of substitution* between the two inputs. On the other hand, for $n \geq 3$, it is clear that $H_{ij} \neq A_{ij}$. We note that the elasticity of substitution was originally introduced by J.R. Hicks [17] in case of two inputs for the purpose of analyzing changes in the income shares of labor and capital.

A twice differentiable production function f with nowhere zero first partial derivatives is said to satisfy the *constant elasticity of substitution* (CES) property if there is a nonzero real constant σ such that

$$H_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma, \quad (16)$$

for $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

We remark that B.-Y. Chen [8] has completely classified homogeneous production functions which satisfy the CES property, generalizing to an arbitrary number of inputs an earlier result of L. Losonczy [20] for two inputs. Moreover, the classification has been later extended to the classes of quasi-sum and homothetic production functions in [10,11]. We remark that quasi-sum production functions are of special interest, they arise in a natural way in the problem of consistent aggregation [2]. On the other hand, A. Mihai, M.E. Aydin and M. Ergüt classified quasi-sum and quasi-product production functions by their Allen determinants [3,5].

4. Some classification results

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose f is a quasi-product production model having the form (11), such that F, g_1, \dots, g_n are twice differentiable functions on their domains of definition. Then the following assertions hold.*

- i. *The output elasticity with respect to an input x_i is a constant k_i iff the production model reduces to*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot x_i^{k_i} \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} g_j^k(x_j), \quad (17)$$

where A and k are real constants with $A > 0$ and $k \neq 0$.

- ii. *The output elasticity is constant with respect to all inputs iff f reduces to the generalized CD production function defined by (1).*
 iii. *The production model satisfies the PMRS property iff it reduces to the following homothetic generalized CD production function:*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^k \right), \quad (18)$$

where A and k are real constants with $A > 0$ and $k \neq 0$.

- iv. *If the production model satisfies the PMRS property, then:*

- iv₁. *The production hypersurface associated with the quasi-product production model f is non-minimal.*
 iv₂. *The production hypersurface associated with the quasi-product production model f has null sectional curvature iff, up to a suitable translation, f reduces to a generalized CD production function given by*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \sqrt{x_1 \dots x_n}, \quad (19)$$

where $A > 0$.

- v. *The production hypersurface associated with the quasi-product production model f has null Gauss–Kronecker curvature iff, up to a suitable translation, the function f reduces to the one of the following:*

- (a) *a generalized CD production function with CRS;*

(b) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \ln \left[\exp(A_1 x_1) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^n g_j(x_j) \right]$, where A, A_1 are nonzero real constants;

(c) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(A \cdot \exp(A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2) \cdot \prod_{j=3}^n g_j(x_j) \right)$, where A is a positive constant and A_1, A_2 are nonzero real constants;

(d) a generalized CES production function with CRS, given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_i x_i^{\frac{A}{A-1}} \right)^{\frac{A-1}{A}},$$

where A is a nonzero real constant, $A \neq 1$, and C_1, \dots, C_n are nonzero real constants;

(e) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i \exp(A_i x_i) \right)$, where A, A_i, B_i are nonzero real constants for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

vi. The production model satisfies the CES property iff, up to a suitable translation, the function f reduces to the one of the following:

(a) a homothetic generalized CD production function given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k_i} \right),$$

where A is a positive constant and k_1, \dots, k_n are nonzero real constants.

(b) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left(A_i x_i^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right) \right)$, where A is a positive constant and A_1, \dots, A_n, σ are nonzero real constants, $\sigma \neq 1$;

(c) a two-input production function given by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = F \left(A \cdot \left(\frac{x_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + A_1}{x_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + A_2} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{k}} \right),$$

where A, A_1, A_2, k, σ are nonzero real constants, $\sigma \neq 1$;

(d) a two-input production function given by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = F \left(A \cdot \left(\frac{\ln(A_1 x_1)}{\ln(A_2 x_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right),$$

where A, k are nonzero real constants and A_1, A_2 are positive constants.

Proof. In what follows we will use the notation $u = g_1(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot g_n(x_n)$. Then we have

$$f_{x_i} = u F' \frac{g'_i}{g_i}, \tag{20}$$

where F' denotes the derivative with respect to the variable u and $g'_i = \frac{dg_i}{dx_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

From (20) we derive

$$f_{x_i x_i} = u^2 F'' \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i} \right)^2 + u F' \frac{g''_i}{g_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \tag{21}$$

and

$$f_{x_i x_j} = u (u F'' + F') \frac{g'_i g'_j}{g_i g_j}, \quad i \neq j. \tag{22}$$

i. We first suppose that the output elasticity is a constant k_i with respect to an input x_i . Then we derive from (12) that

$$f_{x_i} = k_i \frac{f}{x_i}. \quad (23)$$

By replacing (11) and (20) in (23) we obtain

$$u \frac{F'}{F} = \frac{k_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{g_i}{g'_i}. \quad (24)$$

The partial derivative of the expression (24) with respect to x_j , $j \neq i$, leads to

$$u \frac{g'_j}{g_j} \frac{(F' + uF'')F - uF'^2}{F^2} = 0.$$

Hence, because $u > 0$ and $g'_j \neq 0$, we deduce that

$$\left(\frac{uF'}{F} \right)' = 0. \quad (25)$$

We obtain now easily that the solution of (25) is

$$F(u) = C \cdot u^k, \quad (26)$$

for some constants $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. From (26) and (24) we derive

$$\frac{g'_i}{g_i} = \frac{k_i}{kx_i}$$

with solution

$$g_i(x_i) = B \cdot x_i^{\frac{k_i}{k}}, \quad (27)$$

where B is a positive constant.

Finally, combining (11), (26) and (27) we get a function of the form (17), where $A = C \cdot B^k$.

The right-to-left implication follows immediately by straightforward computation.

ii. The proof is clear from i.

iii. Let us assume that f satisfies the PMRS property. Then taking account of (13), (14) and (20) we obtain

$$x_j \frac{g'_j}{g_j} = x_i \frac{g'_i}{g_i}, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Therefore we derive that there exists a real constant $k \neq 0$ such that

$$x_i \frac{g'_i}{g_i} = k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and we get

$$g_i(x_i) = A_i x_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{28}$$

for some positive constants A_1, \dots, A_n .

From (11) and (28) we derive that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F \left(A \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^k \right),$$

where

$$A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$$

and the conclusion follows.

The right-to-left implication can be easily checked by direct computation.

iv. We assume that the production function given by (11) satisfies the PMRS property. Then we deduce from (18) that, denoting $G = F \circ g$, where $g(t) = A \cdot t^k$, the function f takes the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right). \tag{29}$$

Therefore we have

$$f_{x_i} = \frac{uG'}{x_i}, \tag{30}$$

$$f_{x_i x_i} = \frac{u^2 G''}{x_i^2} \tag{31}$$

and

$$f_{x_i x_j} = \frac{u(G' + uG'')}{x_i x_j}, \tag{32}$$

where

$$u = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

If the corresponding production hypersurface of f is minimal, then $H = 0$ and from (5) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_{x_i x_i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (f_{x_i}^2 f_{x_j x_j} - f_{x_i} f_{x_j} f_{x_i x_j}) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Making use of (30), (31) and (32) in (33) we get

$$u^2 G'' \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i^2} - u^3 G'^3 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{x_i^2 x_j^2} = 0. \tag{34}$$

We can see now that the unique solution of the equation (34) is $G(u) = \text{constant}$, which is clearly a contradiction. Hence the assertion (iv₁) follows.

Let us assume now that the production hypersurface of f has $K_{ij} = 0$. Then we derive from (6) that

$$f_{x_i x_i} f_{x_j x_j} - f_{x_i x_j}^2 = 0. \quad (35)$$

Making use of (30), (31) and (32) in (35), and taking into account that $G' \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{G''}{G'} = -\frac{1}{2u}.$$

Therefore we get immediately

$$G(u) = A\sqrt{u} + B \quad (36)$$

for some constants A, B , with $A \neq 0$.

Combining now (29) and (36), we obtain that, modulo a translation, f reduces to a generalized CD production function having the form (19). Since the converse can be easily verified by direct computation, the assertion (iv₂) follows.

v. We first suppose that the graph hypersurface associated with the quasi-product production model f has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature. Then we derive from (4) that

$$\det(f_{x_i x_j}) = 0. \quad (37)$$

But using (21) and (22), we obtain that the Hessian matrix of a composite function of the form (11) has the determinant expressed by [4]

$$\det(f_{x_i x_j}) = (uF')^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)' + \left(1 + u \frac{F''}{F'} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)^2 \cdot \prod_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i} \right)' \right) \right]. \quad (38)$$

We divide now the proof of the theorem into two main cases: (A) and (B).

Case (A): $\frac{g'_1}{g_1}, \dots, \frac{g'_n}{g_n}$ are nonconstant. Then, from (37) and (38) we derive

$$1 + \left(1 + u \frac{F''}{F'} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)^2}{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)'} = 0. \quad (39)$$

We remark that for the above equation to have solution, it is necessary to have

$$1 + u \frac{F''}{F'} \neq 0$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)^2}{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)'} \neq 0.$$

In this case, (39) reduces to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)^2}{\left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right)'} = -\frac{F'}{F' + uF''}. \quad (40)$$

By taking the partial derivative of (40) with respect to x_i and dividing both sides of the derived expression by $\frac{g'_i}{g_i}$, we obtain

$$2 - \frac{\frac{g'_i}{g_i} \cdot \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)''}{\left[\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)'\right]^2} = u \cdot \frac{F'F'' + u[F'F''' - (F'')^2]}{(F' + uF'')^2}. \tag{41}$$

Therefore, after taking the partial derivative of (41) with respect to x_j , with $j \neq i$, and simplifying the derived expression by $\left(u \cdot \frac{g'_j}{g_j}\right)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F'F'' + u[F'F''' - (F'')^2]}{(F' + uF'')^2} + u \cdot \frac{2F'F''' + u(F'F^{iv} - F''F''')}{(F' + uF'')^2} \\ - 2u \cdot \frac{[F'F'' + u(F'F''' - (F'')^2)](2F'' + uF''')}{(F' + uF'')^3} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We remark now that making the substitution

$$G = \frac{F'F'' + u[F'F''' - (F'')^2]}{(F' + uF'')^2},$$

the above equation reduces to

$$G + uG' = 0,$$

with solution

$$G(u) = \frac{A}{u},$$

where A is a real constant. Hence we derive that

$$\frac{F'F'' + u[F'F''' - (F'')^2]}{(F' + uF'')^2} = \frac{A}{u},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(-\frac{F'}{F' + uF''}\right)' = \frac{A}{u}. \tag{42}$$

From (42) we find

$$-\frac{F'}{F' + uF''} = A \ln u + B, \tag{43}$$

for some real constants A, B .

We divide now the proof of case (A) into several cases.

Case (A.1) $A = 0$; In this case it follows that $B \neq 0$ and (43) implies that

$$1 + u\frac{F''}{F'} = -\frac{1}{B}. \tag{44}$$

On the other hand, from (40) we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)^r} = B \quad (45)$$

for any nonzero real constant B .

Solving (45) we find

$$g_i(x_i) = A_i(x_i + B_i)^{-k_i}, \quad (46)$$

for some constants A_i, B_i, k_i , with $A_i \neq 0$ and $k_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = B$.

On the other hand, (44) reduces to

$$\frac{F''}{F'} = -\frac{B+1}{Bu}. \quad (47)$$

Case (A.1.1): $B = -1$. Then from (47) we derive that

$$F(u) = Cu + D,$$

for some real constants C, D , with $C \neq 0$, and combining with (11) and (46), we conclude that, after a suitable translation, the function f reduces to a generalized Cobb–Douglas production function with constant return to scale. Hence we obtain the case (a) of the theorem.

Case (A.1.2): $B \neq -1$. Then we obtain easily that the solution of (47) is

$$F(u) = C \cdot u^{-\frac{1}{B}} + D, \quad (48)$$

for some real constants C, D , with $C \neq 0$.

Combining now (11), (46) and (48), after a suitable translation, we conclude that f reduces to the following function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\frac{k_i}{B}},$$

where A is a positive constant. But it is obvious that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i}{B} = 1$$

and therefore we deduce that the above function is a generalized Cobb–Douglas production function with constant return to scale. Hence we obtain again the case (a) of the theorem.

Case (A.2) $A \neq 0$; In this case it follows that it is necessary to have

$$A \ln u + B \neq 0$$

and we derive from (43) that

$$\frac{F''}{F'} = -\frac{1}{u(A \ln u + B)} - \frac{1}{u}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$F'(u) = \frac{C}{u(A \ln u + B)^{\frac{1}{A}}}, \tag{49}$$

where C is a nonzero real constant. Now, from (49), we get that

$$F(u) = D(\ln u + E)^{-\frac{1}{A}+1} + F, \tag{50}$$

where D is a nonzero real constant and E, F are real constant, provided that $A \neq 1$. On the other hand, if $A = 1$, then we obtain from (49) that

$$F(u) = C \ln(\ln u + B) + D, \tag{51}$$

where D is a real constant.

But we can easily see now that (41) implies

$$2 - \frac{\frac{g'_i}{g_i} \cdot \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)''}{\left[\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)'\right]^2} = A, \tag{52}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Case (A.2.1) $A = 2$; In this case we obtain from (50)

$$F(u) = D\sqrt{\ln u + E} + F, \tag{53}$$

and from (52) it follows that

$$\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)'' = 0.$$

Hence we derive

$$g_i(x_i) = \exp(a_i x_i^2 + b_i x_i + c_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{54}$$

where a_i, b_i, c_i are real constants. Because $g'_i \neq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , it follows that the constants a_i and b_i must satisfy the following conditions: $a_i b_i \geq 0$ and $a_i^2 + b_i^2 \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Combining now (11), (53) and (54) we deduce that f takes the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = D \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i (x_i + B_i)^2 + E} + F, \tag{55}$$

for some constants A_i, B_i, E, F with $A_i \neq 0$. Now, making use of Lemma 2.1(i), it is direct to verify that the production hypersurface associated with the production function given by (55) has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature if and only if $E = 0$. Hence, after a suitable translation we obtain the case (d) of the theorem with $A = 2$.

Case (A.2.2) $A \neq 2$; We deduce from (52) that

$$\frac{\frac{g'_i}{g_i} \cdot \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)''}{\left[\left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)'\right]^2} = 2 - A. \tag{56}$$

Denoting $h_i = \frac{g'_i}{g_i}$, we obtain from (56)

$$\left(\frac{h_i}{h'_i}\right)' = A - 1 \quad (57)$$

Case (A.2.2.i.) $A = 1$; Then from (57) we conclude that

$$\frac{h_i}{h'_i} = \bar{A}_i,$$

where \bar{A}_i is a nonzero real constant ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and we deduce

$$\frac{g'_i}{g_i} = D_i \exp(A_i x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where D_i is a real constant and $A_i = (\bar{A}_i)^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now we can derive immediately that

$$g_i(x_i) = C_i \exp(B_i \exp(A_i x_i)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (58)$$

where B_i is a nonzero constant and C_i is a positive constant.

Combining now (11), (51) and (58) we deduce that f takes the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i \exp(A_i x_i) + B \right) + D \quad (59)$$

for some nonzero constants C, A_i, B_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, and real constants B, D . Now, making use of Lemma 2.1(i), it follows by direct computation that the production hypersurface associated with the production function given by (59) has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature if and only if $B = 0$. Hence, after a suitable translation, we obtain the case (e) of the theorem.

Case (A.2.2.ii.) $A \neq 1$; Then from (57) we derive that

$$\frac{h'_i}{h_i} = \frac{1}{(A-1)x_i + A_i},$$

where A_i is a real constant ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and we obtain

$$\frac{g'_i}{g_i} = B_i [(A-1)x_i + A_i]^{\frac{1}{A-1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (60)$$

where B_i is a nonzero real constant, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

From (60) we obtain

$$g_i(x_i) = C_i \exp \left(\frac{B_i}{A} [(A-1)x_i + A_i]^{\frac{A}{A-1}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (61)$$

where C_i is a positive constant, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Combining (11), (50) and (61) we deduce that f takes the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = D \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{A} [(A-1)x_i + A_i]^{\frac{A}{A-1}} + B \right)^{\frac{A-1}{A}} + F \quad (62)$$

for some constants D, A_i, B_i, B, F , with $D > 0$ and $B_i \neq 0$. Now, using Lemma 2.1(i), we can easily verify that the production hypersurface associated with the production function given by (62) has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature if and only if $B = 0$. Hence, after a suitable translation we obtain the case (d) of the theorem.

Case (B): at least one of $\frac{g'_1}{g_1}, \dots, \frac{g'_n}{g_n}$ is constant. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\frac{g'_1}{g_1} = A_1$, where A_1 is a nonzero real constant. Then we derive that

$$g_1(x_1) = B_1 \exp(A_1 x_1), \tag{63}$$

where B_1 is a positive constant. Then (37) and (38) imply

$$\left(1 + u \frac{F''}{F'}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)' = 0. \tag{64}$$

From (64) we derive that either

$$1 + u \frac{F''}{F'} = 0$$

or

$$\prod_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)' = 0.$$

But in the first case we get immediately that

$$F(u) = A \ln u + B, \tag{65}$$

where A, B are real constants, $A \neq 0$. Hence, from (11), (63) and (65) we deduce that, after a suitable translation, we obtain the case (b) of the theorem.

On the other hand, in the second case we may assume without loss of generality that $\left(\frac{g'_2}{g_2}\right)' = 0$. Hence we get

$$g_2(x_2) = B_2 \exp(A_2 x_2), \tag{66}$$

where A_2 is a nonzero real constant and B_2 is a positive constant.

Combining now (11), (63) and (66), we obtain the case (c) of the theorem.

Conversely, we can verify by direct computation that all of the production hypersurfaces defined by the production functions in cases (a)–(e) of the theorem have vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature.

vi. We first assume that the production function satisfies the constant elasticity of substitution property. Then using (15), (20), (21) and (22) in (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma x_i x_j u^3 (F')^3 \left[2 \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j}\right)^2 - \frac{g''_i}{g_i} \left(\frac{g'_j}{g_j}\right)^2 - \frac{g''_j}{g_j} \left(\frac{g'_i}{g_i}\right)^2 \right] = \\ & = u^3 (F')^3 \frac{g'_i g'_j}{g_i g_j} \left(x_i \frac{g'_i}{g_i} + x_j \frac{g'_j}{g_j} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and taking into account that $x_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and F, g_1, \dots, g_n are positive functions with nowhere zero first derivatives, we get from (67):

$$\sigma \left[2 - \frac{g_i g_i''}{(g_i')^2} - \frac{g_j g_j''}{(g_j')^2} \right] = \frac{1}{x_i} \frac{g_i}{g_i'} + \frac{1}{x_j} \frac{g_j}{g_j'}. \quad (68)$$

Now, it is easy to see that (68) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{x_i} \frac{g_i}{g_i'} - \sigma \left(\frac{g_i}{g_i'} \right)' + \frac{1}{x_j} \frac{g_j}{g_j'} - \sigma \left(\frac{g_j}{g_j'} \right)' = 0, \quad (69)$$

for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Next, we can divide the proof into two separate cases.

Case A. $n \geq 3$. Then it is obvious that (69) implies

$$\frac{1}{x_i} \frac{g_i}{g_i'} - \sigma \left(\frac{g_i}{g_i'} \right)' = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (70)$$

and we derive easily that the solution of (70) is

$$g_i(x_i) = \begin{cases} B_i \exp \left(C_i x_i^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right), & \sigma \neq 1 \\ B_i x_i^{C_i}, & \sigma = 1 \end{cases}, \quad (71)$$

for some positive constants B_i and nonzero real constants C_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Combining now (11) and (71) we get cases (a) and (b) of the theorem.

Case B. $n = 2$. Then it follows from (69) that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{g_1}{g_1'} - \sigma \left(\frac{g_1}{g_1'} \right)' = k \\ \frac{1}{x_2} \frac{g_2}{g_2'} - \sigma \left(\frac{g_2}{g_2'} \right)' = -k \end{cases}, \quad (72)$$

for some constant k . We remark now that, if $k = 0$, then we obtain immediately the cases (a) and (b) of the theorem with $n = 2$. Next we consider that $k \neq 0$. Then solving (72), we derive

$$g_1(x_1) = \begin{cases} B_1 \left(\frac{k}{\sigma-1} x_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + C_1 \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{k}}, & \sigma \neq 1 \\ B_1 (k \ln x_1 + C_1)^{\frac{1}{k}}, & \sigma = 1 \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

and

$$g_2(x_2) = \begin{cases} B_2 \left(\frac{k}{\sigma-1} x_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + C_2 \right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{k}}, & \sigma \neq 1 \\ B_2 (k \ln x_2 + C_2)^{-\frac{1}{k}}, & \sigma = 1 \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

for some constants B_1, B_2, C_1, C_2 . Combining now (11), (73) and (74) we get cases (c) and (d) of the theorem.

The converse follows easily by direct computation. \square

From [16, Theorem 3.3] and Theorem 4.1 we obtain easily the following result for product production models.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose f is a product production model having the form (10), such that g_1, \dots, g_n are twice differentiable functions on their domains of definition. Then:*

i. The output elasticity with respect to an input x_i is a constant k_i iff the production model reduces to

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot x_i^{k_i} \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} g_j(x_j),$$

where A and k are real constants with $A > 0$ and $k \neq 0$.

- ii. The output elasticity is constant with respect to all inputs iff f reduces to a generalized CD production function defined by (1).
- iii. The production model satisfies the PMRS property iff it reduces to a generalized CD production function defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^k,$$

where A and k are real constants with $A > 0$ and $k \neq 0$.

- iv. If the product production model satisfies the PMRS property, then:
 - iv₁. The production hypersurface associated with the product production model is non-minimal.
 - iv₂. The production hypersurface associated with the product production model f has null sectional curvature if and only if, up to a suitable translation, f reduces to a generalized CD production function defined by (19).
- v. The production hypersurface associated with the product production model f has null Gauss–Kronecker curvature if and only if, up to a suitable translation, f reduces to the one of the following:
 - (a) a generalized CD production function with CRS;
 - (b) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \exp(A_1x_1 + A_2x_2) \cdot \prod_{j=3}^n g_j(x_j)$, where A is a positive constant and A_1, A_2 are nonzero real constants.
- vi. The production model satisfies the CES property iff, up to a suitable translation, f reduces to the one of the following:
 - (a) a generalized CD production function given by (1);
 - (b) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(A_i x_i^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}\right)$, $\sigma \neq 1$, where A is a positive constant and A_1, \dots, A_n, σ are nonzero real constants, $\sigma \neq 1$;
 - (c) a two-input production function given by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = A \cdot \left(\frac{x_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + A_1}{x_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + A_2} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{k}},$$

where A, k, σ, A_1, A_2 are nonzero real constants, $\sigma \neq 1$;

- (d) a two-input production function given by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = A \cdot \left(\frac{\ln(A_1x_1)}{\ln(A_2x_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where A, k are nonzero real constants and A_1, A_2 are positive constants.

- viii. The production hypersurface associated with the product production model f is flat iff, up to a suitable translation, f reduces to the one of the following:
 - (a) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(C_i x_i)$, where A is a positive constant and C_1, \dots, C_n are nonzero real constants;

(b) A generalized Cobb–Douglas production function given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A\sqrt{x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n},$$

where A is a positive constant.

From Corollary 4.2 we obtain the following results concerning Spillman–Mitscherlich and transcendental production functions.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose f is a Spillman–Mitscherlich production model given by (8). Then the following assertions hold.*

- i. *The output elasticity cannot be constant with respect to any input x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.*
- ii. *f does not satisfy the PMRS property.*
- iii. *f does not satisfy the CES property.*
- iv. *The production hypersurface associated with the Spillman–Mitscherlich production model f has non-vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature.*
- v. *The production hypersurfaces associated with the Spillman–Mitscherlich production model f is non-flat.*

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose f is a transcendental production model defined by (9). Then:*

- i. *The output elasticity is constant with respect to an input x_i iff $b_i = 0$.*
- ii. *The output elasticity is constant with respect to all inputs iff $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$.*
- iii. *f satisfies the PMRS property iff $a_1 = \dots = a_n \neq 0$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$. Moreover, in this case, the production hypersurface associated with the transcendental production model f cannot be minimal, but it has vanishing sectional curvature if and only if $a_1 = \dots = a_n = \frac{1}{2}$.*
- iv. *The production hypersurface associated with the transcendental production model f has vanishing Gauss–Kronecker curvature if and only if one of the following situations occurs:*
 - (a) $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$;
 - (b) *There are two different indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i = a_j = 0$.*
- v. *f satisfies the CES property if and only if*

$$b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0;$$
- vi. *The production hypersurfaces associated with the transcendental production model f is flat if and only if one of the following situations occurs:*
 - (a) $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$;
 - (b) $a_1 = \dots = a_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$.

Acknowledgments

This research project was supported by a grant from the “Research Center of the Female Scientific and Medical Colleges”, Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University.

References

- [1] K. Abe, H. Okamoto, M. Tawada, A note on the production possibility frontier with pure public intermediate goods, *Can. J. Econ.* 19 (2) (1986) 351–356.
- [2] J. Aczél, G. Maksa, Solution of the rectangular $m \times n$ generalized bisymmetry equation and of the problem of consistent aggregation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 203 (1996) 104–126.
- [3] M.E. Aydin, M. Ergüt, Composite functions with Allen determinants and their applications to production models in economics, *Tamkang J. Math.* 45 (4) (2014) 427–435.

- [4] M.E. Aydin, M. Ergüt, Hessian determinants of composite functions with applications for production functions in economics, *Kragujevac J. Math.* 38 (2) (2014) 259–268.
- [5] M.E. Aydin, A. Mihai, Classification of quasi-sum production functions with Allen determinants, *Filomat* 29 (6) (2015) 1351–1359.
- [6] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, M. Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen, *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, δ -Invariants and Applications*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen, Classification of h -homogeneous production functions with constant elasticity of substitution, *Tamkang J. Math.* 43 (2) (2012) 321–328.
- [9] B.-Y. Chen, On some geometric properties of quasi-sum production models, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 392 (2) (2012) 192–199.
- [10] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of quasi-sum production functions with constant elasticity of substitution property, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* 5 (2) (2012) 90–97.
- [11] B.-Y. Chen, Classification of homothetic functions with constant elasticity of substitution and its geometric applications, *Int. Electron. J. Geom.* 5 (2) (2012) 67–78.
- [12] B.-Y. Chen, Solutions to homogeneous Monge–Ampère equations of homothetic functions and their applications to production models in economics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 411 (2014) 223–229.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen, G.E. Vilcu, Geometric classifications of homogeneous production functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 225 (2013) 345–351.
- [14] C.W. Cobb, P.H. Douglas, A theory of production, *Am. Econ. Rev.* 18 (1928) 139–165.
- [15] Deepika, A. Arvanitoyeorgos, Biconservative ideal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 458 (2) (2018) 1147–1165.
- [16] Y. Fu, W.G. Wang, Geometric characterizations of quasi-product production models in economics, *Filomat* 31 (6) (2017) 1601–1609.
- [17] J.R. Hicks, *Theory of Wages*, Macmillan, London, 1932.
- [18] L. Jiu, H. Sun, On minimal homothetical hypersurfaces, *Colloq. Math.* 109 (2007) 239–249.
- [19] H. Liu, H. Meng, Factorable surfaces in 3-dimensional Minkowski space, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 46 (2009) 155–169.
- [20] L. Losonczi, Production functions having the CES property, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.)* 26 (1) (2010) 113–125.
- [21] D. McFadden, Constant elasticity of substitution production functions, *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 30 (2) (1963) 73–83.
- [22] T. Inoue, On the shape of the production possibility frontier with more commodities than primary factors, *Internat. Econom. Rev.* 25 (2) (1984) 409–424.
- [23] J. Liu, C. Yang, Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} satisfying $\Delta \vec{H} = \lambda \vec{H}$ with at most two distinct principal curvatures, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 451 (1) (2017) 14–33.
- [24] R. Lopez, M. Moruz, Translation and homothetical surfaces in Euclidean space with constant curvature, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 52 (3) (2015) 523–535.
- [25] S.K. Mishra, A brief history of production functions, *IUP J. Manag. Econ.* 8 (4) (2010) 6–34.
- [26] A. Upadhyay, N.C. Turgay, A classification of biconservative hypersurfaces in a pseudo-Euclidean space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 444 (2) (2016) 1703–1720.
- [27] H. Uzawa, Production functions with constant elasticities of substitution, *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 29 (4) (1962) 291–299.
- [28] I. Van de Woestyne, Minimal homothetical hypersurfaces of a semi-euclidean space, *Results Math.* 27 (3–4) (1995) 333–342.
- [29] G.E. Vilcu, A geometric perspective on the generalized Cobb–Douglas production functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (5) (2011) 777–783.
- [30] A.D. Vilcu, G.E. Vilcu, On some geometric properties of the generalized CES production functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (1) (2011) 124–129.
- [31] A.D. Vilcu, G.E. Vilcu, Some characterizations of the quasi-sum production models with proportional marginal rate of substitution, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 353 (2015) 1129–1133.
- [32] X. Wang, A geometric characterization of homogeneous production models in economics, *Filomat* 30 (13) (2016) 3465–3471.
- [33] X. Wang, Y. Fu, Some characterizations of the Cobb–Douglas and CES production functions in microeconomics, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013 (2013) 761832.