

---

# MATH 343 — Group Theory

## Chapter 0: Preliminaries

# Chapter 0 — Preliminaries

**What this chapter provides.** The integer facts, induction principles, equivalence relations and function language that every later chapter of MATH 343 assumes.

**Reference.** Gallian, *Contemporary Abstract Algebra*, 10th ed., Chapter 0.

**Four parts.**

1. Properties of the integers — divisibility, gcd, lcm, primes
2. Modular arithmetic
3. Mathematical induction
4. Equivalence relations, partitions, and functions

# Part 1 — Properties of the Integers

**GOAL.** Establish the arithmetic facts used throughout the course:

## IN THIS PART

- Well Ordering Principle
- Divisibility, primes, multiples
- The Division Algorithm (Thm 0.1)
- gcd as a linear combination (Thm 0.2) and its corollary
- Euclid's Lemma (Lemma 0.1)
- Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Thm 0.3)
- lcm and the gcd · lcm identity

# Well Ordering Principle

**Axiom (Well Ordering Principle).** Every nonempty set of **positive** integers contains a smallest member.

**Why an axiom.** It cannot be derived from the usual arithmetic properties of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so we assume it.

**Where it is used.** It is the engine behind the Division Algorithm (Thm 0.1), Theorem 0.2, and both principles of induction.

**CAUTION.** "Positive" is essential. The set  $\{ \dots, -3, -2, -1 \}$  is a nonempty set of integers with **no** smallest member.

# Divisibility

**DEFINITION.** A nonzero integer  $t$  is a **divisor** of an integer  $s$  if there exists an integer  $u$  with  $s = tu$ .

**NOTATION.**  $t \mid s$  means " $t$  divides  $s$ ";  $t \nmid s$  means " $t$  does not divide  $s$ ".

**DEFINITION.** An integer  $s$  is a **multiple** of  $t$  if  $s = tu$  for some integer  $u$ .

**DEFINITION.** A **prime** is a positive integer greater than 1 whose only positive divisors are 1 and itself.

**Warning on notation.**  $t \mid s$  is a **statement** (true or false);  $t/s$  is a **number**. Never write  $t \mid s = 0$ .

# The Division Algorithm (Theorem 0.1)

**Theorem 0.1 (Division Algorithm).** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers with  $b > 0$ . Then there exist **unique** integers  $q$  and  $r$  with

$$a = bq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < b.$$

**Two assertions, not one.**

- **Existence** of a pair  $(q, r)$  — proved from the Well Ordering Principle.
- **Uniqueness** of that pair — proved separately.

---

**TERMINOLOGY.**  $q$  is the **quotient** and  $r$  the **remainder** on dividing  $a$  by  $b$ .

# Division Algorithm — Examples

**EXAMPLE 1.**  $a = 17, b = 5$ :  $17 = 5 \cdot 3 + 2$ , so  $q = 3, r = 2$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.**  $a = -23, b = 6$ :  $-23 = 6(-4) + 1$ , so  $q = -4, r = 1$ .

**Note the negative case.** The remainder is still required to satisfy  $0 \leq r < b$ , so  $r = 1$ , **not**  $r = -5$ . A calculator that returns  $-23/6 = -3.83$  gives  $q = -4$  only after rounding **down**.

**Standard proof strategy.** To show  $b \mid a$ , write  $a = bq + r$  with  $0 \leq r < b$  and then argue that  $r = 0$ .

## Quick Check — Division Algorithm

**Apply the Division Algorithm to  $-23$  with divisor  $6$ : write  $-23 = 6q + r$  with  $0 \leq r < 6$ .**

**(A)**  $q = -3, r = -5$

**(B)**  $q = -4, r = 1$

**(C)**  $q = -3, r = 1$

**(D)**  $q = -4, r = -1$

Vote now — one answer only.

# Greatest Common Divisor

**DEFINITION.** The **greatest common divisor** of two nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$  is the largest of all common divisors of  $a$  and  $b$ . Written  $\gcd(a, b)$ .

**DEFINITION.** When  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  we say  $a$  and  $b$  are **relatively prime**.

## EXAMPLES.

- $\gcd(4, 15) = 1$ , so 4 and 15 are relatively prime.
- $\gcd(4, 10) = 2$ , so 4 and 10 are not.
- $\gcd(2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^2) = 2 \cdot 3^2$ .

## gcd as a Linear Combination (Theorem 0.2)

**THEOREM 0.2.** For any nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$  there exist integers  $s$  and  $t$  with

$$\gcd(a, b) = as + bt.$$

Moreover,  $\gcd(a, b)$  is the **smallest positive integer** of the form  $as + bt$ .

**Existence, not construction.** The theorem guarantees that  $s, t$  exist; it does not produce them. The Euclidean algorithm, run backwards, does.

**Not unique.**  $\gcd(4, 10) = 2 = 4(-2) + 10(1) = 4(3) + 10(-1)$ .

## Corollary — Relatively Prime Characterization

**Corollary (to Theorem 0.2).** Integers  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime **if and only if** there exist integers  $s$  and  $t$  with

$$as + bt = 1.$$

**Both directions matter.**

- $(\Rightarrow)$  is Theorem 0.2 applied when  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .
- $(\Leftarrow)$  any common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  divides  $as + bt = 1$ , hence equals  $\pm 1$ .

**EXAMPLES.**  $4 \cdot 4 + 15(-1) = 1$ , confirming  $\gcd(4, 15) = 1$ .

# Application: Polynomial Expressions

**Example (Gallian, Ch. 0, Example 3).** For every integer  $n$ , the integers  $n + 1$  and  $n^2 + n + 1$  are relatively prime.

**PROOF.** Observe

$$(n^2 + n + 1) - n(n + 1) = n^2 + n + 1 - n^2 - n = 1.$$

So  $(n^2 + n + 1) \cdot 1 + (n + 1)(-n) = 1$ .

By the Corollary to Theorem 0.2,  $\gcd(n + 1, n^2 + n + 1) = 1$ . ▀

**Why this is the standard technique.** To prove two polynomial expressions are relatively prime, exhibit an explicit integer combination equal to 1.

## Euclid's Lemma (Lemma 0.1)

**Lemma 0.1 (Euclid's Lemma).** If  $p$  is a prime and  $p \mid ab$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose  $p \mid ab$ . If  $p \mid a$  we are done, **so assume**  $p \nmid a$  **and show**  $p \mid b$ .

Since  $p$  is prime and  $p \nmid a$ , the only positive common divisors of  $p$  and  $a$  are 1, so  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ .

By the Corollary to Theorem 0.2 there are integers  $s, t$  with  $1 = as + pt$ .

Multiply by  $b$ :  $b = abs + ptb$ .

Now  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid abs$ , and  $p \mid p \Rightarrow p \mid ptb$ .

Hence  $p \mid (abs + ptb) = b$ . ▀

# Euclid's Lemma — Primality Is Essential

**The hypothesis cannot be dropped.**

Take  $p = 6$ ,  $a = 4$ ,  $b = 3$ :

$$6 \mid (4 \cdot 3) = 12, \quad \text{but} \quad 6 \nmid 4 \quad \text{and} \quad 6 \nmid 3.$$

**Diagnosis.**  $6 = 2 \cdot 3$  is composite, so its prime factors can split between  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Look ahead.** This is exactly the phenomenon behind zero divisors: in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ ,  $4 \cdot 3 = 0$  although  $4 \neq 0$  and  $3 \neq 0$ .

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Theorem 0.3)

**THEOREM 0.3.** Every integer greater than 1 is a prime or a product of primes. This product is unique except for the order of the factors.

**Precisely.** If

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r \quad \text{and} \quad n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

with all  $p_i, q_j$  prime, then  $r = s$  and, after renumbering,  $p_i = q_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Two assertions again.** Existence (every  $n > 1$  factors) and uniqueness (the factorisation is essentially one). Uniqueness rests on Euclid's Lemma.

## Application: Irrationality of $\sqrt[n]{2}$

**CLAIM.** For every integer  $n > 1$ ,  $\sqrt[n]{2}$  is irrational.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\sqrt[n]{2} = a/b$  with  $a, b$  positive integers and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

Then  $2 = a^n/b^n$ , so  $2b^n = a^n$ .

So  $2 \mid a^n$ , and since 2 is prime, **Euclid's Lemma** gives  $2 \mid a$ .

Write  $a = 2c$ . Then  $2b^n = (2c)^n = 2^n c^n$ , so  $b^n = 2^{n-1} c^n$ .

Since  $n > 1$  we have  $n - 1 \geq 1$ , so  $2 \mid b^n$ , and by Euclid's Lemma again  $2 \mid b$ .

But then  $2 \mid \gcd(a, b) = 1$ , a contradiction. ▀

# Least Common Multiple

**DEFINITION.** The **least common multiple** of nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$  is the smallest **positive** integer that is a multiple of both. Written  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ .

## EXAMPLES.

- $\text{lcm}(4, 6) = 12$
- $\text{lcm}(4, 8) = 8$
- $\text{lcm}(10, 12) = 60$
- $\text{lcm}(6, 5) = 30$
- $\text{lcm}(2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^2) = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$

# gcd and lcm Together

**IDENTITY.** For positive integers  $a, b$ :

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab.$$

**CHECK.**  $a = 4, b = 6$ :  $\gcd = 2, \text{lcm} = 12$ , and  $2 \cdot 12 = 24 = 4 \cdot 6$ . ✓

**From prime factorizations.** If  $a = \prod p_i^{\alpha_i}$  and  $b = \prod p_i^{\beta_i}$  then

$$\gcd = \prod p_i^{\min(\alpha_i, \beta_i)}, \quad \text{lcm} = \prod p_i^{\max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)},$$

and  $\min + \max = \alpha_i + \beta_i$  gives the identity.

**Where this returns.** Orders of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and in direct products (Chapters 4 and 8).

# Part 2 — Modular Arithmetic

**GOAL.** Define  $a \bmod n$ , establish the one property that makes computation practical, and see it applied.

**Why it matters here.**  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  under addition modulo  $n$  is the first infinite family of groups in this course, and the first family of *cyclic* groups.

# Motivation: Counting Cyclically

**QUESTION.** If it is September, what month will it be 25 months from now?

**Answer.** October, since  $25 = 2 \cdot 12 + 1$  — two full years plus one month.

**QUESTION.** If it is Wednesday, what day will it be in 23 days?

**Answer.** Friday, since  $23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$ .

**Key insight.** We do not count sequentially; we use **remainders**. The Division Algorithm is doing the work.

# The mod Notation

**DEFINITION.** Let  $n > 0$ . By Theorem 0.1 write  $a = qn + r$  with  $0 \leq r < n$ . Then

$$a \bmod n = r.$$

**Two hypotheses that are easy to lose.**

- $n > 0$ ;
- $0 \leq r < n$  — the remainder is always taken in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .

## The mod Notation — **EXAMPLES**

- $3 \bmod 2 = 1$  since  $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$
- $6 \bmod 2 = 0$  since  $6 = 3 \cdot 2 + 0$
- $11 \bmod 3 = 2$  since  $11 = 3 \cdot 3 + 2$
- $62 \bmod 85 = 62$  since  $62 = 0 \cdot 85 + 62$
- $-2 \bmod 15 = 13$  since  $-2 = (-1) \cdot 15 + 13$

# The Key Property

**THEOREM.**  $a \bmod n = b \bmod n$  if and only if  $n \mid (a - b)$ .

**Consequence (mod first).** For any  $a, b$ :

$$(a + b) \bmod n = ((a \bmod n) + (b \bmod n)) \bmod n,$$

$$(ab) \bmod n = ((a \bmod n)(b \bmod n)) \bmod n.$$

**EXAMPLE.** Compute  $(27 \cdot 36) \bmod 11$ .

- $27 \bmod 11 = 5$  and  $36 \bmod 11 = 3$
- $(27 \cdot 36) \bmod 11 = (5 \cdot 3) \bmod 11 = 15 \bmod 11 = 4$

# Common Errors with mod

**Cancellation fails.**  $6 \cdot 2 \equiv 6 \cdot 7 \pmod{10}$  (both are 2), but  $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{10}$ . You may **not** cancel the 6.

Cancellation of  $c$  modulo  $n$  is valid only when  $\gcd(c, n) = 1$ .

**Exponents are not reduced modulo  $n$ .**  $2^5 \pmod{5} = 32 \pmod{5} = 2$ , whereas  $2^{5 \pmod{5}} = 2^0 = 1$ .

**Division is not defined.**  $a/b \pmod{n}$  has no meaning in general; 3 has no multiplicative inverse modulo 6.

## Quick Check — Cancellation mod $n$

**Suppose  $6a \equiv 6b \pmod{10}$ . What may you correctly conclude?**

**(A)**  $a \equiv b \pmod{10}$

**(B)**  $a \equiv b \pmod{5}$

**(C)**  $a = b$

**(D)** Nothing at all

Vote now — one answer only.

# Application: Check Digits

**US Postal Service money orders.** A 10-digit identification number is followed by a check digit equal to that number modulo 9.

**EXAMPLE.** 3953988164 has check digit 2, since  $3953988164 \bmod 9 = 2$ .

*(Digit sum  $3 + 9 + 5 + 3 + 9 + 8 + 8 + 1 + 6 + 4 = 56$  and  $56 \bmod 9 = 2$ .)*

**Error detection.** If 39539881642 is mistyped as 39559881642, the machine recomputes the check digit as 4 but reads 2 — error detected.

**Limitation.** A mod-9 scheme cannot detect a transposition of two digits, since digit order does not affect the digit sum.

# Part 3 — Mathematical Induction

**GOAL.** State both induction principles precisely and see when each is the right tool.

**Both rest on the Well Ordering Principle.**

# First Principle of Induction (Theorem 0.5)

**THEOREM 0.5.** Let  $S$  be a set of integers containing  $a$ . Suppose  $S$  has the property that whenever an integer  $n \geq a$  belongs to  $S$ , then  $n + 1$  also belongs to  $S$ . Then  $S$  contains every integer greater than or equal to  $a$ .

**In practice.** To prove a statement  $P(n)$  for all  $n \geq a$ , take  $S = \{n: P(n) \text{ is true}\}$  and verify:

1. **Base case:**  $P(a)$  holds.
2. **Inductive step:**  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$  for every  $n \geq a$ .

# Induction — Worked Example

**CLAIM.** For every integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

**Base case.**  $n = 1$ : left side = 1, right side =  $\frac{1 \cdot 2}{2} = 1$ .  $\checkmark$

**Inductive step.** Assume  $1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \cdots + n + (n + 1) &= \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} + (n + 1) = \frac{n(n + 1) + 2(n + 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the claim for  $n + 1$ .

By Theorem 0.5 the formula holds for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

## Second Principle of Induction (Theorem 0.6)

**Theorem 0.6 (Strong Induction).** Let  $S$  be a set of integers containing  $a$ . Suppose  $n$  belongs to  $S$  whenever **every** integer  $m$  with  $a \leq m < n$  belongs to  $S$ . Then  $S$  contains every integer greater than or equal to  $a$ .

**When to use it.** When establishing  $P(n)$  needs  $P(m)$  for several smaller  $m$ , not just  $P(n - 1)$ .

**NOTE.** No separate base case is written: the hypothesis applied at  $n = a$  is vacuous, and forces  $a \in S$ .

# Strong Induction — Worked Example

**Claim (existence half of Theorem 0.3).** Every integer  $n > 1$  is a prime or a product of primes.

**PROOF.** Let  $n > 1$  and assume the claim holds for every integer  $m$  with  $1 < m < n$ .

- If  $n$  is prime, we are done.
- Otherwise  $n = ab$  with  $1 < a < n$  and  $1 < b < n$ .

By the inductive hypothesis each of  $a, b$  is a prime or a product of primes, hence so is  $ab = n$ .

By Theorem 0.6 the claim holds for all  $n > 1$ . ▫

**Why the first principle would not do.** The factors  $a$  and  $b$  are not  $n - 1$ .

# Part 4 — Equivalence Relations and Functions

**GOAL.** The two structural tools used constantly from Chapter 6 onward.

## IN THIS PART

**Equivalence relations and partitions** — the mechanism behind cosets (Ch. 7) and factor groups (Ch. 9).

**Functions** — one-to-one, onto, composition, inverses; the language of isomorphism (Ch. 6) and homomorphism (Ch. 10).

# Equivalence Relations — Motivation

**In different contexts, different objects count as "the same".**

- $2 + 1$  and  $4 + 4$  differ in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but agree modulo 5 (both give 3).
- Congruent triangles in different positions.
- Vectors with the same magnitude and direction.

**Need.** A formal mechanism for declaring when two objects are to be treated as equivalent — and a guarantee that doing so partitions the set cleanly.

# Definition of Equivalence Relation

**DEFINITION.** An **equivalence relation** on a set  $S$  is a set  $R$  of ordered pairs **of elements of  $S$**  such that:

1. **Reflexive:**  $(a, a) \in R$  for all  $a \in S$
2. **Symmetric:**  $(a, b) \in R$  implies  $(b, a) \in R$
3. **Transitive:**  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in R$  imply  $(a, c) \in R$

**NOTATION.** Write  $a \sim b$  in place of  $(a, b) \in R$ .

**Equivalence class.**  $[a] = \{x \in S \mid x \sim a\}$ .

# Examples of Equivalence Relations

## Example 1 — Similar triangles.

- $S$  = all triangles in the plane
- $a \sim b$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are similar (equal corresponding angles)

## Example 2 — Polynomials with the same derivative.

- $S = \mathbb{R}[x]$ , polynomials with real coefficients
- $f \sim g$  if  $f' = g'$
- $[f] = \{f + c \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$

**Non-example.** On  $\mathbb{Z}$ , " $a \sim b$  if  $a \mid b$ " is reflexive and transitive but **not symmetric**:  $2 \mid 4$  while  $4 \nmid 2$ .

# Modular Congruence

**Example 3 — Congruence modulo  $n$ .** Fix a positive integer  $n$  on  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{means} \quad n \mid (a - b).$$

## Verification.

- **Reflexive:**  $a - a = 0$  and  $n \mid 0$ , so  $a \equiv a$ . ✓
- **Symmetric:** if  $n \mid (a - b)$  then  $n \mid -(a - b) = (b - a)$ , so  $b \equiv a$ . ✓
- **Transitive:** if  $n \mid (a - b)$  and  $n \mid (b - c)$  then  $n$  divides the sum  $(a - b) + (b - c) = a - c$ , so  $a \equiv c$ . ✓

**Equivalence classes.**  $[a] = \{a + kn \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  — these are the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

# Rational Numbers as Equivalence Classes

## Example 4 — Fraction equivalence.

- $S = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$
- $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  if  $ad = bc$

### Verification.

- **Reflexive:**  $ab = ba$ .
- **Symmetric:**  $ad = bc \Rightarrow cb = da$ .
- **Transitive:** if  $ad = bc$  and  $cf = de$ , then  $adf = bcf = bde$ ; since  $d \neq 0$ , cancel to get  $af = be$ .

**Interpretation.**  $[(a, b)]$  is the rational number  $a/b$ ; equal fractions are exactly equivalent pairs.

# Partitions

**DEFINITION.** A **partition** of a set  $S$  is a collection of nonempty, **pairwise disjoint** subsets of  $S$  whose union is  $S$ .

**Example (Gallian, Ch. 0, Example 21).** The sets

$$\{0\}, \quad \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \quad \{\dots, -3, -2, -1\}$$

form a partition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Non-example (Gallian, Example 22).** The nonnegative integers together with the nonpositive integers do **not** partition  $\mathbb{Z}$ : both sets contain 0, so they are not disjoint.

**Key point.** Nonempty, disjoint, and covering — all three are required.

# Equivalence Classes Form Partitions (Theorem 0.7)

**THEOREM 0.7.** The equivalence classes of an equivalence relation on a set  $S$  constitute a partition of  $S$ .

**Converse.** For any partition  $P$  of  $S$  there is an equivalence relation on  $S$  whose equivalence classes are precisely the members of  $P$ .

**Big picture.** Equivalence relations and partitions are two descriptions of one structure.

**Where this is used.** The left cosets of a subgroup  $H \leq G$  are the equivalence classes of  $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a^{-1}b \in H$  — which is why they partition  $G$  (Chapter 7).

# Proof Strategy for Theorem 0.7

**To show the equivalence classes partition  $S$ :**

- 1. Nonempty:** each  $[a]$  contains  $a$ , by reflexivity.
- 2. Union is  $S$ :** every  $a \in S$  lies in  $[a]$ , so the classes cover  $S$ .
- 3. Disjoint:** if  $[a] \neq [b]$  then  $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ .

**Step 3, in detail.** Suppose  $c \in [a] \cap [b]$ . Then  $c \sim a$  and  $c \sim b$ .

By **symmetry**,  $a \sim c$ ; then by **transitivity** with  $c \sim b$  we get  $a \sim b$ , and hence  $[a] = [b]$ .

So, two classes are either equal or disjoint.

# Functions (Mappings)

**DEFINITION.** A **function** (or **mapping**)  $\varphi$  from a set  $A$  to a set  $B$  is a rule assigning to each element  $a \in A$  exactly one element  $b \in B$ .

**NOTATION.**  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ , and  $\varphi(a) = b$ .

**Gallian's terminology.**

- $A$  is the **domain** of  $\varphi$
- $B$  is the **range** of  $\varphi$
- $b$  is the **image** of  $a$  under  $\varphi$
- $\{\varphi(a) \mid a \in A\} \subseteq B$  is the **image of  $A$  under  $\varphi$**

# Terminology Warning: "Range"

**This textbook is not standard here.** In Gallian's language " $\varphi$  is onto" is a genuine condition on  $\varphi$ ; if *range* meant the image set, every function would be onto its range and the word would be empty. **In this course we follow Gallian** — read *range* carefully in outside sources.

| Term                              | Gallian's meaning         | Meaning in most other texts       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| range of $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ | the set $B$               | the set $\{ \phi(a) : a \in A \}$ |
| codomain                          | (not used)                | the set $B$                       |
| image of $A$                      | $\{ \phi(a) : a \in A \}$ | same                              |

# Well-Definedness

**The issue.** When elements of the domain have more than one representation, a "rule" may fail to be a function.

**Bad example.** Define  $\varphi(a/b) = a + b$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- $\varphi(1/2) = 1 + 2 = 3$
- $\varphi(2/4) = 2 + 4 = 6$
- But  $1/2 = 2/4$ , so  $\varphi$  assigns two values to one element — not a function.

**Test.** A rule is well defined when  $x_1 = x_2$  implies  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2)$ .

**Where this returns.** Every map defined on cosets,  $\varphi(aH) = \dots$ , must be checked this way (Chapters 9 and 10).

# Composition of Functions

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ . The **composition**  $\psi\varphi$  is the map  $A \rightarrow C$  given by

$$(\psi\varphi)(a) = \psi(\varphi(a)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

**NOTATION.** We write  $\psi\varphi$ , not  $\psi \circ \varphi$  — the circle is omitted throughout this book.

**Order.**  $\psi\varphi$  means " $\varphi$  first, then  $\psi$ " — right to left.

# Composition — Example

**Example (Gallian, Ch. 0, Example 23).** Let  $f(x) = 2x + 3$  and  $g(x) = x^2 + 1$ .

**At a point.**

- $(fg)(5) = f(g(5)) = f(26) = 55$
- $(gf)(5) = g(f(5)) = g(13) = 170$

**In general.**

- $(fg)(x) = f(x^2 + 1) = 2(x^2 + 1) + 3 = 2x^2 + 5$
- $(gf)(x) = g(2x + 3) = (2x + 3)^2 + 1 = 4x^2 + 12x + 10$

**Key point.**  $fg \neq gf$  in general — composition is **not** commutative.

# One-to-One Functions

**DEFINITION.**  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is **one-to-one** if

$$\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2) \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 \quad \text{for all } a_1, a_2 \in A.$$

**Equivalent (contrapositive).**  $a_1 \neq a_2 \Rightarrow \varphi(a_1) \neq \varphi(a_2)$ .

**Picture.** Each element of  $B$  is the image of **at most one** element of  $A$ .

**How it is proved.** Assume  $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$  and derive  $a_1 = a_2$ . This is the direction that works; the contrapositive form is harder to use.

# Onto Functions

**DEFINITION.**  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is **onto**  $B$  if each element of  $B$  is the image of at least one element of  $A$ .

**In symbols.** For every  $b \in B$  there exists  $a \in A$  with  $\varphi(a) = b$ .

**Picture.** Every element of  $B$  is hit.

**How it is proved.** Take an arbitrary  $b \in B$  and **construct** an  $a \in A$  with  $\varphi(a) = b$ .

**Onto depends on  $B$ .** The map  $x \mapsto x^2$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not onto; from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\{0, 1, 4, 9, \dots\}$  it is.

# Properties of Functions (Theorem 0.8)

**THEOREM 0.8.** Given  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\beta: B \rightarrow C$ ,  $\gamma: C \rightarrow D$ :

1. **Associativity:**  $\gamma(\beta\alpha) = (\gamma\beta)\alpha$
2. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are one-to-one, then  $\beta\alpha$  is one-to-one
3. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are onto, then  $\beta\alpha$  is onto
4. If  $\alpha$  is one-to-one and onto, then there is a function  $\alpha^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ , onto  $A$ , with
5.  $(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)(a) = a \quad \forall a \in A, \quad (\alpha\alpha^{-1})(b) = b \quad \forall b \in B$

**Converses fail.**  $\beta\alpha$  one-to-one forces  $\alpha$  one-to-one, but not  $\beta$ .

# Function Inverses

**When does  $\alpha^{-1}$  exist?** Exactly when  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  is both one-to-one and onto.

**Why both are needed.**

- **One-to-one** makes  $\alpha^{-1}$  single valued (well defined).
- **Onto** makes  $\alpha^{-1}$  defined on all of  $B$ .

**Defining property.** If  $\alpha(s) = t$  then  $\alpha^{-1}(t) = s$ ; equivalently

$$(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)(a) = a \quad \forall a \in A, \quad (\alpha\alpha^{-1})(b) = b \quad \forall b \in B.$$

$\alpha^{-1}$  undoes what  $\alpha$  does.

## Example 24 — In Class: Fill In the Last Two Columns

$\mathbb{Z}$  = integers,  $\mathbb{R}$  = reals,  $\mathbb{N}$  = nonnegative integers.

| Rule  | Domain       | Codomain     | One-to-one? | Onto? |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| $x^3$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ |             |       |
| $x^3$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{R}$ |             |       |
| $ x $ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{N}$ |             |       |
| $x^2$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ |             |       |

Same rule, different domain or range — different answer.

## Example 24 — ANSWERS

| Rule  | Domain       | Codomain     | One-to-one? | Onto? |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| $x^3$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | Yes         | No    |
| $x^3$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | Yes         | Yes   |
| $ x $ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{N}$ | No          | Yes   |
| $x^2$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | No          | No    |

**Rows 1-2:**  $x^3 = y^3 \Rightarrow x = y$  by cube roots, so both are one-to-one. Row 1 is not onto (2 is no integer's cube); row 2 is onto (every real  $b$  equals  $(\sqrt[3]{b})^3$ ).

**Row 3:**  $|-1| = |1|$ , not one-to-one; every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is  $|n|$ , so onto.

**Row 4:**  $(-1)^2 = 1^2$ , not one-to-one;  $-1$  is not a square, not onto.