

# Measure Theory and Functional Analysis

## Solutions to Exercise 4

- (a) Let  $Y, Z$  be subspaces of a vector space  $X$ . Set some arbitrary  $x_1, x_2 \in Y \cap Z$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$ . Then  $x \in Y$  and  $x \in Z$ , so  $x \in Y \cap Z$ .

(b) In general, the union of two subspaces is not a subspace. For example, let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $Y = \{(x_1, 0) : x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $Z = \{(0, x_2) : x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Obviously,  $Y$  and  $Z$  are subspaces of  $X$ . Let now  $y = (1, 0)$  and  $z = (0, 1)$ . Then  $y \in Y$ ,  $z \in Z$  and so both vectors belong to  $Y \cup Z$ . However,  $y + z = (1, 1)$  does not belong neither  $Y$  nor  $Z$ . Hence,  $Y \cup Z$  is not a subspace of  $X$ .
- We have to show that, for all  $x, y$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y\| \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\| \leq 1$ . By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\| \leq \alpha\|x\| + (1 - \alpha)\|y\| \leq \alpha + (1 - \alpha) = 1.$$

- (a) If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then there exists  $N$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < 1$  for all  $x_n$ ,  $n > N$ . Hence  $\|x_n\| < 1 + \|x\|$  for all  $x_n$ ,  $n > N$ , by the triangle inequality. On the other hand,  $\max\{\|x_n\| : n \leq N\} =: M$  is finite, as the maximum over a finite quantity of numbers. Thus,  $\|x_n\| \leq M + 1 + \|x\|$ .

(b) We have to show that  $\|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x\| \leq \|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x_n\| + \|\lambda x_n - \lambda x\|.$$

Consider the summands in the right hand side above separately.

- i.  $\|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x_n\| = |\lambda_n - \lambda| \|x_n\|$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , there exists  $M$  such that  $\|x_n\| < M$  for all  $n$ , as it was shown in (a). Therefore  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \|x_n\| \leq M|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  since  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$ .
- ii.  $\|\lambda x_n - \lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
- (c)  $\|x\| \leq \|x_n\| + \|x - x_n\|$  and  $\|x_n\| \leq \|x\| + \|x - x_n\|$ , by the triangle inequality. Hence  $|\|x\| - \|x_n\|| \leq \|x - x_n\|$ . Thus,  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
- (d) By the triangle inequality,  $\|x - y_n\| \leq \|x - x_n\| + \|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .
- (e)  $(x_n - y) \rightarrow (x - y)$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Hence  $\|x_n - y\| \rightarrow \|x - y\|$ , by (c).
- (f) It is enough to show that  $(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow (x - y)$ , because of (c). Now,  $\|(x_n - y_n) - (x - y)\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\|$ , by the triangle inequality. Thus,  $(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow (x - y)$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ .

4. (a) Kreyszig's, 2.3, No 8.

Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence. Let  $N_1 \geq 1$  be such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq 2^{-1}$  for all  $n, m \geq N_1$ ,  $N_2 \geq N_1$  be such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq 2^{-2}$  for all  $n, m \geq N_2$ , ... ,  $N_k \geq N_{k-1}$  be such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq 2^{-k}$  for all  $n, m \geq N_k$  and so forth. Define a series  $(y_n)$  by  $y_1 = x_{N_1}$  and  $y_n = x_{N_n} - x_{N_{n-1}}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\|y_1\| \leq 2^{-1}$  and  $\|y_n\| \leq 2^{-n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore  $(y_n)$  is absolutely convergent and so it has a limit  $x$ . Thus  $x_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, for an  $\epsilon > 0$  fix  $m$  such that  $2^{-m+1} < \epsilon$  and  $\|x_{N_m} - x\| < (\epsilon/2)$ . Then, for all  $n \geq N_m$ ,  $\|x_n - x\| \leq \|x_n - x_{N_m}\| + \|x_{N_m} - x\| < \epsilon$ , by the triangle inequality. Thus,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

(b) Kreyszig's, 2.3, No 9.

Let  $(x_n)$  be an absolutely convergent series. Consider the sequence  $(s_n)$  of its partial sums:  $s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ .  $\|s_n - s_m\| = \|\sum_{k=m}^n x_k\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^n \|x_k\|$  for  $m \leq n$ . So  $(s_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence since  $(x_n)$  converges absolutely. Hence  $s_n \rightarrow s$  as the space is complete.

5. Kreyszig's, 2.3, No 15.

We check the properties of a norm, one by one. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ .

(a) Homogeneity.

$$\|\alpha x\| = \max\{\|\alpha x_1\|_1, \|\alpha x_2\|_2\} = |\alpha| \max\{\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2\} = |\alpha| \|x\|.$$

(b) The triangle inequality.

$$\|x+y\| = \max\{\|x_1+y_1\|_1, \|x_2+y_2\|_2\} \leq \max\{\|x_1\|_1+\|y_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2+\|y_2\|_2\}.$$

Now we are left to check that  $\max\{a+b, c+d\} \leq \max\{a, c\} + \max\{b, d\}$  for all  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ .

If  $a \geq c, b \geq d$  then  $\max\{a+b, c+d\} = a+b$ .

If  $a < c, b \geq d$  then  $\max\{a+b, c+d\} < c+b$ .

If  $a \geq c, b < d$  then  $\max\{a+b, c+d\} < a+d$ .

If  $a < c, b < d$  then  $\max\{a+b, c+d\} = c+d$ .

(c) Positivity.

Let  $\|x\| = 0$ . Then  $\max\{\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2\} = 0$  and so  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$ .

6. First we show that all norms are equivalent on a finite dimensional space  $X$ . Let  $n$  be the dimension of  $X$ . Fix a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} \subset X^*$  such that  $f_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Every  $x \in X$  is of the form  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k e_k$  where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  uniquely corresponds to  $x$ . Consider on  $X$  another norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$ ,

$$\|x\|_* = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |f_k(x)|.$$

(The proof of the fact that it is a norm is the same as in 5.)  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\|x_m\|_* \rightarrow 0$  since  $f_k \in X^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . On the other hand, if  $\|x_m\|_* \rightarrow 0$  with  $x_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{(m)} e_k$  then  $\xi_k^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and hence  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ . Now let  $(x_m)$  be a Cauchy sequence,  $x_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{(m)} e_k$ . Then  $(\xi_k^{(m)})$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence  $\xi_k^{(m)} \rightarrow \xi_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k e_k$ . Obviously,  $\|x - x_m\|_* \rightarrow 0$ . So  $X$  is complete.

7. Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence. Fix  $N_1 \geq 1$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < 2^{-1}$  for  $n, m \geq N_1$ ,  $N_2 \geq N_1$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < 2^{-2}$  for  $n, m \geq N_2$ , ...,  $N_k \geq N_{k-1}$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < 2^{-k}$  for  $n, m \geq N_k$  and so on. Then the sequence  $B_k = \{x : \|x - x_{N_k}\| \leq 2^{-k+1}\}$  is a sequence of closed embedded balls with the sequence of vanishing radii and there

are at most finitely many elements of  $(x_n)$  outside of every  $B_k$ . By the assumption,  $\cap B_k$  is non-empty and, by the construction, every point of the intersection is a limit point of  $(x_n)$ . However,  $(x_n)$  can have one limit point at most, as a Cauchy sequence. So  $(x_n)$  is convergent. Thus we have proved that an arbitrary Cauchy sequence is convergent. Hence  $X$  is complete.