## Chapter 6

* Goudness-af-Fit Test to determine if a papulation has a specified thearetical distribution.
* Independence Test to determine if there is assaciation between the two variables in a contingency table where the data is all drawn from one population.
* Homogeneity Test to determine if two ar more papulations have the same distribution of a single categorical variable.
10.80 The grades in a statistics course for a particular semester were as follows:

| Grade | A | B | C | D | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| f | 14 | 18 | 32 | 20 | 16 |

Test the hypothesis, at the 0.05 level of significance, that the distribution of grades is uniform.

1. $H_{0}$ : The data follow uniform distribution.
$H_{1}$ : The data doen't follow uniform distribution.
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test far gaodness of fit.
Calculate Expected frequencies.
$\mathrm{N}=14+18+32+20+16=100$
$e_{i}=N p_{i}, \quad p_{i}=\frac{1}{5}=0.2, i=1, \ldots, 5$
Thus, $E_{i}=100(0.2)=20 ; \forall i$

| Grade | A | B | C | D | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 14 | 18 | 32 | 20 | 16 |
| Expected | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

2. Test statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{\left(O_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}} \\
\chi^{2}=\frac{(14-20)^{2}}{20}+\cdots+\frac{(16-20)^{2}}{20}=10
\end{gathered}
$$

3. Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha, k-1}^{2}=\chi_{0.05,4}^{2}=9.488$
Since, $\chi^{2}=10>9.488$ we reject $H_{0}$. i.e the data doesn't follow uniform distribution.
10.81 A die is tossed 180 times with the following results:

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| f | 28 | 36 | 36 | 30 | 27 | 23 |

is this a balanced die? Use a 0.01 level of significance.

1. $H_{0}$ : The die is balanced.
$H_{1}$ : The die is not balanced .
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test far gaodness of fit.
Calculate Expected frequencies.
$\mathrm{N}=28+36+36+30+27+23=180$;
$e_{i}=N p_{i}$, if the die is balanced $p_{i}=\frac{1}{6}, i=1, \ldots, 6$
$e_{i}=180\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)=30$

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 28 | 36 | 36 | 30 | 27 | 23 |
| Expected | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |

2. Test statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\left(O_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}} \\
\chi^{2}=\frac{(28-30)^{2}}{30}+\cdots+\frac{(23-30)^{2}}{30}=4.47
\end{gathered}
$$

3.Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha, n-1}^{2}=\chi_{0.01,5}^{2}=15.086$
Since, $\chi^{2}=4.47 \ngtr 15.086$ we can't reject $H_{0}$. i.e the data is balanced.
10.87 A random sample of 90 adults is classified according to gender and the number of hours of television watched during a week:

|  | Gender |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female |
| Over 25 hours | 15 | 29 |
| Under 25 hours | 27 | 19 |

Use a 0.01 level of significance and test the hypothesis that the time spent watching television is independent of whether the viewer is male or female.

1. $H_{0}$ : Time spent watching TV independent of grades.
(The two random variable are independent)
$H_{1}$ : The two random variable are dependent.
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test Independence Test
Calculate Expected frequencies.
2. Test statistic:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}} ; \quad e_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{i} o_{i j} \Sigma_{j} o_{i j}}{N}=\frac{n_{r} n_{c}}{N}
$$

|  | Gender |  | $\sum_{j} O_{i j}=O_{i}=n_{r}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| Over 25 hours | 15 | 29 | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| Under 25 hours | 27 | 19 | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| $\sum_{i} O_{i j}=O_{j} .=n_{c}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{9 0}$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{11}=\frac{42(44)}{90}=20.53 ; \quad e_{21}=\frac{42(46)}{90}=21.47 \\
e_{12}=\frac{48(49)}{90}=23.47 ; \quad e_{22}=\frac{48(46)}{90}=24.53 \\
\chi^{2}=\frac{(15-20.53)^{2}}{20.53}+\frac{(27-21.47)^{2}}{21.53}+\frac{(29-23.47)^{2}}{23.47}+\frac{(19-24.53)^{2}}{24.53}=5.47
\end{gathered}
$$

3.Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha,(c-1)(r-1)}^{2}=\chi_{0.01,1 * 1}^{2}=\chi_{0.01,1}^{2}=6.635$
Since, $\chi^{2}=5.47 \ngtr 6.635$ we can't reject $H_{0}$. i.e The two variables are independent.
10.89 A criminologist conducted a survey to determine whether the incidence of certain types of crime varied from one part of a large city to another. The particular crimes of interest were assault, burglary, larceny, and homicide. The following table shows the numbers of crimes committed in four areas of the city during the past year.

| Type of Crime |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { District }}$ | Assault | Burglary | Larceny | Homicide | $\boldsymbol{n}_{r}$ |
| 1 | 162 | 118 | 451 | 18 | 749 |
| 2 | 310 | 196 | 996 | 25 | 1527 |
| 3 | 258 | 193 | 458 | 10 | 919 |
| 4 | 280 | 175 | 390 | 19 | 864 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | 1010 | 682 | 2295 | 72 | 4059 |

Can we conclude from these data at the 0.01 level of significance that the occurrence of these types of crime is dependent on the city district?

1. $H_{0}$ : type of crime and district are independent .
$H_{1}$ : type of crime and district are dependent.
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test Independence Test
2. Test statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}} ; \quad e_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{i} o_{i j} \sum_{j} o_{i j}}{N}=\frac{n_{r} n_{c}}{N} \\
e_{11}=186.37 \quad e_{12}=125.85 e_{13}=423.49 \quad e_{14}=13.29 \\
e_{21}=379.96 e_{22}=256.57 \quad e_{23}=863.38 \quad e_{24}=27.09 \\
e_{31}=228.67 e_{32}=1544.41 \quad e_{33}=519.61 \quad e_{34}=16.3 \\
e_{41}=214.99 e_{42}=145.17 \quad e_{43}=988.5 \quad e_{44}=15.38 \\
\chi^{2}=\frac{(186.37-162)^{2}}{186.37}+\cdots+\frac{(15.33-19)^{2}}{15.33}=124.53
\end{gathered}
$$

3.Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha,(c-1)(r-1)}^{2}=\chi_{0.01,3 * 3}^{2}=\chi_{0.01,9}^{2}=21.666$
Since, $\chi^{2}=124.53>21.666$ we reject $H_{0}$. i.e The occurrence of these types of crim is dependent on the city district.
10.93 To determine current attitudes about prayer in public schools, a survey was conducted in four Virginia counties. The following table gives the attitudes of 200 parents from Craig County, 150 parents from Giles County, 100 parents from Franklin County, and 100 parents from Montgomery County:

|  | County |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attitude | Craig | Giles | Franklin | Mont. |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Favor | 65 | 66 | 40 | 34 |  |
| Oppose | 42 | 30 | 33 | 42 |  |
| No opinion | 93 | 54 | 27 | 24 | 147 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | 200 | 150 | 100 | 100 | 550 |

Test for homogeneity of attitudes among the four counties concerning prayer in the public schools. Use a $P$ value in your conelusion.

1. $H_{0}$ : for each row i $p_{i 1}=\cdots=p_{i c}$.
$H_{1}$ : at least one of $H_{0}$ statments is false.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : For each attitude, the proportions of craig, Giles, Franklin and Montgomery counties are the same.
$H_{1}$ : At least one of the counties proportions is different.
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test Homageneity Test.
2. Test statistic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}} ; & e_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{i} o_{i j} \Sigma_{j} o_{i j}}{N}=\frac{n_{r} n_{c}}{N} \\
e_{11} & =74.55 \quad e_{12}=55.91 \quad e_{13}=37.27 \quad e_{14}=37.27 \\
e_{21} & =53.45 \quad e_{22}=40.09 \quad e_{23}=26.73 \quad e_{24}=26.73 \\
e_{31} & =72.00 \quad e_{32}=54.00 \quad e_{33}=36.00 \quad e_{34}=36.00 \\
\chi^{2} & =\frac{(65-74.55)^{2}}{74.55}+\cdots+\frac{(24-36)^{2}}{36}=31.1
\end{aligned}
$$

3.Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha,(c-1)(r-1)}^{2}=\chi_{0.05,2 * 3}^{2}=\chi_{0.05,6}^{2}=12.592$
Since, $\chi^{2}=31.1>12.592$ we reject $H_{0}$. i.e attitudes are not homogeneous.
10.94 A survey was conducted in Indiana, Kentucky, band Ohio to determine the attitude of voters concerning school busing. A poll of 200 voters from each of these states yielded the following results:

| State | Voter Attitude |  |  | $n_{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Support | Do Not Support | Undecided |  |
| Indiana | 82 | 97 | 21 | 200 |
| Kentucky | 107 | 66 | 27 | 200 |
| Ohio | 93 | 74 | 33 | 200 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | 282 | 237 | 81 | 600 |

At the $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ level of significance, test the null hypothesis that the proportions of voters within each attitude category are the same for each of the three states.

1. $H_{0}$ : The proportions for each attitude of Indiana , kentucky, ohio are the same.
$H_{1}$ : At least one of the states proportions different.
We will use $\chi^{2}$ test Homageneity Test
2. Test statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}} \quad ; \quad e_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{i} o_{i j} \sum_{j} o_{i j}}{N}=\frac{n_{r} n_{c}}{N} \\
e_{11}=94 \quad e_{12}=79 \quad e_{13}=27 \\
e_{21}=94 \quad e_{22}=79 \quad e_{23}=27 \\
e_{31}=94 \quad e_{32}=79 \quad e_{33}=27 \\
\chi^{2}=\frac{(82-94)^{2}}{94}+\cdots+\frac{(33-27)^{2}}{27}=12.56
\end{gathered}
$$

3.Decision:

We Reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi_{\alpha(, c-1)(r-1)}^{2}=\chi_{0.05,4}^{2}=9.488$
Since, $\chi^{2}=12.56>9.488$ we reject $H_{0}$. i.e attitudes are not homogeneous.

