

Multiple Regression II

regression model, with normal error terms, simply in terms of X variables:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} X_{i,p-1} + \varepsilon_i$$

where:

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$ are parameters

$X_{i1}, \dots, X_{i,p-1}$ are known constants

ε_i are independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$

$i = 1, \dots, n$

To express general linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} X_{i,p-1} + \varepsilon_i$$

in matrix terms, we need to define the following matrices:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_{n \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1,p-1} \\ 1 & X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2,p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n1} & X_{n2} & \cdots & X_{n,p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underset{p \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \underset{n \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the \mathbf{Y} and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ vectors are the same as for simple linear regression. The $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ vector contains additional regression parameters, and the \mathbf{X} matrix contains a column of 1s as well as a column of the n observations for each of the $p - 1$ X variables in the regression model. The row subscript for each element X_{ik} in the \mathbf{X} matrix identifies the trial or case, and the column subscript identifies the \mathbf{X} variable.

In matrix terms, the general linear regression model

$$\underset{n \times 1}{\mathbf{Y}} = \underset{n \times p}{\mathbf{X}} \underset{n \times p}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \underset{n \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

where:

\mathbf{Y} is a vector of responses

$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a vector of parameters

\mathbf{X} is a matrix of constants

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is a vector of independent normal random variables with expectation

$E\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\} = \mathbf{0}$ and variance-covariance matrix:

$$\underset{n \times n}{\sigma^2\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$$

Consequently, the random vector \mathbf{Y} has expectation:

Summary of Tests Concerning Regression Coefficients

Test whether All $\beta_k = 0$

This is the *overall F test* of whether or not there is a regression relation between the response variable Y and the set of X variables. The alternatives are:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

$$H_a: \text{not all } \beta_k \text{ } (k = 1, \dots, p-1) \text{ equal zero}$$

and the test statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} F^* &= \frac{SSR(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{p-1} \div \frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p} \\ &= \frac{MSR}{MSE} \end{aligned}$$

If H_0 holds, $F^* \sim F(p-1, n-p)$. Large values of F^* lead to conclusion H_a .

Test whether a Single $B_k = 0$

This is a *partial F test* of whether a particular regression coefficient β_k equals zero. The alternatives are:

$$H_0: \beta_k = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$$

and the test statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} F^* &= \frac{SSR(X_k | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{p-1})}{1} \div \frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p} \\ &= \frac{MSR(X_k | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{p-1})}{MSE} \end{aligned}$$

If H_0 holds, $F^* \sim F(1, n - p)$. Large values of F^* lead to conclusion H_a . Statistics packages that provide extra sums of squares permit use of this test without having to fit the reduced model.

An equivalent test statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{b_k}{s\{b_k\}}$$

If H_0 holds, $t^* \sim t(n - p)$. Large values of $|t^*|$ lead to conclusion H_a .

Test whether Some $B_k = 0$

This is another *partial F test*. Here, the alternatives are:

$$H_0: \beta_q = \beta_{q+1} = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

$$H_a: \text{not all of the } \beta_k \text{ in } H_0 \text{ equal zero}$$

where for convenience, we arrange the model so that the last $p - q$ coefficients are the ones to be tested. The test statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} F^* &= \frac{SSR(X_q, \dots, X_{p-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{p - q} \div \frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n - p} \\ &= \frac{MSR(X_q, \dots, X_{p-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{MSE} \end{aligned}$$

If H_0 holds, $F^* \sim F(p - q, n - p)$. Large values of F^* lead to conclusion H_a .

Remark:

The partial F^* for several $B_k=0$ can be formed in terms of R^2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^* &= \frac{MSR(X_q, \dots, X_{p-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{MSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})} = \frac{\frac{SSR(X_q, \dots, X_{p-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{p-q}}{\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p}} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{SSR(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) - SSR(X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{p-q}}{\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p}} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{SSR(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) - SSR(X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{(p-q)SST}}{\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{(n-p)SST}} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{R^2(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) - R^2(X_1, \dots, X_{q-1})}{(p-q)}}{\frac{1 - R^2(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{(n-p)}} = \frac{\frac{R_F^2 - R_R^2}{df_R - df_F}}{\frac{1 - R_F^2}{df_F}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients of Partial determination

Two Predictor Variables

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$$

$SSE(X_2)$ measures the variation in Y when X_2 is included in the model. $SSE(X_1, X_2)$ measures the variation in Y when both X_1 and X_2 are included in the model. Hence, the relative marginal reduction in the variation in Y associated with X_1 when X_2 is already in the model is:

$$\frac{SSE(X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_2)} = \frac{SSR(X_1|X_2)}{SSE(X_2)}$$

This measure is the coefficient of partial determination between Y and X_1 , given that X_2 is in the model. We denote this measure by $R_{Y1|2}^2$:

$$R_{Y1|2}^2 = \frac{SSE(X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_2)} = \frac{SSR(X_1|X_2)}{SSE(X_2)}$$

Thus, $R_{Y1|2}^2$ measures the proportionate reduction in the variation in Y remaining after X_2 is included in the model that is gained by also including X_1 in the model.

The coefficient of partial determination between Y and X_2 , given that X_1 is in the model, is defined correspondingly:

Thus, $R_{Y2|1}^2$ measures the proportionate reduction in the variation in Y remaining after X_2 is included in the model that is gained by also including X_1 in the model.

The coefficient of partial determination between Y and X_2 , given that X_1 is in the model, is defined correspondingly:

$$R_{Y2|1}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_2|X_1)}{SSE(X_1)}$$

General Case

The generalization of coefficients of partial determination to three or more X variables in the model is immediate. For instance:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Y1|23}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_1|X_2, X_3)}{SSE(X_2, X_3)} \\ R_{Y2|13}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_2|X_1, X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)} \\ R_{Y3|12}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1, X_2)} \\ R_{Y4|123}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_4|X_1, X_2, X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the subscripts to R^2 , the entries to the left of the vertical bar show in turn the variable taken as the response and the X variable being added. The entries to the right of the vertical bar show the X variables already in the model.

Example

For the body fat example, we can obtain a variety of coefficients of partial determination. Here are three (Tables 7.2 and 7.4):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Y2|1}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_2|X_1)}{SSE(X_1)} = \frac{33.17}{143.12} = .232 \\ R_{Y3|12}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1, X_2)} = \frac{11.54}{109.95} = .105 \\ R_{Y1|2}^2 &= \frac{SSR(X_1|X_2)}{SSE(X_2)} = \frac{3.47}{113.42} = .031 \end{aligned}$$

We see that when X_2 is added to the regression model containing X_1 here, the error sum of squares $SSE(X_1)$ is reduced by 23.2 percent. The error sum of squares for the model containing both X_1 and X_2 is only reduced by another 10.5 percent when X_3 is added to the model. Finally, if the regression model already contains X_2 , adding X_1 reduces $SSE(X_2)$ by only 3.1 percent.

Coefficients of Partial Correlation

Example

For the body fat example, we have:

$$r_{Y2|1} = \sqrt{.232} = .482$$

$$r_{Y3|12} = -\sqrt{.105} = -.324$$

$$r_{Y1|2} = \sqrt{.031} = .176$$

Note that the coefficients $r_{Y2|1}$ and $r_{Y1|2}$ are positive because we see from $b_2 = .6594$ and $b_1 = .2224$ are positive. Similarly, $r_{Y3|12}$ is negative because we see from Table 7.2d that $b_3 = -2.186$ is negative.

Comment

Coefficients of partial determination can be expressed in terms of simple or other partial correlation coefficients. For example:

$$R_{Y2|1}^2 = [r_{Y2|1}]^2 = \frac{(r_{Y2} - r_{12}r_{Y1})^2}{(1 - r_{12}^2)(1 - r_{Y1}^2)}$$

$$R_{Y2|13}^2 = [r_{Y2|13}]^2 = \frac{(r_{Y2|3} - r_{12|3}r_{Y1|3})^2}{(1 - r_{12|3}^2)(1 - r_{Y1|3}^2)}$$

where r_{Y1} denotes the coefficient of simple correlation between Y and X_1 , r_{12} denotes the coefficient of simple correlation between X_1 and X_2 , and so on. Extensions are straightforward.

```
install.packages("asbio")
```

```
library(asbio)
```

```
lm1=lm(y~x1)
```

```
lm12=lm(y~x1+x2)
```

```
partial.R2(lm1, lm12)
```


Standardized Multiple Regression Model

regression model, with normal error terms, simply in terms of X variables:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} X_{i,p-1} + \varepsilon_i$$

where:

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$ are parameters

$X_{i1}, \dots, X_{i,p-1}$ are known constants

ε_i are independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$

$i = 1, \dots, n$

The standardized regression model is as follows:

$$Y_i^* = \beta_1^* X_{i1}^* + \cdots + \beta_{p-1}^* X_{i,p-1}^* + \varepsilon_i^*$$

where the response variable Y^* and the independent

variables X_i^* are given by

$$Y^* = \frac{Y - \bar{Y}}{S_Y} \quad , \quad X_i^* = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}_i}{S_{X_i}} \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1,$$

where \bar{Y} and \bar{X}_k are the respective means of the Y and the X_k observations, and s_Y and s_k are the respective standard deviations defined as follows:

$$s_Y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n-1}}$$

$$s_k = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (X_{ik} - \bar{X}_k)^2}{n-1}} \quad (k = 1, \dots, p-1)$$

The correlation transformation is a simple function of the standardized variables

$$Y_i^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{Y_i - \bar{Y}}{s_Y} \right)$$

$$X_{ik}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{X_{ik} - \bar{X}_k}{s_k} \right) \quad (k = 1, \dots, p-1)$$

The relation between the coefficients of the original model and standardized model are

$$\beta_k = \left(\frac{s_Y}{s_k} \right) \beta_k^* \quad (k = 1, \dots, p-1)$$

$$\beta_0 = \bar{Y} - \beta_1 \bar{X}_1 - \dots - \beta_{p-1} \bar{X}_{p-1}$$

We see that the standardized regression coefficients β_k^* and the original regression coefficients β_k ($k = 1, \dots, p-1$) are related by simple scaling factors involving ratios of standard deviations.

Estimated Standardized Regression Coefficients

Let

$$\mathbf{X}_{n \times (p-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11}^* & \cdots & X_{1,p-1}^* \\ X_{21}^* & \cdots & X_{2,p-1}^* \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_{n1}^* & \cdots & X_{n,p-1}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^* \\ y_2^* \\ \vdots \\ y_n^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$$

It can be shown that for the transformed variables, $X'Y$ and $X'X$ become

$$X'X = r_{XX} \quad \text{and} \quad X'Y = r_{YX}$$

$$r_{XX} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1,p-1} \\ r_{12} & 1 & r_{23} & r_{2,p-1} \\ & & \ddots & \\ r_{1,p-1} & r_{2,p-1} & & r_{(1-p)(1-p)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad r_{YX} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{y1} \\ r_{y2} \\ \vdots \\ r_{y,p-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$r_{ij} = \text{corr}(X_i, X_j), \quad r_{yi} = \text{corr}(Y, X_i)$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{r}_{XX}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{YX}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_{(p-1) \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1^* \\ b_2^* \\ \vdots \\ b_{p-1}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

The regression coefficients b_1^*, \dots, b_{p-1}^* are often called *standardized regression coefficients*.

The return to the estimated regression coefficients for regression model original variables is accomplished by employing the relations:

$$b_k = \left(\frac{s_Y}{s_k} \right) b_k^* \quad (k = 1, \dots, p-1)$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}_1 - \dots - b_{p-1} \bar{X}_{p-1}$$

Example: In Dwaine Studios example data

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^* &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{Y_1 - \bar{Y}}{s_Y} \right) & X_{11}^* &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{X_{11} - \bar{X}_1}{s_1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21-1}} \left(\frac{174.4 - 181.90}{36.191} \right) & &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21-1}} \left(\frac{68.5 - 62.019}{18.620} \right) \\ &= -.04634 & &= .07783 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_{12}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{X_{12} - \bar{X}_2}{s_2} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21-1}} \left(\frac{16.7 - 17.143}{.97035} \right) = -.10208$$

$$\hat{Y}^* = .7484X_1^* + .2511X_2^*$$

and

$$b_1 = \left(\frac{s_Y}{s_1} \right) b_1^* = \frac{36.191}{18.620} (.7484) = 1.4546$$

$$b_2 = \left(\frac{s_Y}{s_2} \right) b_2^* = \frac{36.191}{.97035} (.2511) = 9.3652$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}_1 - b_2 \bar{X}_2 = 181.90 - 1.4546(62.019) - 9.3652(17.143) = -68.860$$

R:Code

```
mydata=read.table("Dwaine Studios.txt",header=TRUE)
Y=mydata$Y
X1=mydata$X1
X2=mydata$X2
n=length(X1)
one=as.vector(rep(1, n))
X=cbind(one,X1,X2)
Model=lm(Y~X1+X2)
library(QuantPsyc)
lm.beta(Model)
```