

List of exercises n°3 (Math 580 Theory Measure 1)

Exercise 1:

Let μ and ν be measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ defined as :

$$\mu = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-n} \delta_{1/n} ; \nu = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^n \delta_{1/n}.$$

1. Does the measures μ and ν are finite? probability measures? σ -finite? infinite?
2. Compute $\mu(\{0\})$, $\mu([0, \frac{1}{k}])$ for $k \geq 1$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu([0, \frac{1}{k}])$ and compare the results.
3. Compute $\nu(\{0\})$, $\nu([0, \frac{1}{k}])$ for $k \geq 1$, and compare the results.

Exercise 2:

1. Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space and (μ_j) be a sequence of positives measures on $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_j(A) \leq \mu_{j+1}(A)$. For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we put $\mu(A) = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_j(A)$. Show that μ is a measure on $\mathcal{A}$.
2. On the measurable space $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$, we define for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\nu_j(A) = \text{card}(A \cap [j, +\infty]).$$

Show that ν_j is a measure on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\nu_j(A) \geq \nu_{j+1}(A)$.

3. Let $\nu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by $\nu(A) = \inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_j(A)$. Compute $\nu(\mathbb{N})$ and $\nu(\{k\})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Deduce that ν is not a measure.

Exercise 3:

Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Construct an open set Ω in $\mathbb{R}$ that is dense and $\lambda(\Omega) < \varepsilon$.

Exercise 4:

Let E be a nonempty set.

1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a σ -algebra on E and A be a subset of E . Show that the characteristic function χ_A is measurable if and only if $A \in \mathcal{E}$.
2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a partition at most countable of E , $\mathcal{E}$ be the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and f be a real function on E . Show that f is measurable if and only if f is constant on each subset of $\mathcal{A}$.
3. Show that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ if $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$ is a Borelian function.

Exercise 5:

Let E be a Borelian set of $\mathbb{R}$ and $f : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic function. Show that f is a measurable function.

Exercise 6:

Let $(E, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space and $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence of measurable functions from E to $\mathbb{R}$. Show that the following sets:

$A = \left\{ x \in E, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty \right\}$ and $B = \{ x \in E, \text{the sequence } (f_n) \text{ is bounded} \}$ are measurable.

Exercise 7:

Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space, $(Y, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space and $f : (X, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable function. Show that the function $\mu_f : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by $\mu_f(B) = \mu(f^{-1}(B))$ is a measure on $\mathcal{B}$.

Exercise 8: (Egoroff's theorem)

Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space such $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f_n : (X, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a sequence of measurable functions.

1. Show that the set of convergence C of (f_n) is a measurable set.
2. We suppose that (f_n) is μ -convergent to f a.e ($\mu(C^c) = 0$).

For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $E_n^k = \bigcap_{j \geq n} \{ |f_j - f| \leq \frac{1}{k} \}$. Show that $C \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n^k$.

Deduce that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists $n_{k,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\mu \left((E_{n_{k,\varepsilon}}^k)^c \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

3. Deduce that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $E_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the sequence (f_n) converges uniformly to f on E_ε and $\mu(E_\varepsilon^c) < \varepsilon$.
4. Give a counterexample when $\mu(X) = +\infty$.

Solution of Homework no 3Exercise no 1:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mu(R) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n} \delta_{1/n}(R) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-1})^n \\ = \frac{1/e}{1-1/e} = \frac{1}{e-1} < 1$$

μ is finite and σ -finite but not of probability.

$$\nu(R) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n \delta_{1/n}(R) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n = \infty, \quad e > 1$$

ν is infinite.

Take $A_k = (-\infty, 0] \cup [1/k, \infty)$, $\bigcup_k A_k = R$

$$\nu(A_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n \delta_{1/n}([1/k, \infty)) = \sum_{n=1}^k e^n < \infty, \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

because $\frac{1}{n} \in A_k$ iff $n \leq k$. So ν is σ -finite and not of probability.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mu(\{0\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n} \delta_{1/n}(\{0\}) = 0$$

$$\text{For } k \geq 1, \quad \mu([0, 1/k]) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n} \delta_{1/n}([0, 1/k]) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} e^{-n} = \frac{e^{-k}}{1-e^{-1}}$$

Then

$$\mu(\{0\}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu([0, 1/k]) = 0$$

($A_k = [0, 1/k] \downarrow$, μ -finite $\mu([0, 1]) < \infty$).

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \nu(\{0\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n \delta_{1/n}(\{0\}) = 0$$

$$\nu([0, 1/k]) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n \delta_{1/n}([0, 1/k]) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} e^n = +\infty$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{so } 0 = \nu(\{0\}) \neq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu([0, 1/k]) = +\infty.$$

ν is not finite.

Exercise 12.2:

① - we have $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

- let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a disjoint sequence of elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

we put $A = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$

For all j and n , we have: $\mu_j(A) \geq \mu_j(A_1) + \dots + \mu_j(A_n)$

We take the limit of both sides when $j \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\mu(A) \geq \mu(A_1) + \dots + \mu(A_n)$$

By limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mu(A) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n) \quad (1)$$

If $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n) = \infty$ then $\mu(A) = \infty$.

We suppose that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n) < \infty$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists i$ such that

$$\sum_{n > i} \mu(A_n) \leq \varepsilon. \text{ So } \forall j, \mu_j(A) \leq \mu_j(A_1) + \dots + \mu_j(A_i) + \varepsilon \quad (*)$$

because μ_j is a measure.

When $j \rightarrow \infty$, $(*)$ becomes $\mu(A) \leq \mu(A_1) + \dots + \mu(A_i) + \varepsilon$

$$\text{So } \mu(A) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n) + \varepsilon$$

As ε is arbitrary,

$$\text{we get } \mu(A) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we deduce

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n)$$

②

$$\nu_j: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

$$A \mapsto \nu_j(A) = \text{card}(A \cap [j, \infty])$$

$$\nu_j(\emptyset) = \text{card}\{\emptyset\} = 0$$

• let $(A_n)_n$ be a disjoint sequence of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$

$$\nu_j\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = \text{card}\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \cap [j, \infty]\right)$$

$$= \text{card} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (A_n \cap [j, \infty])$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \text{card}(A_n \cap [j, \infty]) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu_j(A_n) \quad \text{because disjoint}$$

③ $\forall j, \text{card}(N \cap [j, \infty)) = \infty$, so $\nu(N) = \infty$.
 If $k \in N$, there exists $j \in N$ such that $j > k$
 and $\{k\} \cap [j, \infty) = \emptyset$. We deduce $\nu(\{k\}) = 0$.
 If ν is a measure then $\nu(N) = \sum_{k \in N} \nu(\{k\}) \neq \infty$.

Exercise n°3:

We know that $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

We enumerate $\mathbb{Q}$, $\{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$.

We take $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \geq 1}]q_n - \varepsilon/2^{n+2}, q_n + \varepsilon/2^{n+2}]$. We have:
 $\lambda(\Omega) < \varepsilon$

Exercise n°4:

① for all Borelian subset of $\mathbb{R}$, $\chi_A^{-1}(B)$ is A or A^c or $\emptyset$.
 If B that contains 1 and not 0, 0 and not 1 or $\{0, 1\}$, respectively.
 So χ_A is measurable if and only if $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

②

We suppose that f is constant on each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Put a_A the value of f on each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$f = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} a_A \chi_A$. From ①, χ_A is a measurable function.

Then f is measurable. As $\mathcal{A}$ is at most countable, f is the limit of measurable sequence of functions.

So f is measurable.

Conversely, we suppose that f is measurable but there exists a subset $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and 2 elements of A which f takes 2 distinct values. Denote it y and z .

Consider now $B = A \cap \{f = y\}$ and $C = A \cap \{f = z\}$.

B and C are not empty and are disjoint in $\mathcal{E}$.

In particular B and C are the union of subsets of $\mathcal{A}$.

But B and C have an intersection with A that contains some elements. $\nRightarrow$ Contradiction because $\mathcal{A}$ is a partition of E .

③ 1° method: Take $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$

(g_n) is a sequence of continuous functions.
 $\mathbb{R}^2 = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ is an open set then it is a Borelian set of $\mathbb{R}$.

(f_n) converges simply to f on $\mathbb{R}$ then f is a Borelian function.

Exercise 5:

We can assume that f is increasing function.

We can take the interval $[a, +\infty)$.

Let $b = \inf f^{-1}([a, +\infty))$.

Then $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \stackrel{?}{=} (b, +\infty) \cap X$ or $[b, \infty) \cap X$.

1) $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \subset (b, +\infty) \cap X$?

by construction, b is the inf of all elements of $f^{-1}([a, \infty))$. Hence

$f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \subset [b, +\infty) \cap X$.

2) Conversely let $x \in (b, \infty) \cap X$.

by definition of b , there exists $y \in f^{-1}([a, +\infty))$ /

$b < y < x$. As f is increasing then $f(y) \leq f(x)$.

But $y \in f^{-1}([a, +\infty))$ then $f(y) \geq a$. We deduce that $f(x) \geq a$. We obtain $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \supset (b, \infty) \cap X$.

Exercise 6:

$$A = \{x \in E, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty\} = \bigcap_{A > 0} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in E, f_n(x) > A\}$$

As $\{x \in E, f_n(x) > A\}$ are Borelian then A is Borelian.

$$B = \{x \in E, (f_n) \text{ bounded}\} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} \bigcap_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x \in E, a < f_n(x) < b\}$$

is also a Borelian set.

Exercise 7:

$\mu(f^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ because μ is a measure.

let $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ be a disjoint sequence of elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

(I countable set)

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)) = \mu(\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i))$$

As $(f^{-1}(A_i))_{i \in I}$ is a disjoint sequence of elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

As μ is a measure on $\mathcal{A}$ then $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(f^{-1}(A_i))$

$$\text{So } \mu_f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_f(A_i)$$

We deduce that μ_f is a measure on $\mathcal{B}$

Exercise 8: (Egoroff's theorem).

$$① \quad C = \{x \in X, (f_n(x))_n \text{ is convergent}\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}}} \bigcup_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \\ a < b < a + \varepsilon}} \bigcap_{n \geq N} \{x \in X, a < f_n(x) < b\}$$

As $\{x \in X, a < f_n(x) < b\}$ are measurable sets and we know that the Union of measurable sets and intersection countable of measurable sets is a measurable. ($C \in \mathcal{A}$)

②

let $x \in C$ then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$

We take $\varepsilon = 1/k$ and then $x \in E_N^k$. So $C \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n^k$

As $f_n - f$ is measurable, these sets E_n^k are measurable.

We have $C \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n^k$ and so

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} (E_n^k)^c \subset C^c, \text{ we have so } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu((E_n^k)^c) = 0$$

because $\mu(C^c) = 0$ and μ is finite.

Then for $\varepsilon/2k, \exists n_{k, \varepsilon}$ such that $\forall n \geq n_{k, \varepsilon}$

$$\mu((E_n^k)^c) < \varepsilon/2k. \text{ It follows } \mu((E_{n_{k, \varepsilon}}^k)^c) < \varepsilon/2k$$

$$③ \text{ We take } E_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq n_{k, \varepsilon}} E_n^k \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and}$$

$$\mu(E_\varepsilon^c) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (E_{n_{k, \varepsilon}}^k)^c\right) \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu((E_{n_{k, \varepsilon}}^k)^c) < \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\text{So } \mu(E_\varepsilon^c) < \varepsilon.$$

④ let $X = [0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{A}$ be the σ -algebra trace on X of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, μ be the Lebesgue measure and

$f_n = \chi_{A_n}$ where $A_n = [n, \infty)$. Then

$$f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f \equiv 0 \text{ but } f_n \not\xrightarrow{\text{uniformly}} 0$$