PROGNOSIS CLASSIFICATION

 McGuire & Nunn, 1996

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| GOOD | Control of the etiologic factors and adequate periodontal support as measured clinically and radiographically to ensure the tooth would be relatively easy to maintain by the patient and clinician assuming proper maintenance |
| FAIR | Approximately 25% attachment loss as measured clinically and radiographically and/or class I furcation involvement.The location and depth of the furcation would allow proper maintenance with good patient compliance. |
| POOR | 50% attachment loss and/or class II furcations. The location and depth of the furcations would allow proper maintenance, but with difficulty. |
| QUESTIONABLE | >50% attachment loss resulting in a poor crown/root ratio.  Poor root form, class II furcations not easily accessible to maintenance care, or class III furcations.≥2+ mobility.Significant root proximity. |
| HOPELESS | Inadequate attachment to maintain the tooth in health, comfort, and function. Extraction is suggested. |