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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of a linear isometric correspondence between the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ and the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$. We also establish the existence of a similar linear isometric correspondence between the Banach spaces of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$, and of all Lie Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be functionals in the dual of a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$. The assignment

$$
(a, b) \mapsto V_{\varphi, \psi}(a, b):=\varphi\left(\frac{a b+b a}{2}\right)+\psi\left(\frac{a b-b a}{2}\right)
$$

defines a continuous bilinear form on $A$ which also satisfies the following property: given $a, b \in A$ with $a \perp b$ (i.e. $a b^{*}=b^{*} a=0$ ) we have $V_{\varphi, \psi}\left(a, b^{*}\right)=0$. A continuous bilinear form $V: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be orthogonal when $V(a, b)=0$ for every $a, b \in A_{s a}$ with $a \perp b$ (see [15, Definition 1.1]). A renowned and useful theorem, due to S . Goldstein [15], gives the precise expression of every continuous bilinear orthogonal form on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. [15] Let $V: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous orthogonal form on a $C^{*}$-algebra. Then there exist functionals $\varphi, \psi \in A^{*}$ satisfying that

$$
V(a, b)=V_{\varphi, \psi}(a, b)=\varphi(a \circ b)+\psi([a, b]),
$$

for all $a, b \in A$, where $a \circ b:=\frac{1}{2}(a b+b a)$, and $[a, b]:=\frac{1}{2}(a b-b a)$.
Henceforth, the term "form" will mean a "continuous bilinear form". It should be noted here that by the above Goldstein's theorem, for every orthogonal form $V$ on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra we also have $V\left(a, b^{*}\right)=0$, for every $a, b \in A$ with $a \perp b$.

The applications of Goldstein's theorem appear in many different contexts ([5, 17]). Quite recently, an extension of Goldstein's theorem for commutative real $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras has been published in [14].

Making use of the weak amenability of every C*-algebra, U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen gave a simplified proof of Goldstein's theorem in [17]. In the third section of the just quoted paper, and more concretely, in the proof of [17, Proposition 3.5], the above mentioned authors pointed out that for every antisymmetric form $V$ on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ which is orthogonal on $A_{s a}$, the mapping $D_{V}: A \rightarrow A^{*}, D_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)(a, b \in A)$ is a derivation. Reciprocally, the weak amenability of $A$ also implies that every derivation $\delta$ from $A$ into $A^{*}$ is inner and hence of the form $\delta(a)=\operatorname{adj}_{\phi}(a)=\phi a-a \phi$ for a functional $\phi \in A^{*}$. In particular, the form $V_{\delta}(a, b)=\delta(a)(b)$ is anti-symmetric and orthogonal.

The above results are the starting point and motivation of the present note. In the setting of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras we shall complete the above picture showing that symmetric orthogonal forms on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ are in bijective correspondence with the purely Jordan generalized derivations from $A$ into $A^{*}$ (see Section 2 for definitions). However, the main goal of this note is to explore the orthogonal forms on a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra and the similarities and differences between the associative setting of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and the wider class of $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebras.

In Section 2 we revisit the basic theory and results on Jordan modules and derivations from the associative derivations on $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras to Jordan derivations on $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebras. The novelties presented in this section include a new study about generalized Jordan derivations from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module in the line explored in [24], [1, §4], and [7, §3]. We recall that, given a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module $X$ over a JB*-algebra, a generalized Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $X$ is a linear mapping $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ for which there exists $\xi \in X^{* *}$ satisfying

$$
G(a \circ b)=G(a) \circ b+a \circ G(b)-U_{a, b}(\xi),
$$

for every $a, b$ in $\mathcal{J}$, where

$$
U_{a, b}(x):=(a \circ x) \circ b+(b \circ x) \circ a-(a \circ b) \circ x \quad\left(x \in X^{* *}\right) .
$$

We show how the results on automatic continuity of Jordan derivations from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into itself or into its dual, established by S. Hejazian, A. Niknam [19] and B. Russo and the second author of this paper in [26], can be applied to prove that every generalized Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}$ or into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is continuous (see Proposition 2.1).

Section 3 contains the main results of the paper. In Proposition 3.8 we prove that for every generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB*algebra, the form $V_{G}: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, V_{G}(a, b)=G(a)(b)$ is orthogonal on the whole $\mathcal{J}$. We introduce the two new subclasses of purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations and Lie Jordan derivations. A generalized derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is said to be a purely Jordan generalized derivation if $G(a)(b)=G(b)(a)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$; while a Lie Jordan derivation is a Jordan derivation $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ satisfying $D(a)(b)=-D(b)(a)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J})$ the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$, and by $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{G} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$. The mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G D} \operatorname{er}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right), \quad \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{G} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J}), \\
& V \mapsto G_{V}, \quad G \mapsto V_{G},
\end{aligned}
$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other (cf. Theorem 3.6). Let now $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{a s}(\mathcal{J})$ and $\mathcal{L i e} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ denote the Banach spaces of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$, and of all Lie Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$, respectively. The mappings

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{a s}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L i e} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right), & \mathcal{L i e} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{a s}(\mathcal{J}), \\
V & \mapsto D_{V}, & D \mapsto V_{D}
\end{array}
$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other (see Theorem 3.13).

We culminate the paper with a short discussion which shows that, contrary to what happens for anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra, the antisymmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra are not determined by the inner Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ (see Remark 3.15). It seems unnecessary to stress the high impact and deep repercussion of the theory of derivations on $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebras; the results in this note add a new interest and applications of Jordan derivations and generalized Jordan derivations on JB*algebras.

Throughout this paper, we habitually consider a Banach space $X$ as a norm closed subspace of $X^{* *}$. Given a closed subspace $Y$ of $X$, we shall identify the weak*-closure, in $X^{* *}$, of $Y$ with $Y^{* *}$.

## 2. Derivations and generalized derivations in correspondence WITH ORTHOGONAL FORMS

A derivation from a Banach algebra $A$ into a Banach $A$-module $X$ is a linear map $D: A \rightarrow X$ satisfying $D(a b)=D(a) b+a D(b),(a \in A)$. A Jordan derivation from $A$ into $X$ is a linear map $D$ satisfying $D\left(a^{2}\right)=a D(a)+D(a) a,(a \in A)$, or equivalently, $D(a \circ b)=a \circ D(b)+D(a) \circ b(a, b \in A)$, where $a \circ b=\frac{a b+b a}{2}$, whenever $a, b \in A$, or one of $a, b$ is in $A$ and the other is in $X$. Let $x$ be an element of $X$, the mapping $\operatorname{adj}_{x}: A \rightarrow X, a \mapsto \operatorname{adj}_{x}(a):=x a-a x$, is an example of a derivation from $A$ into $X$. A derivation $D: A \rightarrow X$ is said to be inner when it can be written in the form $D=\operatorname{adj}_{x}$ for some $x \in X$.

A well known result of S. Sakai (cf. [29, Theorem 4.1.6]) states that every derivation on a von Neumann algebra is inner.
J.R. Ringrose proved in [28] that every derivation from a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ into a Banach $A$-bimodule is continuous.

A Banach algebra $A$ is amenable if every bounded derivation from $A$ into a dual Banach A-bimodule is inner. Contributions of A. Connes and U. Haagerup show that a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra is amenable if and only if it is nuclear ( $[11,16]$ ). The class of weakly amenable Banach algebras is less restrictive. A Banach algebra $A$ is weakly amenable if every bounded derivation from $A$ into $A^{*}$ is inner. U. Haagerup proved that every $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $B$ is weakly amenable, that is, for every derivation $D: B \rightarrow B^{*}$, there exists $\varphi \in B^{*}$ satisfying $D()=.\operatorname{adj}_{\varphi}$ ([16, Corollary 4.2]).

In [24] J. Li and Zh. Pan introduced a concept which generalizes the notion of derivation and is more related to the Jordan structure underlying a C*-algebra. We recall that a linear mapping $G$ from a unital C*-algebra $A$ to a (unital) Banach $A$-bimodule $X$ is called a generalized derivation in [24] whenever the identity

$$
G(a b)=G(a) b+a G(b)-a G(1) b
$$

holds for every $a, b$ in $A$. The non-unital case was studied in $[1, \S 4]$, where a generalized derivation from a Banach algebra $A$ to a Banach $A$-bimodule $X$ is defined as a linear operator $D: A \rightarrow X$ for which there exists $\xi \in X^{* *}$ satisfying

$$
D(a b)=D(a) b+a D(b)-a \xi b(a, b \in A) .
$$

Given an element $x$ in $X$, it is easy to see that the operator $G_{x}: A \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto G_{x}(a):=a x+x a$, is a generalized derivation from $A$ into $X$. Clearly, every derivation from $A$ into $X$ is a generalized derivation. There are examples of generalized derivations from a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ into a Banach $A$-bimodule $X$ which are not derivations, for example $G_{a}: A \rightarrow A$ is a generalized derivation which is not a derivation when $a^{*} \neq-a$ (cf. [6, comments after Lemma 3]).
2.1. Jordan algebras and modules. We turn now our attention to Jordan structures and derivations. We recall that a real (resp., complex) Jordan algebra is a commutative algebra over the real (resp., complex) field which is not, in general associative, but satisfies the Jordan identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \circ b) \circ a^{2}=a \circ\left(b \circ a^{2}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A normed Jordan algebra is a Jordan algebra $\mathcal{J}$ equipped with a norm, $\|$.$\| ,$ satisfying $\|a \circ b\| \leq\|a\|\|b\|, a, b \in \mathcal{J}$. A Jordan Banach algebra is a normed Jordan algebra whose norm is complete. A JB*-algebra is a complex Jordan Banach algebra $\mathcal{J}$ equipped with an isometric algebra involution ${ }^{*}$ satisfying $\left\|\left\{a, a^{*}, a\right\}\right\|=\|a\|^{3}, a \in \mathcal{J}$ (we recall that $\left.\left\{a, a^{*}, a\right\}=2\left(a \circ a^{*}\right) \circ a-a^{2} \circ a^{*}\right)$. A real Jordan Banach algebra $\mathcal{J}$ satisfying

$$
\|a\|^{2}=\left\|a^{2}\right\| \text { and, }\left\|a^{2}\right\| \leq\left\|a^{2}+b^{2}\right\|
$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ is called a JB-algebra. JB-algebras are precisely the self adjoint parts of $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebras [9, page 174]. A JBW*-algebra is a JB*-algebra which is a
dual Banach space (see $[18, \S 4]$ for a detailed presentation with basic properties).

Every real or complex associative Banach algebra is a real or complex Jordan Banach algebra with respect to the natural Jordan product $a \circ b=\frac{1}{2}(a b+b a)$.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a Jordan algebra. A Jordan $\mathcal{J}$-module is a vector space $X$, equipped with a couple of bilinear products $(a, x) \mapsto a \circ x$ and $(x, a) \mapsto x \circ a$ from $\mathcal{J} \times X$ to $X$, satisfying:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a \circ x=x \circ a, a^{2} \circ(x \circ a)=\left(a^{2} \circ x\right) \circ a, \text { and, }  \tag{2.2}\\
2((x \circ a) \circ b) \circ a+x \circ\left(a^{2} \circ b\right)=2(x \circ a) \circ(a \circ b)+(x \circ b) \circ a^{2}, \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ and $x \in X$. When $X$ is a Banach space and a Jordan $\mathcal{J}$ module for which there exists $M>0$ satisfying $\|a \circ x\| \leq M\|a\|\|x\|$, we say that $X$ is a Jordan-Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module. For example, every associative Banach $A$ bimodule over a Banach algebra $A$ is a Jordan-Banach $A$-module for the product $a \circ x=\frac{1}{2}(a x+x a)(a \in A, x \in X)$. The dual, $\mathcal{J}^{*}$, of a Jordan Banach algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is a Jordan-Banach $J$-module with respect to the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \circ \varphi)(b)=\varphi(a \circ b), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{J}, \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$.
Given a Banach $A$-bimodule $X$ over a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ (respectively, a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module over a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ ), it is very useful to consider $X^{* *}$ as a Banach $A$-bimodule or as a Banach $A^{* *}$-bimodule (respectively, as a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module or as a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$-module). The case of Banach bimodules over $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras is very well dealt with in the literature (see [12] or [7, $\S 3]$ ), we recall here the basic facts: Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be Banach spaces and let $m$ : $X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a bounded bilinear mapping. Defining $m^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, x\right)(y):=z^{\prime}(m(x, y))$ $\left(x \in X, y \in Y, z^{\prime} \in Z^{*}\right)$, we obtain a bounded bilinear mapping $m^{*}: Z^{*} \times X \rightarrow Y^{*}$. Iterating the process, we define a mapping $m^{* * *}: X^{* *} \times Y^{* *} \rightarrow Z^{* *}$. The mapping $x^{\prime \prime} \mapsto m^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is weak* to weak* continuous whenever we fix $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}$, and the mapping $y^{\prime \prime} \mapsto m^{* * *}\left(x, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is weak ${ }^{*}$ to weak ${ }^{*}$ continuous for every $x \in X$. One can consider the transposed mapping $m^{t}: Y \times X \rightarrow Z, m^{t}(y, x)=m(x, y)$ and the extended mapping $m^{t * * * t}: X^{* *} \times Y^{* *} \rightarrow Z^{* *}$. In this case, the mapping $x^{\prime \prime} \mapsto m^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y\right)$ is weak* to weak* continuous whenever we fix $y \in Y$, and the mapping $y^{\prime \prime} \mapsto m^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is weak ${ }^{*}$ to weak* continuous for every $x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{* *}$.

In general, the mappings $m^{t * * * t}$ and $m^{* * *}$ do not coincide (cf. [2]). When $m^{t * * * t}=m^{* * *}$, we say that $m$ is Arens regular. When $m$ is Arens regular, its (unique) third Arens transpose $m^{* * *}$ is separately weak* continuous (see [2, Theorem 3.3]). It is well known that the product of every $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ is Arens regular and the unique Arens extension of the product of $A$ to $A^{* *} \times A^{* *}$ coincides with the product of its enveloping von Neumann algebra (cf. [12, Corollary 3.2.37]). Combining [2, Theorem 3.3] with [18, Theorem 4.4.3], we can deduce that the product of every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is Arens regular and the unique Arens extension of the product of $\mathcal{J}$ to $\mathcal{J}^{* *} \times \mathcal{J}^{* *}$ coincides with the product of $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ given by [18, Theorem 4.4.3]. The literature contains some other results assuring that certain bilinear operators are Arens regular. For example, if every operator
from $X$ into $Y^{*}$ is weakly compact and the same property holds for every operator from $Y$ into $X^{*}$, then it follows from [4, Theorem 1] that every bounded bilinear mapping $m: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is Arens regular. It is known that every bounded operator from a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra into the dual of another $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra is weakly compact (cf. [10, Corollary 3]), thus given a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, every bilinear mapping $m: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Z$ is Arens regular.

Let $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule over a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$. Let us denote by

$$
\pi_{1}: A \times X \rightarrow X, \text { and } \pi_{2}: X \times A \rightarrow X
$$

the bilinear maps given by the corresponding module operations, that is, $\pi_{1}(a, x)=$ $a x$, and $\pi_{2}(x, a)=x a$, respectively. The third Arens bitransposes $\pi_{1}^{* * *}: A^{* *} \times$ $X^{* *} \rightarrow X^{* *}$, and $\pi_{2}^{* * *}: X^{* *} \times A^{* *} \rightarrow X^{* *}$ satisfy that $\pi_{1}^{* * *}(a, x)$ defines a weak ${ }^{*}$ to weak* linear operator whenever we fix $x \in X^{* *}$, or whenever we fix $a \in A$, respectively, while $\pi_{2}^{* * *}(x, a)$ defines a weak* to weak* linear operator whenever we fix $x \in X$, and $a \in A^{* *}$, respectively. From now on, given $a \in A^{* *}, z \in X^{* *}$, $b \in \mathcal{J}$ and $y \in Y^{* *}$, we shall frequently write $a z=\pi_{1}^{* * *}(a, z), z a=\pi_{2}^{* * *}(z, a)$, and $b \circ y=\pi^{* * *}(b, y)$, respectively. Let $\left(a_{\lambda}\right)$, and $\left(x_{\mu}\right)$ be nets in $A$ and $X$, such that $a_{\lambda} \rightarrow a \in A^{* *}$, and $x_{\mu} \rightarrow x \in X^{* *}$, in the respective weak* topologies. It follows from the above properties that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}^{* * *}(a, x)=\lim _{\lambda} \lim _{\mu} a_{\lambda} x_{\mu}, \text { and } \pi_{2}^{* * *}(x, a)=\lim _{\mu} \lim _{\lambda} x_{\mu} a_{\lambda}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak* topology of $X^{* *}$. It follows from above properties that $X^{* *}$ is a Banach $A^{* *}$-bimodule for the above operations (cf. [12, Theorem 2.6.15(iii)]).

In the Jordan setting, we do not know of any reference asserting that the bidual $Y^{* *}$ of a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module $Y$ over a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$-module, this is for the moment an open problem. However, in the particular case of $Y=\mathcal{J}^{*}$, it is quite easy and natural to check that $\mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ is a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$-module with respect to the product defined in (2.4). That is, given $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}^{* *}$, let us define $\varphi \circ a=a \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ as the functional determined by $(\varphi \circ a)(y):=\varphi(a \circ y)\left(y \in \mathcal{J}^{* *}\right)$.
2.2. Jordan derivations. Let $X$ be a Jordan-Banach module over a Jordan Banach algebra $\mathcal{J}$. A Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $X$ is a linear map $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow$ $X$ satisfying:

$$
D(a \circ b)=D(a) \circ b+a \circ D(b)
$$

Following standard notation, given $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}$, the symbols $L(a)$ and $L(x)$ will denote the mappings $L(a): X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto L(a)(x)=a \circ x$ and $L(x): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$, $a \mapsto L(x)(a)=a \circ x$. By a little abuse of notation, we also denote by $L(a)$ the operator on $\mathcal{J}$ defined by $L(a)(b)=a \circ b$. Examples of Jordan derivations can be given as follows: if we fix $a \in \mathcal{J}$ and $x \in X$, the mapping

$$
[L(x), L(a)]=L(x) L(a)-L(a) L(x): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X, b \mapsto[L(x), L(a)](b),
$$

is a Jordan derivation. A derivation $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ that can be written in the form $D=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(L\left(x_{i}\right) L\left(a_{i}\right)-L\left(a_{i}\right) L\left(x_{i}\right)\right),\left(x_{i} \in X, a_{i} \in \mathcal{J}\right)$ is called inner.

In 1996, B.E. Johnson proved that every bounded Jordan derivation from a C*-algebra $A$ to a Banach $A$-bimodule is a derivation (cf. [22]). B. Russo and
the second author of this paper showed that every Jordan derivation from a C*algebra $A$ to a Banach $A$-bimodule or to a Jordan Banach $A$-module is continuous (cf. [26, Corollary 17]). Actually every Jordan derivation from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}$ or into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is continuous (cf. [19, Corollary 2.3] and also [26, Corollary 10]).

Contrary to Sakai's theorem, which affirms that every derivation on a von Neumann algebra is inner [29, Theorem 4.1.6], there exist examples of JBW*algebras admitting non-inner derivations (cf. [30, Theorem 3.5 and Example 3.7]). Following [20], a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is said to be Jordan weakly amenable, if every (bounded) derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is inner. Another difference between $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebras and JB*-algebras is that Jordan algebras do not exhibit a good behaviour concerning Jordan weak amenability; for example $L(H)$ and $K(H)$ are not Jordan weakly amenable when $H$ is an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Jordan weak amenability is deeply connected with the more general notion of ternary weak amenability (see [20]). More interesting results on ternary weak amenability were recently developed by R. Pluta and B. Russo in [27].

Let us assume that $\mathcal{J}$ and $X$ are unital. Following [6], a linear mapping $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ will be called a generalised Jordan derivation whenever

$$
G(a \circ b)=G(a) \circ b+a \circ G(b)-U_{a, b} G(1)
$$

for every $a, b$ in $\mathcal{J}$, where $U_{a, b}(x):=(a \circ x) \circ b+(b \circ x) \circ a-(a \circ b) \circ x(x \in \mathcal{J}$ or $x \in X$ ). Following standard notation, given an element $a$ in a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, the mapping $U_{a, a}$ is usually denoted by $U_{a}$. Every generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ with $G(1)=0$ is a Jordan derivation. Every Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $X$ is a generalized derivation. For each $x \in X$, the mapping $L(x): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ is a generalized derivation, and, as in the associative setting, there are examples of generalized derivations which are not derivations (cf. [6, comments after Lemma 3]). In the not necessarily unital case, a linear mapping $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ will be called a generalized Jordan derivation if there exists $\xi \in X^{* *}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(a \circ b)=G(a) \circ b+a \circ G(b)-U_{a, b}(\xi) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a, b$ in $\mathcal{J}$ (this definition was introduced in [1, §4] and in [7, §3]).
Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a JB*-algebra and let $Y$ denote $\mathcal{J}$ or $\mathcal{J}^{*}$, regarded as a Jordan Banach $\mathcal{J}$-module. Suppose $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized derivation, and let $\xi \in Y^{* *}$ denote the element for which (2.6) holds. As we have commented before, $L(\xi): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y^{* *}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation. If we regard $G$ as a linear mapping from $\mathcal{J}$ into $Y^{* *}$, it is not hard to check that $\widetilde{G}=G-L(\xi): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y^{* *}$ is a Jordan derivation. Corollary 2.3 in [19] implies that $\widetilde{G}$ is continuous. If, in the setting of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras, we replace [19, Corollary 2.3] with [26, Corollary 17], then the above arguments remain valid and yield:

Proposition 2.1. Every generalized Jordan derivation from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into itself or into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is continuous. Furthermore, every generalized derivation from a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ into a Banach $A$-bimodule is continuous.

A consequence of the result established by T. Ho, B. Russo and the second author of this note in [20, Proposition 2.1] is that for every Jordan derivation $D$ from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into its dual, its bitranspose $D^{* *}: \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ is a Jordan derivation and $D^{* *}\left(\mathcal{J}^{* *}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{*}$. A similar technique gives:

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a JB-algebra or a $J B^{*}$-algebra, and suppose that $G$ : $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation (respectively, a Jordan derivation). Then $G^{* *}: \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ is a weak*-continuous generalized Jordan derivation (respectively, Jordan derivation) satisfying $G^{* *}\left(\mathcal{J}^{* *}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{*}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB-algebra. It is known that $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}=\mathcal{J}+i \mathcal{J}$ can be equipped with a structure of $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra such that $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\text {sa }}=\mathcal{J}$ (cf. [9, page 174]). It is easy to check that, given a generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$, the mapping $\widehat{G}: \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^{*}, \widehat{G}(a+i b)=G(a)+i G(b)(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$ defines a generalized Jordan derivation on $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$, where, as usually, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$, we regard $\varphi: \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as defined by $\varphi(a+i b)=\varphi(a)+i \varphi(b)$. We may therefore assume that $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB*-algebra.

By Proposition 2.1, every generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is automatically continuous. Furthermore, since every bounded operator from a JB*algebra into the dual of another JB*-algebra is weakly compact (cf. [10, Corollary 3]), we deduce that $G$ is weakly compact. It is well known that this is equivalent to $G^{* *}\left(\mathcal{J}^{* *}\right) \subset \mathcal{J}^{*}$.

Since $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation, there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ satisfying

$$
G(x \circ y)=G(x) \circ y+x \circ G(y)-U_{x, y}(\xi),
$$

for every $x, y$ in $\mathcal{J}$. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$. By Goldstine's Theorem, we can find two (bounded) nets $\left(a_{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(b_{\mu}\right)$ in $\mathcal{J}$ such that $\left(a_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow a$ and $\left(b_{\mu}\right) \rightarrow b$ in the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$. If we fix an element $c$ in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$, and we take a net $\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)$ in $\mathcal{J}^{* * *}$, converging to some $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ in the $\sigma\left(\mathcal{J}^{* * *}, \mathcal{J}^{* *}\right)$-topology, the net $\left(\phi_{\lambda} \circ c\right)$ converges in the $\sigma\left(\mathcal{J}^{* * *}, \mathcal{J}^{* *}\right)$-topology to $\phi \circ c$. The weak ${ }^{*}$-continuity of the mapping $G^{* *}$ implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
G^{* *}(a \circ c)=\mathrm{w}^{*}-\lim _{\lambda} G\left(a_{\lambda} \circ c\right)=\mathrm{w}^{*}-\lim _{\lambda} G\left(a_{\lambda}\right) \circ c+a_{\lambda} \circ G(c)-U_{a_{\lambda}, c}(\xi) \\
=G^{* *}(a) \circ c+a \circ G(c)-U_{a, c}(\xi)
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $c \in \mathcal{J}$. This shows that $G^{* *}(a \circ c)=G^{* *}(a) \circ c+a \circ G(c)-U_{a, c}(\xi)$, for every $c \in \mathcal{J}, a \in \mathcal{J}^{* *}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
G^{* *}(a \circ b)=\mathrm{w}^{*}-\lim _{\mu} G^{* *}\left(a \circ b_{\mu}\right)=\mathrm{w}^{*}-\lim _{\mu} G^{* *}(a) \circ b_{\mu}+a \circ G\left(b_{\mu}\right)-U_{a, b_{\mu}}(\xi) \\
=G^{* *}(a) \circ b+a \circ G^{* *}(b)-U_{a, b}(\xi),
\end{gathered}
$$

giving the desired conclusion.
Remark 2.3. Let $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ be a generalized Jordan derivation, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB*-algebra. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ satisfy

$$
G(a \circ b)=G(a) \circ b+a \circ G(b)-U_{a, b}(\xi),
$$

for every $a, b$ in $\mathcal{J}$. The previous Proposition 2.2 assures that $G^{* *}: \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{* * *}$ is a weak*-continuous generalized Jordan derivation, $G^{* *}\left(\mathcal{J}^{* *}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{*}$, and

$$
G^{* *}(a \circ b)=G^{* *}(a) \circ b+a \circ G^{* *}(b)-U_{a, b}(\xi),
$$

for every $a, b$ in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$. In particular, $G^{* *}(1)=\xi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$, and $G$ is a Jordan derivation if and only if $G^{* *}(1)=0$.

## 3. Orthogonal forms

In the non-associative setting of JB*-algebras, a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem remains unexplored. In this section we shall study the structure of the orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$. In this non-associative setting, the lacking of a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem makes, a priori, unclear whether a form on $\mathcal{J}$ which is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ is orthogonal on the whole of $\mathcal{J}$. We shall prove that symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ are in one to one correspondence with the purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ (see Theorem 3.6), while anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$ are in one to one correspondence with the Lie Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ (see Theorem 3.13). These results, together with the existence of JB*-algebras $\mathcal{J}$ which are not Jordan weakly amenable (i.e., they admit Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ which are not inner), show that a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem for anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra is a hopeless task (see Remark 3.15).

We introduce next the exact definitions. In a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ we consider the following triple product

$$
\{a, b, c\}=\left(a \circ b^{*}\right) \circ c+\left(c \circ b^{*}\right) \circ a-(a \circ c) \circ b^{*} .
$$

When equipped with this triple product and its norm, every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra becomes an element in the class of JB*-triples introduced by W. Kaup in [23]. The precise definition of $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triples reads as follows: A $J B^{*}$-triple is a complex Banach space $E$ equipped with a continuous triple product $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}: E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ which is linear and symmetric in the outer variables, conjugate linear in the middle one and satisfies the following conditions:
(JB*-1) (Jordan identity) for $a, b, x, y, z$ in $E$,

$$
\{a, b,\{x, y, z\}\}=\{\{a, b, x\}, y, z\}-\{x,\{b, a, y\}, z\}+\{x, y,\{a, b, z\}\} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{JB}^{*}-2\right) L(a, a): E \rightarrow E$ is an hermitian (linear) operator with non-negative spectrum, where $L(a, b)(x)=\{a, b, x\}$ with $a, b, x \in E$;
(JB*-3) $\|\{x, x, x\}\|=\|x\|^{3}$ for all $x \in E$.
We refer to the monographs [18], [9], and [8] for the basic background on JB*algebras and $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triples.

A JBW**-triple is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique isometric predual [3]). It is known that the triple product of a JBW*-triple is separately weak*-continuous [3]. A result due to S. Dineen establishes that the second dual of a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $E$ is a $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple with a product extending that of $E$ (compare [9, Corollary 3.3.5]).

An element $e$ in a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $E$ is said to be a tripotent if $\{e, e, e\}=e$. Each tripotent $e$ in $E$ gives raise to the so-called Peirce decomposition of $E$ associated to $e$, that is,

$$
E=E_{2}(e) \oplus E_{1}(e) \oplus E_{0}(e)
$$

where for $i=0,1,2, E_{i}(e)$ is the $\frac{i}{2}$ eigenspace of $L(e, e)$. The Peirce decomposition satisfies certain rules known as Peirce arithmetic:

$$
\left\{E_{i}(e), E_{j}(e), E_{k}(e)\right\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e)
$$

if $i-j+k \in\{0,1,2\}$ and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$$
\left\{E_{2}(e), E_{0}(e), E\right\}=\left\{E_{0}(e), E_{2}(e), E\right\}=0
$$

The corresponding Peirce projections are denoted by $P_{i}(e): E \rightarrow E_{i}(e),(i=$ $0,1,2)$. The Peirce space $E_{2}(e)$ is a JB*-algebra with product $x \bullet_{e} y:=\{x, e, y\}$ and involution $x^{\sharp e}:=\{e, x, e\}$.

For each element $x$ in a JB*-triple $E$, we shall denote $x^{[1]}:=x, x^{[3]}:=\{x, x, x\}$, and $x^{[2 n+1]}:=\left\{x, x, x^{[2 n-1]}\right\},(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The symbol $E_{x}$ will stand for the JB*subtriple generated by the element $x$. It is known that $E_{x}$ is JB*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_{0}(\Omega)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space $\Omega$ contained in $(0,\|x\|]$, such that $\Omega \cup\{0\}$ is compact, where $C_{0}(\Omega)$ denotes the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at 0 . It is also known that we can find a triple isomorphism $\Psi$ from $E_{x}$ onto $C_{0}(\Omega)$, such that $\Psi(x)(t)=t(t \in \Omega)$ (cf. Corollary 1.15 in [23]).

Therefore, for each $x \in E$, there exists a unique element $y \in E_{x}$ satisfying that $\{y, y, y\}=x$. The element $y$, denoted by $x^{\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]}$, is termed the cubic root of $x$. We can inductively define, $x^{\left[\frac{1}{\left.3^{n}\right]}\right.}=\left(x^{\left[\frac{1}{\left.3^{n-1}\right]}\right.}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $\left(x^{\left[\frac{1}{\left.3^{n}\right]}\right.}\right)$ converges in the weak*-topology of $E^{* *}$ to a tripotent denoted by $r(x)$ and called the range tripotent of $x$. The element $r(x)$ is the smallest tripotent $e \in E^{* *}$ such that $x$ is positive in the $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-algebra $E_{2}^{* *}(e)$ (compare [13], Lemma 3.3).

Elements $a, b$ in a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, or more generally, in a JB*-triple $E$, are said to be orthogonal (denoted by $a \perp b$ ) when $L(a, b)=0$, that is, the triple product $\{a, b, c\}$ vanishes for every $c \in \mathcal{J}$ or in $E$ ([5]). An application of [5, Lemma 1] assures that $a \perp b$ if and only if one of the following statements holds:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\{a, a, b\}=0 ; & a \perp r(b) ; & r(a) \perp r(b) ; \\
E_{2}^{* *}(r(a)) \perp E_{2}^{* *}(r(b)) ; & r(a) \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(b)) ; & a \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(b)) ;  \tag{3.1}\\
b \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(a)) ; & E_{a} \perp E_{b} & \{b, b, a\}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The above equivalences imply, in particular, that the relation of being orthogonal is a "local concept", more precisely, $a \perp b$ in $\mathcal{J}$ (respectively in $E$ ) if and only if $a \perp b$ in a JB*-subalgebra (respectively, JB*-subtriple) $\mathcal{K}$ containing $a$ and $b$.

Suppose $a \perp b$ in $\mathcal{J}$, applying the above arguments we can always assume that $\mathcal{J}$ is unital. In this case, $a \circ b^{*}=\{a, b, 1\}=0$ and $\left(a \circ a^{*}\right) \circ b-(a \circ b) \circ a^{*}=$
$\left(a \circ a^{*}\right) \circ b+\left(b \circ a^{*}\right) \circ a-(a \circ b) \circ a^{*}=0$, therefore $a \circ b^{*}=0$ and $\left(a \circ a^{*}\right) \circ b=(a \circ b) \circ a^{*}$. Actually the last two identities also imply that $a \perp b$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \perp b \Leftrightarrow a \circ b^{*}=0 \text { and }\left(a \circ a^{*}\right) \circ b=(a \circ b) \circ a^{*} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, if $a \perp b$ and $c$ is another element in $\mathcal{J}$, we deduce, via Jordan identity, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{U_{a}(c), U_{a}(c), b\right\}=\left\{\left\{a, c^{*}, a\right\},\left\{a, c^{*}, a\right\}, b\right\}=-\left\{c^{*}, a,\left\{\left\{a, c^{*}, a\right\}, a, b\right\}\right\} \\
+\left\{\left\{c^{*}, a,\left\{a, c^{*}, a\right\}\right\}, a, b\right\}+\left\{\left\{a, c^{*}, a\right\}, a,\left\{c^{*}, a, b\right\}\right\}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

which shows that $U_{a}(c) \perp b$.
We shall also make use of the following fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \perp b \text { in } \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow\left(c \circ b^{*}\right) \circ a=(a \circ c) \circ b^{*}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $c \in \mathcal{J}$, this means that $a$ and $b^{*}$ operator commute in $\mathcal{J}$ (cf. [5, page 225]). For the proof, we observe that, since $a \perp b, a \circ b^{*}=0$, and the involution preserves triple products, we have $0=\{a, b, c\}=\left(a \circ b^{*}\right) \circ c+\left(c \circ b^{*}\right) \circ a-(a \circ c) \circ b^{*}$, which proves the desired equality. A direct application of (3.3) and (3.2) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \perp b \text { in } \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow\left(a^{2}\right) \circ b^{*}=\left(a \circ b^{*}\right) \circ a=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ is regarded with its structure of $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra, elements $a, b$ in $A$ are orthogonal in the associative sense if and only if they are orthogonal in the Jordan sense.

Definition 3.1. A form $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be orthogonal when $V\left(a, b^{*}\right)=$ 0 for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ with $a \perp b$. If $V(a, b)=0$ only for elements $a, b \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}$ with $a \perp b$, we shall say that $V$ is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$.
3.1. Purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations and symmetric orthogonal forms. We begin this subsection by dealing with symmetric orthogonal forms on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra, a setting in which these forms have been already studied. Let $V: A \times A \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric, orthogonal form on a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra. By Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem [15]), there exists a unique functional $\phi_{V} \in A^{*}$ satisfying that $V(a, b)=\phi_{V}(a \circ b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. The statement also follows from the studies of orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous polynomials on $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras developed in [25].

Given an element $a$ in the self adjoint part $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ of a JBW*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, there exists a smallest projection $r(a)$ in $\mathcal{J}$ with the property that $r(a) \circ a=a$. We call $r(a)$ the range projection of $a$, and it is further known that $r(a)$ belongs $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{J}$ generated by $a$. It is easy to check that $r(a)$ coincides with the range tripotent of $a$ in $\mathcal{J}$ when the latter is seen as a JBW*-triple, so, our notation is consistent with the previous definitions.

We explore now the symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra.
Proposition 3.2. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric form on a JB*-algebra which is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$. Then there exists a unique $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
V(a, b)=\phi(a \circ b)
$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

Proof. We have already commented that the (unique) third Arens transpose $V^{* * *}$ : $\mathcal{J}^{* *} \times \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is separately weak*-continuous (cf. Subsection 2.1). Let $a$ be a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{J}$. It is known that the $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{J}_{a}$ generated by $a$ is JB*-isometrically isomorphic to a commutative C*-algebra (cf. [18, §3]). Since the restricted mapping $\left.V\right|_{\mathcal{J}_{a} \times \mathcal{J}_{a}}: \mathcal{J}_{a} \times \mathcal{J}_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric orthogonal form, there exists a functional $\phi_{a} \in\left(\mathcal{J}_{a}\right)^{*}$ satisfying that

$$
V(c, d)=\phi_{a}(c \circ d),
$$

for every $c, d \in \mathcal{J}_{a}$ (cf. Theorem 1.1). It follows from the weak*-density of $\mathcal{J}_{a}$ in $\left(\mathcal{J}_{a}\right)^{* *}$ together with the separate weak*-continuity of $V^{* * *}$, and the weak*continuity of $\phi_{a}$, that

$$
V^{* * *}(c, d)=\phi_{a}(c \circ d),
$$

for every $c, d \in\left(\mathcal{J}_{a}\right)^{* *}$. Taking $c=a$ and $d=r(a)$ the range projection of $a$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(a, a)=\phi_{a}(a \circ a)=\phi_{a}\left(a^{2} \circ r(a)\right)=V^{* * *}\left(a^{2}, r(a)\right)=V^{* * *}\left(r(a), a^{2}\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}$.
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{* * *}(a, r(a))=V^{* * *}(r(a), a)=V^{* * *}(a, 1)=V^{* * *}(1, a), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every positive $a \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}$. We may assume that $\|a\|=1$. We actually know that there is a set $L \subset[0,1]$ with $L \cup\{0\}$ compact such that $\mathcal{J}_{a}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $C_{0}(L)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on $L$ vanishing at 0 , and under this isometric identification the element $a$ is identified with the function $t \mapsto t$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $p_{\varepsilon}=\chi_{[\varepsilon, 1]}$ denote the projection in $\left(\mathcal{J}_{a}\right)^{* *}$, which coincides with the characteristic function of the set $[\varepsilon, 1] \cap L$. Clearly, $p_{\varepsilon} \leq r(a)$ in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$. Suppose we have a function $g \in \mathcal{J}_{a} \equiv C_{0}(L)$ satisfying $p_{\varepsilon} \circ g=g \geq 0$, that is, the cozero set of $g$ is inside the interval $[\varepsilon, 1]$.

Take a sequence $\left(h_{n}\right) \subset C_{0}(L)$ defined by

$$
h_{n}(t):= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } t \in L \cap\left[\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2 n}, 1\right] \\ \text { affine, } & \text { if } t \in L \cap\left[\varepsilon-\frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon-\frac{1}{2 n}\right] \\ 0, & \text { if } t \in L \cap\left[0, \varepsilon-\frac{1}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

for $n$ large enough $\left(n \geq m_{0}\right)$. The sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ converges to $p_{\varepsilon}$ in the weak*topology of $\left(\mathcal{J}_{a}\right)^{* *}$ and $1-h_{n} \perp p_{\varepsilon}, g$. So, $\mathcal{J} \ni U_{1-h_{n}}(c) \perp g$ for every $c \in \mathcal{J}$ and $n \geq m_{0}$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{J}^{* *}$, we can find, via Goldstine's theorem, a net $\left(c_{\gamma}\right) \subset \mathcal{J}$ converging to 1 in the weak* topology of $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$. By hypothesis, $0=V\left(U_{1-h_{n}}\left(c_{\gamma}\right), g\right)$, for every $\lambda, n \geq m_{0}$. Taking weak* limits in $\gamma$ and in $n$, it follows from the separate weak* continuity of $V^{* * *}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{* * *}\left(1-p_{\varepsilon}, g\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p_{\varepsilon}$ and $g$ as above. If we take

$$
g_{\varepsilon}(t):= \begin{cases}t, & \text { if } t \in L \cap[2 \varepsilon, 1] \\ \text { affine, } & \text { if } t \in L \cap[\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon] \\ 0, & \text { if } t \in L \cap[0, \varepsilon]\end{cases}
$$

then $0 \leq g_{\varepsilon} \leq p_{\eta}$, for every $\eta \leq \varepsilon, \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|g_{\varepsilon}-a\right\|=0$ and weak* $-\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} p_{\eta}=r(a)$. Combining these facts with (3.7) and the separate weak*-continuity of $V^{* * *}$, we get $V^{* * *}(1-r(a), a)=0$, which proves (3.6).

The identities in (3.5) and (3.6) show that $V(a, a)=V^{* * *}\left(1, a^{2}\right)$, for every $a \in \mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$. Let us define $\phi=V^{* * *}(1,.) \in A^{*}$. A polarization formula, and $V$ being symmetric imply that $V(a, b)=V^{* * *}(1, a \circ b)=\phi(a \circ b)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}$, and by bilinearity $V(a, b)=\phi(a \circ b)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

The previous proposition is a generalization of Goldstein's theorem for symmetric orthogonal forms. It can be also regarded as a characterization of orthogonally additive 2 -homogeneous polynomials on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$. More concretely, according to the notation in [25], a 2-homogeneous polynomial $P: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is orthogonally additive on $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ (i.e., $P(a+b)=P(a)+P(b)$ for every $a \perp b$ in $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ ) if, and only if, there exists a unique $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$ satisfying $P(a)=\phi\left(a^{2}\right)$, for every $a \in \mathcal{J}$. This characterization constitutes an extension of [25, Theorem 2.8] to the setting of JB*-algebras.

Remark 3.3. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric form on a JB*-algebra. The above Proposition 3.2 implies that $V$ is orthogonal if and only if it is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$.

Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric orthogonal form on a JB*-algebra, and let $\phi_{V}$ be the unique functional in $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ given by Proposition 3.2. If we define $G_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$, the operator given by $G_{V}(a)=V(a,$.$) , we can conclude that$ $G_{V}(a)=\phi_{V} \circ a=G_{\phi_{V}}(a)$, and hence $G_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation and $V(a, b)=G_{V}(a)(b)(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$. Moreover, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$, $G_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)=V(b, a)=G_{V}(b)(a)$. This fact motivates the following definition:

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a JB*-algebra. A purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ satisfying $G(a)(b)=G(b)(a)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

We have already seen that every symmetric orthogonal form $V$ on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ determines a purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation $G_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$. To explore the reciprocal implication we shall prove that every generalized derivation from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ defines an orthogonal form on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ be a generalized Jordan derivation, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB*-algebra. Then the form $V_{G}: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, V_{G}(a, b)=G(a)(b)$ is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$.
Proof. Let $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ be a generalized Jordan derivation. By Proposition 2.1, $G$ is continuous, and by Proposition 2.2, $G^{* *}: \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation too. Let $\xi$ denote $G^{* *}(1)$.

Let $p$ be a projection in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ and let $b$ be any element in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ such that $p \perp b$. Since

$$
G^{* *}(p)=G^{* *}(p \circ p)=2 p \circ G^{* *}(p)+U_{p}(\xi),
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{* *}(p)\left(b^{*}\right)=2 G^{* *}(p)\left(p \circ b^{*}\right)+\xi\left(U_{p}\left(b^{*}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a$ be a symmetric element in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$, and let $b$ be any element in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ satisfying $a \perp b$. By (3.1), the JBW*-algebra $\mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$ generated by $a$ is orthogonal to $b$, that is, $c \perp b$ for every $c \in \mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$. It is well known that $a$ can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$ (cf. [18, Proposition 4.2.3]). It follows from (3.8), the continuity of $G^{* *}$, and the previous comments that

$$
V_{G^{* *}}\left(a, b^{*}\right)=G^{* *}(a)\left(b^{*}\right)=0,
$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}^{* *}$ and every $b \in \mathcal{J}^{* *}$ with $a \perp b$.
Our next result follows now as a consequence of Proposition 3.2, Remark 3.3, and Proposition 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $J B^{*}$-algebra. Let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J})$ denote the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$, and $\operatorname{let} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G D e r}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$. For each $V \in$ $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J})$ define $G_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G D e r}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ given by $G_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)$, and for each $G \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G D e r}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ we set $V_{G}: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow C$, $V_{G}(a, b):=G(a)(b)$ $(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$. Then the mappings

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G D} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right), & \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J G} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{s}(\mathcal{J}), \\
V & \mapsto G_{V}, & G \mapsto V_{G},
\end{array}
$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other.
Actually, Proposition 3.2 gives a bit more:
Corollary 3.7. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $J B^{*}$-algebra. Then, for every purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ there exists a unique $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$, such that $G=G_{\phi}$, that is, $G(a)=\phi \circ a(a \in \mathcal{J})$.
3.2. Derivations and anti-symmetric orthogonal forms. We focus now our study on the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra. We motivate our study with the case of a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$. By Goldstein's theorem every antisymmetric orthogonal form $V$ on $A$ writes in the form $V(a, b)=\psi([a, b])=$ $\psi(a b-b a)(a, b \in A)$, where $\psi \in A^{*}$ (cf. Theorem 1.1). Unfortunately, $\psi$ is not uniquely determined by $V$ (see [15, Proposition 2.6 and comments prior to it]). Anyway, the operator $D_{V}: A \rightarrow A^{*}, D_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)=[\psi, a](b)$ defines a derivation from $A$ into $A^{*}$ and $D_{V}(a)(b)=-D_{V}(b)(a)(a, b \in A)$. On the other hand, if $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ is a derivation, it follows from the weak amenability of $A$ (cf. [16, Corollary 4.2]), that there exists $\psi \in A^{*}$ satisfying $D(a)=[a, \psi]$. Therefore, the form $V: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, V_{D}(a, b)=D(a)(b)$ is orthogonal and anti-symmetric. However, when $A$ is replaced with a JB*-algebra, the Lie product doesn't make any sense. To avoid the gap, we shall consider Jordan derivations.

It seems natural to ask whether the class of anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is empty or not. Here is an example: let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathcal{J}$ and
$\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m} \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$, and define $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(a, b):=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[L\left(\phi_{i}\right), L\left(c_{i}\right)\right](a)\right)(b)  \tag{3.9}\\
\left.=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\phi_{i} \circ\left(c_{i} \circ a\right)-c_{i} \circ\left(\phi_{i} \circ a\right)\right)\right)(b)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_{i}\left(b \circ\left(c_{i} \circ a\right)-\left(c_{i} \circ b\right) \circ a\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$. Clearly, $V$ is an anti-symmetric form on $\mathcal{J}$. It follows from (3.3) that $V\left(a, b^{*}\right)=0$ for every $a \perp b$ in $\mathcal{J}$, that is, $V$ is an orthogonal form on $\mathcal{J}$. Further, the inner Jordan derivation $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}, D=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(L\left(\phi_{i}\right) L\left(a_{i}\right)-L\left(a_{i}\right) L\left(\phi_{i}\right)\right)$ satisfies $V(a, b)=D(a)(b)$ for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

We shall see now that, like in the case of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras and in the previous example, Jordan derivations from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ into its dual exhaust all the possibilities to produce an anti-symmetric orthogonal form on $\mathcal{J}$. We begin with an strengthened version of Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.8. Let $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ be a generalized Jordan derivation, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a JB**-algebra. Then the form $V_{G}: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, V_{G}(a, b)=G(a)(b)$ is orthogonal (on the whole $\mathcal{J}$ ).

Proof. We already know that every generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is continuous (cf. Proposition 2.1). By Proposition 2.2, $G^{* *}: \mathcal{J}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a generalized Jordan derivation too. Let $\xi=G^{* *}(1)$.

Let $e$ be a tripotent in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ and let $b$ be any element in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$ such that $e \perp b$. Since $\{e, e, e\}=2\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ e-e^{2} \circ e^{*}=e$ we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
G^{* *}(e)=2 G^{* *}\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ e\right)-G^{* *}\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \\
=2 G^{* *}\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ e+2\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ G^{* *}(e)-2 U_{e \circ e^{*}, e}(\xi) \\
-G^{* *}\left(e^{2}\right) \circ e^{*}-e^{2} \circ G^{* *}\left(e^{*}\right)+U_{e^{2}, e^{*}}(\xi) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
G^{* *}(e)\left(b^{*}\right)=2 G^{* *}\left(e \circ e^{*}\right)\left(b^{*} \circ e\right)+2 G^{* *}(e)\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
-2 \xi\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ\left(e \circ b^{*}\right)+\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \circ e-\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ e\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \\
-G^{* *}\left(e^{2}\right)\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)-G^{* *}\left(e^{*}\right)\left(e^{2} \circ b^{*}\right)+\xi\left(e^{2} \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)+\left(e^{2} \circ b^{*}\right) \circ e^{*}-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \\
=(\text { by }(3.2),(3.3), \text { and }(3.4))=2 G^{* *}(e)\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right)-G^{* *}\left(e^{2}\right)\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right) \\
+\xi\left(e^{2} \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \\
=2 G^{* *}(e)\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right)-2\left(e \circ G^{* *}(e)\right)\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)+U_{e}(\xi)\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right) \\
+\xi\left(e^{2} \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \\
=2 G^{* *}(e)\left(\left(e \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}-\left(b^{*} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ e\right)+\xi\left(2 e \circ\left(e \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)\right)-e^{2} \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)\right) \\
+\xi\left(e^{2} \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=(\operatorname{by}(3.3))=\xi\left(2 e \circ\left(e \circ\left(e^{*} \circ b^{*}\right)\right)-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right) \circ b^{*}\right) \\
=((3.3) \text { applied twice })=\xi\left(2 b^{*} \circ\left(e \circ\left(e^{*} \circ e\right)\right)-b^{*} \circ\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right)\right) \\
=\xi\left(b^{*} \circ\left(2\left(e \circ\left(e^{*} \circ e\right)\right)-\left(e^{2} \circ e^{*}\right)\right)\right)=\xi\left(b^{*} \circ\{e, e, e\}\right)=\xi\left(b^{*} \circ e\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where in the last step we applied (3.2).
Let us take $a . b$ in $\mathcal{J}^{* *}$, with $a \perp b$. The characterizations given in (3.1) imply that the $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple $\mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$ generated by $a$ is orthogonal to $b$, that is, $c \perp b$ for every $c \in \mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$. Lemma 3.11 in [21] guarantees that the element $a$ can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{J}_{a}^{* *}$. Finally, the fact proved in (3.10), the continuity of $G^{* *}$, and the previous comments imply that $V_{G^{* *}}\left(a, b^{*}\right)=G^{* *}(a)\left(b^{*}\right)=0$.

We shall prove next that every anti-symmetric orthogonal form is given by a Jordan derivation.

Proposition 3.9. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an anti-symmetric form on a JB*algebra which is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$. Then the mapping $D_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}, D_{V}(a)(b)=$ $V(a, b)(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$ is a Jordan derivation.

Our strategy will follow some of the arguments given by U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen in $[17, \S 3]$, the Jordan setting will require some simple adaptations and particularizations. The proof will be divided into several lemmas. The next lemma was established in [17, Lemma 3.3] for associative Banach algebras, however the proof, which is left to the reader, is also valid for $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebras.

Lemma 3.10. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a form on a JB*-algebra. Suppose that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ are infinitely differentiable functions at a point $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the map $t \mapsto V(f(t), g(t)), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is infinitely differentiable at $t_{0}$ and its $n$ 'th derivative is given by

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} V\left(f^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right), g^{(n-k)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

The next lemma is also due to Haagerup and Laustsen, who established it for associative Banach algebras in [17, Lemma 3.4]. The proof given in the just quoted paper remains valid in the Jordan setting, the details are included here for completeness reasons.

Lemma 3.11. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a Jordan Banach algebra, let $\mathcal{U}$ be an additive subgroup of $\mathcal{J}$ whose linear span coincides with $\mathcal{J}$. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an anti-symmetric form satisfying $V\left(a^{2}, a\right)=0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the bounded linear operator $D_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ given by $D_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ is a Jordan derivation.
Proof. Let us take $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from our hypothesis that

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{V}\left(a^{2}\right)(b)-2\left(a \circ D_{V}(a)\right)(b)=D_{V}\left(a^{2}\right)(b)-2 D_{V}(a)(a \circ b) \\
=V\left(a^{2}, b\right)+2 V(a \circ b, a)=V\left(a^{2}, b\right)-2 V(a, a \circ b)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\frac{V\left((a+b)^{2}, a+b\right)-V\left((a-b)^{2}, a-b\right)-2 V\left(b^{2}, b\right)}{2}=0 .
$$

This implies that $D_{V}\left(a^{2}\right)(b)=2\left(a \circ D_{V}(a)\right)(b)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from the bilinearity and continuity of $V$, and the norm density of the linear span of $\mathcal{U}$ that $D_{V}\left(a^{2}\right)=2 a \circ D_{V}(a)$, for every $a \in \mathcal{J}$, witnessing that $D_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a Jordan derivation.

We deal now with the proof of Proposition 3.9.
Proof of Proposition 3.9. For each $a \in \mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$, let $B$ denote the JB*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{J}$ generated by $a$. It is known that $B$ is isometrically isomorphic to a commutative $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra (see [18, Theorem 3.2.2 and 3.2.3]). Clearly, $\left.V\right|_{B \times B}: B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an anti-symmetric form which is orthogonal on $B_{s a}$ (and hence orthogonal on $B$ ). Since $B$ is a commutative unital C*-algebra, an application of Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1) shows that $V(x, y)=0$. for every $x, y \in B$. In particular, $V\left(a^{2}, a\right)=0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{J}_{s a}$. Lemma 3.11 guarantees that $D_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ is a Jordan derivation. Clearly, $D_{V}(a)(b)=-D_{V}(b)(a)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

Definition 3.12. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a JB*-algebra. A Jordan derivation $D$ from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ is said to be a Lie Jordan derivation if $D(a)(b)=-D(b)(a)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.

Propositions 3.8 and 3.9 give:
Theorem 3.13. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $J B^{*}$-algebra. Let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{\text {as }}(\mathcal{J})$ denote the Banach space of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$, and let $\mathcal{L i e} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ the $B a$ nach space of all Lie Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$. For each $V \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{\text {as }}(\mathcal{J})$ we define $D_{V}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{L i e} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ given by $D_{V}(a)(b)=V(a, b)$, and for each $D \in \mathcal{L} \operatorname{ie} \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)$ we set $V_{D}: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow C, V_{D}(a, b):=D(a)(b)$ $(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$. Then the mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{a s}(\mathcal{J}) & \rightarrow \mathcal{L} i e \mathcal{J} \mathcal{D} \operatorname{er}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right), & \mathcal{L} i e \mathcal{J} \mathcal{D} \operatorname{er}\left(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^{*}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F}_{a s}(\mathcal{J}), \\
V & \mapsto D_{V}, & D & \mapsto V_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other.
Our final result subsumes the main conclusions of the last subsections.
Corollary 3.14. Let $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a form on a JB*-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $V$ is orthogonal;
(b) $V$ is orthogonal on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {sa }}$;
(c) There exist a (unique) purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ and a (unique) Lie Jordan derivation $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ such that $V(a, b)=$ $G(a)(b)+D(a)(b)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$;
(d) There exist a (unique) functional $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{*}$ and a (unique) Lie Jordan derivation $D: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ such that $V(a, b)=G_{\phi}(a)(b)+D(a)(b)$, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$.
Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$ is clear. To see $(b) \Rightarrow(c)$ and $(b) \Rightarrow(d)$, we recall that every form $V: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ writes uniquely in the form $V=V_{s}+V_{a s}$, where $V_{s}, V_{a s}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{*}$ are a symmetric and an anti-symmetric form on $\mathcal{J}$, respectively. Furthermore,
since $V_{s}(a, b)=\frac{1}{2}(V(a, b)+V(b, a))$ and $V_{a s}(a, b)=\frac{1}{2}(V(a, b)-V(b, a))(a, b \in \mathcal{J})$, we deduce that $V$ is orthogonal (on $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ ) if and only if both $V_{s}$ and $V_{a s}$ are orthogonal (on $\mathcal{J}_{s a}$ ). Therefore, the desired implications follow from Theorems 3.6 and 3.13. The same theorems also prove $(c) \Rightarrow(a)$ and $(d) \Rightarrow(a)$.

We shall finish this note with an observation which helps us to understand the limitations of Goldstein theorem in the Jordan setting.

Remark 3.15. Let $A$ be a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra, since the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $A$ and the Lie Jordan derivations from $A$ into $A^{*}$ are mutually determined, we can deduce, via Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1), that every Lie Jordan derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ is an inner derivation, i.e., a derivation given by a functional $\psi \in A^{*}$, that is, $D(a)=\operatorname{adj}_{\psi}(a)=\psi a-a \psi(a \in A)$. We shall see that a finite number of functionals in the dual of a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ and a finite collection of elements in $\mathcal{J}$, i.e. the inner Jordan derivations, are not enough to determine the Lie Jordan derivations from $\mathcal{J}$ into $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ nor the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$. Indeed, as we have commented before, there exist examples of JB*algebras which are not Jordan weakly amenable, that is the case of $L(H)$ and $K(H)$ when $H$ is an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Actually, let $B=K(H)$ denote the ideal of all compact operators on $H$, and let $\psi$ be an element in $B^{*}$ whose trace is not zero. The proof of [20, Lemmas 4.1] shows that the derivation $D=\operatorname{adj}_{\psi}: B \rightarrow B^{*}, a \mapsto \psi a-a \psi$ is not inner in the Jordan sense. Therefore the anti-symmetric form $V(a, b)=$ $D(a)(b)=(\psi a-a \psi)(b)=\psi[a, b]$ cannot be represented in the form given in (3.9). A similar example holds for $B=B(H)$ (cf. [20, Lemma 4.3]).

Remark 3.16. We have already shown the existence of JBW*-algebras which are not Jordan weakly amenable (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Thus, the problem of determining whether in a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, the inner Jordan derivations on $\mathcal{J}$ are norm-dense in the set of all Jordan derivations on $\mathcal{J}$, takes on a new importance. If the problem has an affirmative answer for a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{J}$, Theorem 3.13 allows us to approximate anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on $\mathcal{J}$ by a finite collection of functionals in $\mathcal{J}^{*}$ and a finite number of elements in $\mathcal{J}$. Related to this problem, we note that Pluta and Russo recently proved that if the set of inner triple derivations from a von Neumann algebra $M$ into its predual is norm dense in the real vector space of all triple derivations, then $M$ must be finite, and the reciprocal statement holds if $M$ acts on a separable Hilbert space, or is a factor [27, Theorem 1]. It would be interesting to explore the connections between normal orthogonal forms and normal Jordan weak amenability or norm approximation by normal inner derivations on JBW*-algebras.
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