

**Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
King Saud University
Deanship of Graduate Studies
English Department**

**Summarization Strategies Adopted by the Senior
Level Female Students of the Department of English at
King Saud University**

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Master's Degree in Applied Linguistics in the Department of English
at the College of Arts, King Saud University**

By

Asma Ibrahim Al-Shabanah

Thesis Supervisor :

Prof. Mahmoud Isma'il Saleh

1426 / 2005

**Summarization Strategies Adopted by the Senior
Level Female Students of the Department of English at King Saud
University**

By

Asma Ibrahim Al-Shabanah

This thesis was defended and accepted on December 27, 2005
(25/11/1426)

Thesis Committee

Thesis Supervisor: Pro.Mahmoud Isma'il Saleh

Member:

Member:

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

}

{

Dedication

To the dearest of all, my mother.....

And to the cutest of all, my children.....

Mesha'l, Shoug and Abdul Azeez.

Abstract

The area of academic writing skill is a broad field for investigation. It has been extensively explored from many different points of view: writing compositions, outlining, paraphrasing and summary writing... etc. There are different types of summaries used in the academic field: the assignment summary, the comparative summary, writing a response to a reading passage, the abstract, the traditional précis type, the one sentence summary or minisummaries (like annotated bibliographies). The existence of so many different types of summary makes summarization strategies a fruitful area of research. This study is concerned with the assignment summary in which the subjects were asked by the researcher to summarize two expository texts (the first passage is entitled *Energy sense makes future sense* having a general subject, while the second passage is entitled *Playwriting and English language teaching* relating to courses taken in the Department). The aim of this study is to focus on the summarization strategies used by the senior level female students of the Department of English at King Saud University, trying to diagnose the problems facing them when they summarize.

Some of the noteworthy findings are:

First, it has been found that the students in general did not know how to reproduce the ideas in the original text accurately. That is because the strategies used in **Accurate Reproduction of Ideas** were remarkably low

in their percentages while the percentages of the strategies used in the **Distorted Reproduction of Ideas** were obviously high.

Second, the most frequently used strategy was "copying without any change", since 92% of the students involved in this study exploited this inaccurate strategy in summarizing the first passage, and 96% of the subjects did so in their summaries of the second passage.

Third, the strategy "deletion of important ideas" was also used by a large number of the students but still less than "copying without change". There were 72% of the subjects deleting important ideas from the first passage, and 66% of the subjects deleted important ideas from the second passage.

Finally, regarding the notion of attribution, 74% of the students' summaries were explicitly attributed while only 12% of the students' summaries were implicitly attributed. In addition, 20% of the subjects used non-attributed ideas in their summaries, while 18% of them deleted the propositions including attribution.

ملخص البحث

.

:

.

:

)

.(

.

")

" "

.("

:

' :

.

.

%92

"

"

:

.

%96

"

"

:

%72

"

"

%66

.

"

"

:

%12

%74

.

%18

%20

:

-1

-2

-3

-

-

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract.....	I
Table of contents.....	VII
List of tables.....	X
Acknowledgements.....	XI
 Chapter one: Introduction	
1.1. Introductory remarks.....	1
1.2. Significance of the study	4
1.3. Statement of the problem	6
1.4. Aims of the study and research questions.....	6
1.5. Delimitations of the study	7
1.6. Definition of terms	7
1.7. Thesis outline.....	9
 Chapter two: Review of related literature	
2.1. Introduction.....	11
2.2. Writing.....	11
2.3. Note-taking and summarization.....	13
2.4. Summarization.....	20
a. Theoretical perspective.....	20
b. Pedagogical perspective.....	25
c. Empirical studies.....	31

Chapter three: Methodology and procedures

3.1. Introduction.....	45
3.2. Selection of subjects.....	45
3.3. Research instruments and procedures.....	46
3.4. Methods of analysis.....	48
3.5. The model of summarization strategies.....	49
3.6. Pilot study.....	50

Chapter four: Analysis of data and results

4.1. Introduction.....	55
4.2. Accurate reproduction of ideas	56
1. Picking out main ideas.....	56
2. Accurate paraphrasing	60
a. Rewording.....	60
b. Vocabulary replacement.....	63
3. Accurate combination of ideas.....	66
4. Reduction: semantic reduction.....	70
5. Attribution.....	73
a. explicit attribution of proposition.....	74
b. implicit attribution of proposition.....	76
4.3. Distorted representation of ideas	77
1. Reproduction of unimportant ideas.....	77
2. Direct copying from the original text.....	81

a. copying without any change.....	81
b. copying with minimal change.....	83
3. Deletion of important ideas.....	86
4. Faulty combination of ideas.....	91
5. Inaccurate macro-proposition.....	95
6. Addition of personal comments.....	100
7. Using non-attributed ideas.....	103
8. Deletion of propositions including attribution...105	
 Chapter five: conclusion, findings and discussion	
5.1. Introduction.....	108
5.2. Research questions.....	109
5.3. Significant findings.....	116
5.4. Pedagogical implications.....	125
5.5. Suggestions for further study.....	128
References.....	130
 Appendices	
Passage I: Energy sense makes future sense.....	139
Passage II: Playwriting and English language teaching...	144

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1. Results of the pilot study	53
2. Picking out main ideas	59
3. Rewording.....	62
4. Vocabulary replacement.....	65
5. Accurate combination of ideas.....	70
6. Reduction: semantic reduction.....	73
7. Explicit attribution of proposition.....	75
8. Implicit attribution of proposition.....	77
9. Reproduction of unimportant ideas.....	80
10. Copying without any change.....	83
11. Copying with minimal change.....	86
12. Deletion of important ideas.....	90
13. Faulty combination of ideas.....	95
14. Inaccurate macro-proposition.....	100
15. Addition of personal comments.....	103
16. Using non-attributed ideas.....	105
17. Deletion of propositions including attribution.....	107
18. Summarization strategies used in passage I in rank order...	114
19. Summarization strategies used in passage II in rank order....	115

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks and sincerest gratitude, first and foremost to Allah, my creator, who provided me with everything that I needed to complete this work. Then I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Professor Mahmoud Saleh for his patience, beneficial remarks, critical comments and for his sincere advice and guidance.

I would also like to thank my parents for their love, support and prayers; my husband for his sacrifices and encouragement; and my sisters and brothers for their help, assistance and belief in me.

My special thanks and heartfelt gratitude are to Professor Angele Tadros for her efforts, her meticulous guidance and encouragement without which this thesis would have been a more difficult task.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Salwa Ibrahim, Dr. Safinaz Hammoudah and Dr. Marcia Kutria for their guidance and instruction throughout my B.A. and M.A study.

Thanks are also due to the subjects of my study, to Dr. Doris Hambuch and Mrs.Aisha Saeed for helping me in every way they can and for participating in completing this work.

I am also thankful to King Saud University and King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology for providing me with most of the essential resources and books.