Descriptive studies

***Objectives:**

- \checkmark Identify the types and concepts of different study designs.
- \checkmark Recognize the strength and limitation of several study designs.
- ✓ Describe and contrast advantages and disadvantages of each study design
- ✓ Identify applications in clinical and in non-clinical settings.
- ✓ Identify common sources of bias for each design
- ✓ Identify and characterize basic designs in non-experimental epidemiologic research, including cohort and case-control studies, and variants of these designs such as nested case-control studies, case-cohort studies.
- Distinguish between individual-level measures and population-level measures in epidemiologic research.

***Types of epidemiological Studies:**

A. Experimental

- Study factor is manipulated by the investigator
- B. Observational -
- No manipulation of study factor by the investigator
 - Descriptive
 - Analytic

***Types/designs of descriptive studies:**

• **Populations** (groups):

-ecologic (aggregate) studies

- Individuals
- Case reports
- Case series
- Cross sectional surveys

| Table 3.1. Types of epidemiological study | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Type of study | Alternative name | Unit of study | | |
| Observational studies | | | | |
| Descriptive studies | | | | |
| Analytical studies | | | | |
| Ecological | Correlational | Populations | | |
| Cross-sectional | Prevalence | Individuals | | |
| Case-control | Case-reference | Individuals | | |
| Cohort | Follow-up | Individuals | | |
| Experimental studies | Intervention studies | | | |
| Randomized controlled trials | Clinical trials | Individuals | | |
| Cluster randomized controlled trials | | Groups | | |
| Field trials | | | | |
| Community trials | Community intervention studies | Healthy people Communities | | |

***Case Report (case studies):**

- \checkmark The case report is the basic type of descriptive studies.
- \checkmark It describes the experience of one case.
- ✓ It represents the first clue in the identification of a new disease or adverse effect of exposure.
- ✓ Describe the experience of a single case or a group of patients with similar diagnosis
- ✓ Document unusual medical occurrences.
- ✓ Generally report a new or unique finding
 - E.g. previously un-described disease
 - E.g. unexpected link between diseases
 - E.g. unexpected new therapeutic effect

E.g. adverse events

***The objectives of case report are:**

- \checkmark To describe clinical observation
- \checkmark To describe the variation of disease
- ✓ To describe results of new treatment

*Example of a case report

1. In 1961, pulmonary embolism has been described in 40-years, pre-menopausal female after 5 years of using oral contraceptive pills. This phenomenon was considered interesting as pulmonary embolism usually occurs among older subjects, post menopausal.

2. Formulation of a new hypothesis

- Adeno-carcinoma of the vagina was reported in a young girl.
- This tumor is not only rare, but also the usual victim is over 50 years of age.
- Documents showed that this girl was exposed to estrogen during fetal life.
- This unusual event and exposure leads the investigator to formulate a hypothesis that:
- Foetal exposure to estrogen may be responsible for the occurrence of this tumor.

*Limitations:

- ✤ Not a true epidemiologic design
- ✤ No appropriate comparison group
- Can't be used to test for presence of valid statistical association, since it's based on the experience of one person (presence of any risk factors, maybe purely coincidental).

*2- Case series report:

- It describes the experience of a group of similar cases having an unusual disease or event.
- Case series describe characteristics of a number of patients with a given disease.
- The patients are identified during *routine surveillance*.
- It can also generate a hypothesis.

Example of case series

 In 1980, Pneumocystitis Carinii has been described among 5 young adult homosexual men.

This disease was known to affect only immune-compromised subjects.

• Similarly, Kaposi sarcoma, a disease of old age has been reported among young adult homosexual men.

■ Advantages

¤We can aggregate cases from disparate sources to generate hypotheses and describe new syndrome .Example (hepatitis, AIDS)

¤ Used as an early means to identify the beginning or presence of an epidemic.

- Limitations
 - We cannot test for statistical association because there is no relevant comparison group
 - Based on individual exposure {may simply be coincidental
 - ✤ Not a true epidemiologic design

<u>3-Correlation study (Ecological study):</u>

✓ The correlation study is referred to as ecological study because the analysis is at the level of an entire population rather than at the individual level.

- ✓ The correlation studies measures the association between two *quantitative variables*.
- ✓ It uses data from entire populations to compare disease frequencies in relation to supposed harmful (or beneficial) exposures during the same period of time or at different points in time.
- They are quick and inexpensive as they use already available data like vital statistics, censuses and national health surveys.
- \checkmark It is useful for generating hypotheses

<u>φ Aims of ecologic study</u>

- To generate etiologic hypothesis
- To evaluate effectiveness of population interventions.

<u>Φ Limitations of correlation studies:</u>

- a. They cannot be used for testing the hypothesis i.e. never prove causation.
- b. It is impossible to link exposure and disease in a particular individual because data are for groups, Data represent average exposures rather than individual exposures, so we cannot determine a dose-response relationship
- c. Lack of the ability to control for the effects of the **confounding factors**. These are factors other than the studied factor (exposure) that disturb the relation between the studied exposure and disease. **However**, the possible association between meat consumption and cancer colon may be due to other factors such as decrease intake of vegetables, high socioeconomic condition or increase intake of fat.
- d. Caution must be taken to avoid drawing inappropriate conclusions, or ecological fallacy
- e. Cannot establish an association between exposure and outcome (can only suggest)
- f. Uses measures that represent characteristics of entire populations

- g. It describes outcomes in relation to age, time, utilization of services, or exposure
- h. Can't link exposure –disease relationship at the individual level
- i. Inability to control for confounding factors
- j. Uses average exposure levels rather than actual levels of exposure

■ <u>ADVANTAGES</u>

- a. We can generate hypotheses for case-control studies and environmental studies
- b. We can target high-risk populations, time-periods, or geographic regions for future studies
- c. Cheap, quick and simple(generally make use of secondary data)

4- Cross sectional study (prevalence studies):

- ✓ An "observational" design that measures existing disease (D) and current exposure levels (E) at a single point in time (a cross-section of the population)
- ✓ In the cross-sectional study the exposure (E) and the disease (D) status are assessed simultaneously (at the same time) among individuals in a well-defined population.
- \checkmark It shows the association between exposure and disease
- ✓ Each subject is assessed once at point in time
- ✓ Useful for investigating exposures that are fixed characteristics of individuals, such as ethnicity or blood group.
- \checkmark It is quick and cheap
- ✓ "Snapshot Studies"
- ✓ Used to study conditions that are relatively frequent with long duration (chronic conditions)

- \checkmark It's include surveys
- ✓ Can conduct repeated cross-sectional studies to measure changes in a population.
- ✓ Can measure attitudes, beliefs, behaviors, personal or family history, genetic factors, existing or past health conditions, or anything else that does not require follow-up to assess.
- Cross-sectional studies are the source of most of what we know about the population other than vital statistics
- Cross-sectional studies are carried out for public health planning and for etiologic research.

Examples of cross-sectional studies:

- Assess the presence of IHD in relation to physical exercises.
- Assess the presence of obesity in relation to diabetes mellitus.
- In 2007-2008, almost one in five children older than 5 years was obese. (*Health, United States, 2010*; data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey)
- 35% (~7.4 million) of births to U.S. women during the preceding 5 years were mistimed or unwanted (2002 National Survey of Family Growth, Series 23, No. 25, Table 21

Table 5-3. Cross-sectional survey of coronary heart disease (CHD) among white male farm owners, aged 40–74 years, by occupational physical activity

| and the second second second | Number examined | Number with CHD | Prevalence rate | Age-adjusted prevalence rate |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Not physically active | 89 | 14 | 157.2/1000 | 126/1000 |
| Physically active | 90 | 3 | 33.3/1000 | 36/1000 |
| Total | 179 | 17 | 95.0/1000 | 87/1000 |

Source: J. R. McDonough et al., Coronary heart disease among Negroes and Whites in Evans County, Georgia. J. Chronic Dis. 18:443, 1965.

• Can be used as a type of analytic study for testing hypothesis, when;

- 1) Current values of exposure variables are unalterable over time
- 2) Represents value present at initiation of disease
 - 1. E.g. eye color or blood group
- 3) If risk factor is subject to alterations by disease, only hypothesis formulation can be don

Limitations:

- a. Cannot determine whether exposure preceded disease (It faces the chicken egg dilemma).
- b. Data deals with survivals. Those who died or cured are not included
- c. Not suitable for studying highly fatal diseases or a disease with short duration of expression
- d. It is not suitable for rare diseases.
- e. It considers prevalent rather than incident cases, results will be influenced by survival factors

Advantages

- They cut across the general population, not simply those seeking medical care
- Good for identifying prevalence of common outcomes, such as arthritis, blood pressure or allergies
- Provides information for planning and evaluation of health services
- Formulate Hypothesis.

***Survey:** is an investigation that uses a "structured and systematic gathering of information" from a sample of "a population of interest to describe the population in quantitative terms

• Designed to be **<u>representative</u>** of the entire country

- Surveys can be carried out by one or multiple modes, particularly household interviews, telephone, or mail.
- The subjects of a survey can be members of the general public, patients, health-care providers, or organizations

National surveys in USA:

National Surveys

- A large set of national epidemiological surveys are conducted by the <u>National</u> <u>Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)</u> --the Federal Government's principal vital and health statistics agency.
- The NCHS is part of the <u>Center for</u> <u>Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)</u>
 – under the U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services.

National Surveys

- <u>National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)</u> Principal source of information on the health of the civilian, noninstitutionalized household population of the U.S.
- <u>National Health and Nutrition</u> <u>Examination Survey (NHANES)</u> Collects information about the health and diet of people in the U.S.

National Surveys

- <u>National Maternal and Infant Health</u> <u>Survey (NMIHS)</u> Collects data on study factors related to low birthweight, stillbirth, infant illness, and infant death.
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
 Collects data on prevalence of personal health behaviors among adults associated with premature morbidity and mortality.

National Surveys

- National Health Care Survey (NHCS) Collects a wide range of data on health care use, impact of medical technology, and quality of care provided.
- <u>National Immunization Survey (NIS)</u> Collects information on the immunization coverage and health care of children across the U.S.

National Surveys

- <u>National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG)</u> Collects data on factors affecting pregnancy and women's health in the U.S.
- National Mortality Followback Survey (NMFS) Aggregate sample of death certificates across the U.S. for targeted research purposes.

| Ot | her : | Sources of National Data |
|----|-------------|------------------------------|
| - | Natio | onal Vital Statistics System |
| | | Birth data |
| | | Mortality data |
| | | Fetal death data |
| | | Marriages and divorces |
| | | Linked births/infant deaths |
| | | National death index |
| - | <u>U.S.</u> | Census Bureau |

Example1: calculate the Prevalence of CHD among men over 60 years

| Smoking | CHD | | Total |
|---------|-----|-----|-------|
| | Yes | No | |
| Yes | 10 | 30 | 40 |
| No | 8 | 152 | 160 |
| total | 18 | 182 | 200 |

Example2: prevalence of anemia among school children

| Sex | Anemic | Non-anemic | Total |
|--------|--------|------------|-------|
| Male | 20 | 300 | 320 |
| Female | 60 | 120 | 180 |
| Total | 80 | 420 | 500 |
| | | | |