

MA20033 - Solution Sheet Five

Simon Shaw
s.c.shaw@maths.bath.ac.uk

2004/05 Semester I

1. The following data, which are assumed to come from a normal distribution with mean μ , representing “the passage time of light”, and variance σ^2 , may be regarded as Newcomb’s measurements of “the passage time of light”.

28 26 33 24 34 -44 27 16 40 -2
29 22 24 21 25 30 23 29 31 19

- (a) Given that $\sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i = 435$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i^2 = 15365$, find an unbiased estimate of the mean and the variance.

Unbiased estimators of μ and σ^2 are $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ respectively (we showed this on question 2.(c) of Question Sheet Two). In this case, the estimates are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{20} \sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i = \frac{435}{20} = 21.75, \\ s^2 &= \frac{1}{19} \sum_{i=1}^{20} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{19} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i^2 - 20\bar{x}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{19} \{15365 - 20(21.75)^2\} = 310.7237.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Construct a 95% confidence interval for the “true” passage time of light.

As both μ and σ^2 are unknown then $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(S/\sqrt{20}) \sim t_{19}$. Now $P(t_{19} > 2.093) = 0.025$ so that $P(-2.093 < t_{19} < 2.093) = 0.95$. Substituting t_{19} by $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(S/\sqrt{20})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(-2.093 < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{20}} < 2.093 \mid \mu, \sigma^2\right) \\ = P\left(\bar{X} - 2.093 \frac{S}{\sqrt{20}} < \mu < \bar{X} + 2.093 \frac{S}{\sqrt{20}} \mid \mu, \sigma^2\right) = 0.95.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\bar{X} - 2.093\frac{S}{\sqrt{20}}, \bar{X} + 2.093\frac{S}{\sqrt{20}})$ is a random interval which contains μ with probability 0.95. The 95% confidence interval for μ , the “true” passage time of light, is a realisation of this, $(\bar{x} - 2.093\frac{s}{\sqrt{20}}, \bar{x} + 2.093\frac{s}{\sqrt{20}})$. In this case, with $\bar{x} = 21.75$ and $s = \sqrt{310.7237} = 17.6274$, the interval is (13.5002, 29.9998).

- (c) **Omitting the two smallest data values, recalculate the unbiased estimate of the mean and variance and the corresponding 95% confidence interval. Comment briefly on differences in results compared with those using all 20 data values.**

This time, we note that $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(S/\sqrt{18}) \sim t_{17}$. Now $P(t_{17} > 2.110) = 0.025$ so that $P(-2.110 < t_{17} < 2.110) = 0.95$ and $(\bar{X} - 2.110\frac{S}{\sqrt{18}}, \bar{X} + 2.110\frac{S}{\sqrt{18}})$ is a random interval which contains μ with probability 0.95. The 95% confidence interval for μ is a realisation of this, $(\bar{x} - 2.110\frac{s}{\sqrt{18}}, \bar{x} + 2.110\frac{s}{\sqrt{18}})$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{18} \sum_{i=1}^{18} x_i = \frac{435 - (-2) - (-44)}{18} = \frac{481}{18} = 26.7222, \\ s^2 &= \frac{1}{17} \sum_{i=1}^{18} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{17} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{18} x_i^2 - 18\bar{x}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{17} \{ (15365 - (-2)^2 - (-44)^2) - 18(26.7222)^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{17} \{ 13425 - 18(26.7222)^2 \} = 33.6242.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $s = \sqrt{33.6242} = 5.7986$; the 95% confidence interval is (23.8384, 29.6061).

The removal of the “outliers” -44, -2 affect the mean, standard deviation and confidence interval appreciably. We note, again, a lack of robustness to summaries based upon averaging. The confidence intervals were constructed using the assumption of normality. A stem-and-leaf plot of the data indicates that without the “outliers” this seems reasonable. However, if the outliers are included, one would have to question this.

2. **Recall question 3. of Question Sheet Four. To estimate the gestation period of domestic dogs, 15 randomly selected dogs are observed during pregnancy. Their gestation periods, in days, are:**

62.0	61.4	59.8	62.2	60.3
60.4	59.4	60.2	60.4	60.8
61.8	59.2	61.1	60.4	60.9

Letting x_i denote the observed gestation period of the i th dog, we find that $\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i = 910.3$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i^2 = 55254.35$. Thus, $\bar{x} = 60.6867$ and

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{14} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i^2 - 15\bar{x}^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{14} \{ 55254.35 - 15(60.6867)^2 \} = 0.8055.$$

Once more, we will make the assumption that these 15 observations are realisations from a population which may be modelled by a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution.

- (a) **Evaluate a 99% confidence interval for μ when σ^2 is known to be 1.**

In this case, $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, 1/15)$ so that $\sqrt{15}(\bar{X} - \mu) \sim N(0, 1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(-2.576 < \sqrt{15}(\bar{X} - \mu) < 2.576 | \mu) \\ = P(\bar{X} - 2.576/\sqrt{15} < \mu < \bar{X} + 2.576/\sqrt{15} | \mu) = 0.99. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\bar{X} - 2.576/\sqrt{15}, \bar{X} + 2.576/\sqrt{15})$ is a random interval which contains μ with probability 0.99. The 99% confidence interval for μ is a realisation of this, $(\bar{x} - 2.576/\sqrt{15}, \bar{x} + 2.576/\sqrt{15})$. In this instance, the 99% confidence interval for μ is (60.0249, 61.3551).

- (b) **Evaluate a 99% confidence interval for μ when σ^2 is assumed unknown.**

When σ^2 is unknown then the random interval of the form $(\bar{X} - 2.576\sigma/\sqrt{15}, \bar{X} + 2.576\sigma/\sqrt{15})$ is of no benefit for we cannot evaluate a realisation of it (as we don't know σ). Instead, we must use that $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(S/\sqrt{15}) \sim t_{14}$. Now, $P(t_{14} > 2.977) = 0.005$ so that $P(-2.977 < t_{14} < 2.977) = 0.99$. Substituting t_{14} by $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(S/\sqrt{15})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(-2.977 < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{15}} < 2.977 \mid \mu, \sigma^2\right) \\ = P\left(\bar{X} - 2.977\frac{S}{\sqrt{15}} < \mu < \bar{X} + 2.977\frac{S}{\sqrt{15}} \mid \mu, \sigma^2\right) = 0.99. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\bar{X} - 2.977\frac{S}{\sqrt{15}}, \bar{X} + 2.977\frac{S}{\sqrt{15}})$ is a random interval which contains μ with probability 0.99. The 99% confidence interval for μ is a realisation of this, $(\bar{x} - 2.977\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}}, \bar{x} + 2.977\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}})$. In this case, with $\bar{x} = 60.6867$ and $s = \sqrt{0.8055} = 0.8975$, the interval is (59.9968, 61.3765).

- (c) **Comment on the differences or similarities of these intervals.**

The two intervals are very similar. The observed sample standard deviation was 0.8975 which is close to an assumed standard deviation of 1 (we will shortly investigate how to test whether this data suggests that $\sigma^2 = 1$). Notice that had we merely substituted s for σ in the confidence interval derived from the Normal distribution, yielding $(\bar{x} - 2.576\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}}, \bar{x} + 2.576\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}})$, then we would have only an approximate 95% confidence interval which is narrower than the true 95% confidence interval, $(\bar{x} - 2.977\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}}, \bar{x} + 2.977\frac{s}{\sqrt{15}})$, as the latter incorporates the uncertainty in not knowing σ^2 .