

Statistics 581, Problem Set 7

Wellner; 11/13/2002

Reading: Chapter 3, Section 2;

Ferguson, ACILST, Chapter 19, pages 126-132, Chapter 20, pages 133-134;

Lehmann and Casella, pages 113-129, and 439- 443.

Due: Wednesday, November 20, 2001.

1. Compute and plot the *score for location*, $-(f'/f)(x)$ when:
 - A. $f(x) = \phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2)$, (normal or Gaussian);
 - B. $f(x) = \exp(-x)/(1 + \exp(-x))^2$, (logistic);
 - C. $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|x|)$, (double exponential);
 - D. $f = t_k$, the t -distribution with k degrees of freedom;
 - E. $f(x) = \exp(-x) \exp(-\exp(-x))$, Gumbel or extreme value.
2. Compute $I_f = \int (f'(x)/f(x))^2 f(x) dx$, the information for location, for each of the densities in problem 1.
3. Suppose that $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$, $\Theta \subset R^k$ is a parametric model satisfying the hypotheses of the multiparameter Cramér - Rao inequality. Partition θ as $\theta = (\nu, \eta)$ where $\nu \in R^m$ and $\eta \in R^{k-m}$ and $1 \leq m < k$. Let $\dot{l} = \dot{l}_\theta = (\dot{l}_1, \dot{l}_2)$ be the corresponding partition of the (vector of) scores \dot{l} , and, with $\tilde{l} \equiv I^{-1}(\theta)\dot{l}$, the *efficient influence function* for θ , let $\tilde{l} = (\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2)$ be the corresponding partition of \tilde{l} . In both cases, \dot{l}_1, \tilde{l}_1 are m -vectors of functions, and \dot{l}_2, \tilde{l}_2 are $k - m$ vectors. Partition $I(\theta)$ and $I^{-1}(\theta)$ correspondingly as

$$I(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_{11} is $m \times m$, I_{12} is $m \times (k - m)$, I_{21} is $(k - m) \times m$, I_{22} is $(k - m) \times (k - m)$. Also write

$$I^{-1}(\theta) = [I^{ij}]_{i,j=1,2}.$$

Verify that:

- A. $I^{11} = I_{11.2}^{-1}$ where $I_{11.2} \equiv I_{11} - I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}I_{21}$,
 $I^{22} = I_{22.1}^{-1}$ where $I_{22.1} \equiv I_{22} - I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}I_{12}$,
 $I^{12} = -I_{11.2}^{-1}I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}$,
 $I^{21} = -I_{22.1}^{-1}I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}$.

This amounts to formulas (5) and (6) of section 3.2, page 15.

B. Verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l}_1 &= I^{11}\dot{l}_1 + I^{12}\dot{l}_2 = I_{11.2}^{-1}(\dot{l}_1 - I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}\dot{l}_2), \text{ and} \\ \tilde{l}_2 &= I^{21}\dot{l}_1 + I^{22}\dot{l}_2 = I_{22.1}^{-1}(\dot{l}_2 - I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}\dot{l}_1). \end{aligned}$$

The first of these is (7) on page 15, section 3.2.

4. Suppose that we want to model the survival of twins with a common genetic defect, but with one of the two twins receiving some treatment. Let X represent the survival time of the untreated twin and let Y represent the survival time of the treated

twin. One (overly simple) preliminary model might be to assume that X and Y are independent with $\text{Exponential}(\eta)$ and $\text{Exponential}(\theta\eta)$ distributions, respectively:

$$f_{\theta,\eta}(x, y) = \eta e^{-\eta x} \eta \theta e^{-\eta \theta y} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) 1_{(0,\infty)}(y)$$

A. One crude approach to estimation in this problem is to reduce the data to $W = X/Y$, the maximal invariant for the group of scale changes $g(x, y) = (cx, cy)$ with $c > 0$. Find the distribution of W , and compute the Cramér-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimates of θ based on W .

B. Find the information bound for estimation of θ based on observation of (X, Y) pairs when η is known and unknown.

C. Compare the bounds you computed in A and B and discuss the pros and cons of reducing to estimation based on the W .

5. This is a continuation of the preceding problem. A more realistic model involves assuming that the common parameter η for the two twins varies across sets of twins. There are several different ways of modeling this: one approach involves supposing that each pair of twins observed (X_i, Y_i) has its own fixed parameter η_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this model we observe (X_i, Y_i) with density f_{θ,η_i} for $i = 1, \dots, n$; i.e.

$$f_{\theta,\eta_i}(x_i, y_i) = \eta_i e^{-\eta_i x_i} \eta_i \theta e^{-\eta_i \theta y_i} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x_i) 1_{(0,\infty)}(y_i). \quad (0.1)$$

This is sometimes called a “functional model” (or model with incidental nuisance parameters).

Another approach is to assume that $\eta \equiv Z$ has a distribution, and that our observations are from the mixture distribution. Assuming (for simplicity) that $Z = \eta \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$ with density $g_{a,b}(\eta)$, it follows that the (marginal) distribution of (X, Y) is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\theta,a,b}(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty f_{\theta,z}(x, y) g_{a,b}(z) dz \\ &= \frac{\theta}{b^2} \left(\frac{b}{b + x + \theta y} \right)^{a+2} \frac{\Gamma(a+2)}{\Gamma(a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (0.2)$$

This is sometimes called a “structural model” (or mixture model).

- Find the information for θ in the functional model.
- Find the information for θ in the structural model.
- Compare the information bounds you computed in (a) and (b). When is the information for θ in the functional model larger than the information for θ in the structural model?

6. **Optional bonus problem:** [This is example 7.2.5 and 7.2.7 in Lehmann and Casella, TPE, section 6.2; also see problems 6.2.12 - 6.2.14, Lehmann and Casella, TPE, page 501.] Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $N(\theta, 1)$ so $I(\theta) = 1$. Let $0 < a < 1$ and define $T_n \equiv \bar{X}_n 1_{[|\bar{X}_n| \geq n^{-1/4}]} + a \bar{X}_n 1_{[|\bar{X}_n| < n^{-1/4}]}$. This is Hodges superefficient estimator of θ .

- Show that $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V(\theta))$ where

$$V(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta \neq 0 \\ a^2, & \theta = 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) Show that T_n is *not* a regular estimator of θ at $\theta = 0$, but that it is regular at every $\theta \neq 0$. If $\theta_n = t/\sqrt{n}$, find the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta_n)$ under P_{θ_n} .
C. For $\theta_n = t/\sqrt{n}$ show that

$$R_n(\theta_n) = nE_{\theta_n}(T_n - \theta_n)^2 \rightarrow E(aZ + t(a - 1))^2 = a^2 + t^2(1 - a)^2$$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. This is *larger* than 1 if $t^2 > (1 + a)/(1 - a)$, and hence superefficiency also entails worse risks in a local neighborhood of the point(s) where the asymptotic variance is smaller.

7. Optional bonus problem:

Lehmann and Casella, TPE, Problem 6.6, page 142.