

*Separatum*

# PUBLICATIONES MATHEMATICAE

DEBRECEN

TOMUS 57. (2000)

FASC. 1-2

**Sharief Deshmukh**

**Yau's problem on Einstein field equation**

INSTITUTUM MATHEMATICUM UNIVERSITATIS DEBRECENIENSIS  
HUNGARIA

## Yau's problem on Einstein field equation

By SHARIEF DESHMUKH (Riyadh)

**Abstract.** In this short note it is shown that on an  $n$ -dimensional compact connected positively curved Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  without boundary, a symmetric tensor field  $T(X, Y) = g(A(X), Y)$  satisfies the Einstein field equation  $R_{ij} - \frac{S}{2}g_{ij} = T_{ij}$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\text{tr. } A = -\frac{(n-2)}{2}S,$

(ii)  $(\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2}R_0(X, Y)\text{grad } S - F(X, Y),$

where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci tensor,  $S$  the scalar curvature,  $F$  the divergence of the curvature tensor field and  $R_0(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y.$

### 1. Introduction

In a problem suggested by Yau, it is required to find necessary and sufficient conditions on a symmetric tensor  $T_{ij}$  on a compact manifold so that one can find a metric  $g_{ij}$  to satisfy the Einstein field equation

$$R_{ij} - \frac{S}{2}g_{ij} = T_{ij},$$

where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci tensor and  $S$  is the scalar curvature (cf. [3], Problem 20, p. 675). Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with covariant derivative operator  $\nabla$  with respect to the Riemannian connection. Then the divergence of the curvature tensor field  $R$  is a tensor field  $F$  of type  $(1, 2)$  defined by

$$F(X, Y) = \sum_i (\nabla_{e_i} R)(X, Y)e_i, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 83C05.

*Key words and phrases:* curvature tensor field, Ricci tensor, scalar curvature, divergence.

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame and  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . For a symmetric tensor field  $T$  of type  $(0, 2)$ , there is an associated tensor field  $A$  of type  $(1, 1)$  given by  $T(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , and its covariant derivative is given by

$$(\nabla A)(X, Y) = \nabla_X AY - A(\nabla_X Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

We also consider a tensor field  $R_0$  of type  $(1, 3)$  defined by

$$R_0(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

In this paper we prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact and connected positively curved Riemannian manifold without boundary. Then a symmetric tensor field  $T(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$  on  $M$  satisfies the Einstein field equation*

$$R_{ij} - \frac{S}{2}g_{ij} = T_{ij}$$

*if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{tr} A = -\frac{(n-2)}{2}S$
- (ii)  $(\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2}R_0(X, Y) \text{grad } S - F(X, Y)$ , where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci tensor,  $S$  the scalar curvature,  $F$  the divergence of the curvature tensor field and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ .

This theorem can be considered as a result in the direction of the above mentioned problem of YAU [3].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\text{Ric}$  be the Ricci tensor field of  $M$ . The Ricci operator  $Q : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is defined by

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(Q(X), Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

and the scalar curvature  $S$  of  $M$  is given by

$$S = \sum_i \text{Ric}(e_i, e_i),$$

where  $\{e_i, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ .

We have the following well known (cf. [2])

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\frac{1}{2} \text{grad } S = \sum_i (\nabla Q)(e_i, e_i)$ .

The divergence of the curvature tensor field  $R$  is a tensor field  $F$  given by

$$(2.1) \quad F(X, Y) = \sum_i (\nabla_{e_i} R)(X, Y)e_i, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

Using (2.1), the second Bianchi identity, and the following expression for the Ricci operator  $Q$ ,

$$Q(X) = \sum_i R(X, e_i)e_i, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

the following lemma can be easily proved.

**Lemma 2.2.**  $(\nabla Q)(X, Y) - (\nabla Q)(Y, X) = -F(X, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

For a symmetric tensor field  $T$  of type  $(0, 2)$  on  $M$ , we define a tensor field  $A$  of type  $(1, 1)$  by

$$T(X, Y) = g(AX, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

which is also symmetric. We also define a curvature-like tensor field  $R_0$  by

$$(2.2) \quad R_0(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y$$

and a tensor field  $B$  of type  $(1, 1)$  by

$$(2.3) \quad B = A - Q.$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2 and equation (2.3).

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $(\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2}R_0(X, Y) \text{grad } S - F(X, Y)$ , then the following hold:*

- (i)  $(\nabla B)(X, Y) - (\nabla B)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2}R_0(X, Y) \text{grad } S$
- (ii)  $(\nabla^2 B)(X, Y, Z) - (\nabla^2 B)(X, Z, Y) = \frac{1}{2}R_0(X, Y)\nabla_X \text{grad } S.$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric tensor field on an  $n$ -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying*

$$(i) \operatorname{tr} A = -\frac{(n-2)}{2} S$$

$$(ii) (\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2} R_0(X, Y) \operatorname{grad} S - F(X, Y).$$

*Then  $\sum_i (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i) = 0$  for a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , as  $\operatorname{tr} A = -\frac{(n-2)}{2} S$ , we get

$$\sum_i g((\nabla A)(X, e_i), e_i) = -\frac{(n-2)}{2} g(\operatorname{grad} S, X).$$

Now, using condition (ii) of the statement, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i g((\nabla A)(e_i, X), e_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i g(R_0(X, e_i) \operatorname{grad} S, e_i) - \sum_i g(F(X, e_i), e_i) \\ = -\frac{(n-2)}{2} g(\operatorname{grad} S, X). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) in the above equation we arrive at

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_i g((\nabla A)(e_i, X), e_i) - \sum_i g(F(X, e_i), e_i) = \frac{1}{2} g(\operatorname{grad} S, X).$$

Now equation (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i g(F(X, e_i), e_i) &= \sum_{ik} (\nabla_{e_k} R)(X, e_i; e_k, e_i) = -\sum_k (\nabla_{e_k} \operatorname{Ric})(X, e_k) \\ &= -\sum_k g(X, (\nabla Q)(e_k, e_k)) = -\frac{1}{2} g(X, \operatorname{grad} S). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equation (2.4) gives  $\sum_i g((\nabla A)(e_i, X), e_i) = 0$ , or  $g(X, \sum_i (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i)) = 0$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the following lemma which is the main ingredient in the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric tensor field on an  $n$ -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying*

$$(i) \operatorname{tr} A = -\frac{(n-2)}{2} S,$$

$$(ii) (\nabla A)(X, Y) - (\nabla A)(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2} R_0(X, Y) \operatorname{grad} S - F(X, Y).$$

Then  $B = A - Q$  satisfies  $\|\nabla B\|^2 \geq \frac{n}{4}\|\text{grad } S\|^2$ , and for positively curved  $M$  the equality holds if and only if  $B = -\frac{S}{2}I$ .

PROOF. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_i (\nabla B)(e_i, e_i) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{grad } S.$$

Define  $C : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  by  $C(X) = B(X) + \frac{S}{2}X$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then we have

$$(\nabla C)(X, Y) = (\nabla B)(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(\text{grad } S, X)Y,$$

and consequently

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla C\|^2 &= \|\nabla B\|^2 + \frac{n}{4}\|\text{grad } S\|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{ij} g((\nabla B)(e_i, e_j), g(\text{grad } S, e_i)e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $B$  is symmetric as both  $A$  and  $Q$  are symmetric, and therefore we have

$$g((\nabla B)(X, Y), Z) = g(Y, (\nabla B)(X, Z)), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Using this equation and Lemma 2.3, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{ij} g((\nabla B)(e_i, e_j), g(\text{grad } S, e_i)e_j) \\ &= \sum_{ij} g(\text{grad } S, e_i)g\left(B(e_j, e_i) + \frac{1}{2}R_0(e_i, e_j)\text{grad } S, e_j\right) \\ &= \sum_j g(\text{grad } S, (\nabla B)(e_j, e_j)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_j g(R_0(\text{grad } S, e_j)\text{grad } S, e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Now use this equation, (2.2), and (2.5) in (2.6) to arrive at

$$\|\nabla C\|^2 = \|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{n}{4}\|\text{grad } S\|^2,$$

which proves the inequality  $\|\nabla B\|^2 \geq \frac{n}{4}\|\text{grad } S\|^2$ .

Next suppose that  $M$  is positively curved and the equality holds. Then we shall have  $\nabla C = 0$ , which gives  $C = \lambda I$  (as  $M$  being positively

curved, it is irreducible) for a constant  $\lambda$ . Thus we have  $n\lambda = \text{tr}.B + \frac{nS}{2} = \text{tr}.A - \text{tr}.Q + \frac{nS}{2} = 0$ , where we have used condition (i); consequently  $\lambda = 0$  and this proves  $B = -\frac{S}{2}I$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the Theorem

If the tensor field  $T$  satisfies the Einstein field equation, then we have  $A = Q - \frac{S}{2}I$ , and from Lemma 2.2 we get the conditions (i), (ii). Conversely suppose that the given conditions are satisfied. Define  $f : M \rightarrow R$  by  $f = \frac{1}{2}\|B\|^2$ . Then choosing a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  on  $M$ , we compute the Hessian  $H_f$  of  $f$  and obtain

$$H_f(X, X) = \sum_{ij} g((\nabla B)(X, e_i), e_j)^2 + \sum_i g((\nabla^2 B)(X, X, e_i), B(e_i)).$$

Thus the Laplacian  $\Delta f = \sum_k H_f(e_k, e_k)$  is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta f = \|\nabla B\|^2 + \sum_{ik} g((\nabla^2 B)(e_k, e_k, e_i), B(e_i)).$$

The equation (2.5) gives

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_k (\nabla^2 B)(e_i, e_k, e_k) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{e_i} \text{grad } S,$$

and the Ricci identity implies

$$(3.3) \quad (\nabla^2 B)(e_k, e_i, e_k) = (\nabla^2 B)(e_i, e_k, e_k) + R(e_k, e_i)Be_k - BR(e_k, e_i)e_k.$$

Thus, using (2.2), (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 2.3 in (3.1), we arrive at

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta f &= \|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i} \text{grad } S, B(e_i)) + \sum_{ik} [R(e_k, e_i; Be_k, Be_i) \\ &\quad - R(e_k, e_i; e_k, B^2 e_i)] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik} g(\nabla_{e_k} \text{grad } S, e_i)g(e_k, Be_i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik} g(\nabla_{e_k} \text{grad } S, e_k)g(e_i, Be_i) \\ &= \|\nabla B\|^2 + \frac{n}{4}S\Delta S + \sum_{ik} [R(e_k, e_i; Be_k, Be_i) - R(e_k, e_i; e_k, B^2 e_i)], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $tr.B = -\frac{n}{2}S$ , which follows from condition (i) and  $B = A - Q$ . Next, we choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  which diagonalizes  $B$  with  $B(e_i) = \mu_i e_i$ , and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{ik} [R(e_k, e_i; B e_k, B e_i) - R(e_k, e_i; e_k, B^2 e_i)] \\
 (3.5) \quad & = \sum_{ik} \mu_i^2 K_{ik} - \mu_i \mu_k K_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik} 2\mu_i^2 K_{ik} - 2\mu_i \mu_k K_{ik}, \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{ik} \mu_i^2 K_{ik} + \mu_k^2 K_{ik} - 2\mu_i \mu_k K_{ik} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik} (\mu_i - \mu_k)^2 K_{ik},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{ik} = R(e_k, e_i; e_i, e_k)$  is the sectional curvature of the plane section spanned by  $\{e_i, e_k\}$ . Using (3.5) in (3.4) and integrating the resulting equation we get

$$\int_M \left\{ \|\nabla B\|^2 + \frac{n}{4} S \Delta S + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ik} (\mu_i - \mu_k)^2 K_{ik} \right\} dv = 0.$$

Integrating by parts the second term in the above integral, we arrive at

$$\int_M \left\{ \|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{n}{4} \|\text{grad } S\|^2 \right\} dv + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left\{ \sum_{ik} (\mu_i - \mu_k)^2 K_{ik} \right\} dv = 0.$$

Since  $K_{ik} > 0$ , the above integral together with Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\|\nabla B\|^2 = \frac{n}{4} \|\text{grad } S\|^2,$$

and this equality, again by Lemma 2.5, implies  $B = -\frac{S}{2}I$  and consequently the Einstein equation  $A = Q - \frac{S}{2}I$ .

### References

- [1] I. CHAVEL, *Riemannian Geometry: A modern introduction*, Cambridge Univ. Press, no. 108, 1993.
- [2] B. O'NEILL, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, 1983.

- [3] S. T. YAU, Problem section, Seminar on Differential Geometry, *Princeton Univ. Press*, 1982.

SHARIEF DESHMUKH  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KING SAUD UNIVERSITY  
P.O. BOX 2455 RIYADH 11451  
SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail: shariefd@ksu.edu.sa

*(Received December 12, 1998; file received September 29, 1999)*